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## Overview

Structured query language (SQL) is a user-friendly language for specifying relational algebra queries. It is supported by all the major database systems. In this lecture, we will learn how to rewrite algebra operators in SQL.

## Syntax of an SQL Statement

> select distinct $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{n}$
> from $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{m}$
> where $P$
where $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{m}$ are tables, $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}$ are attributes, and $P$ is a predicate. The statement returns a table, and corresponds to the following relational algebra query:

$$
\Pi_{A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}}\left(\sigma_{P}\left(T_{1} \times \ldots \times T_{m}\right)\right)
$$

## Selection $\sigma$

> select *
> from $T$
> where $P$
corresponds to

$$
\sigma_{P}(T)
$$

| PROF |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pid | name | dept | rank | sal |
| $p 1$ | Adam | CS | asst | 6000 |
| $p 2$ | Bob | EE | asso | 8000 |
| $p 3$ | Calvin | CS | full | 10000 |
| $p 4$ | Dorothy | EE | asst | 5000 |
| $p 5$ | Emily | EE | asso | 8500 |
| $p 6$ | Frank | CS | full | 9000 |

select *
from PROF
where rank $=$ 'asst'
$\sigma_{\text {rank }}="$ asst $"(\mathrm{PROF})$

| PROF |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pid | name | dept | rank | sal |
| $p 1$ | Adam | CS | asst | 6000 |
| $p 2$ | Bob | EE | asso | 8000 |
| $p 3$ | Calvin | CS | full | 10000 |
| $p 4$ | Dorothy | EE | asst | 5000 |
| $p 5$ | Emily | EE | asso | 8500 |
| $p 6$ | Frank | CS | full | 9000 |

select *
from PROF
where not(rank = 'asst' and dept = 'EE')
$\sigma_{\neg(\text { rank }}=$ "asst" $\wedge$ dept $=$ "EE" $)($ PROF $)$

## Selection Predicate

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { select } * \\
& \text { from } T \\
& \text { where } P
\end{aligned}
$$

In $P$, you can specify the standard comparisons and logic operators:

- $=,<>,<,<=,>,>=$
- Connect multiple comparisons with: AND, OR, NOT.


## Projection П

## select distinct $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}$ from $T$

corresponds to

$$
\Pi_{A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}}(T)
$$

| PROF |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pid | name | dept | rank | sal |
| $p 1$ | Adam | CS | asst | 6000 |
| $p 2$ | Bob | EE | asso | 8000 |
| $p 3$ | Calvin | CS | full | 10000 |
| $p 4$ | Dorothy | EE | asst | 5000 |
| $p 5$ | Emily | EE | asso | 8500 |
| $p 6$ | Frank | CS | full | 9000 |

select distinct dept, rank from PROF
$\Pi_{\text {dept }, \text { rank }}($ PROF $)$

## Note

The keyword distinct removes all duplicate rows in the output. Omitting the keyword keeps all duplicates. See the next slide.

| PROF |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pid | name | dept | rank | sal |
| $p 1$ | Adam | CS | asst | 6000 |
| $p 2$ | Bob | EE | asso | 8000 |
| $p 3$ | Calvin | CS | full | 10000 |
| $p 4$ | Dorothy | EE | asst | 5000 |
| $p 5$ | Emily | EE | asso | 8500 |
| $p 6$ | Frank | CS | full | 9000 |

"select dept, rank from PROF" returns:

| dept | rank |
| :---: | :---: |
| $C S$ | asst |
| $E E$ | asso |
| $C S$ | full |
| $E E$ | asst |
| $E E$ | asso |
| $C S$ | full |

This duplicate-retaining feature is useful for aggregate queries as we will discuss later in the course.

## Cartesian Product

```
select *
from \(T_{1}, T_{2}\)
corresponds to \(\quad T_{1} \times T_{2}\)
select *
from \(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{m}\)
corresponds to \(\quad T_{1} \times \ldots \times T_{m}\)
```

PROF

| pid | name | dept | rank | sal |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $p 1$ | Adam | CS | asst | 6000 |  |  |  |  |
| $p 2$ | Bob | EE | asso | 8000 |  | pid | cid | year |
| $p 3$ | Calvin | CS | full | 10000 |  |  |  |  |
| $p 4$ | Dorothy | EE | asst | 5000 |  |  |  |  |
| $p 5$ | Emily | EE | asso | 8500 |  | $c 1$ | 2011 |  |
| $p 2$ | $c 2$ | 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

select *
from PROF, TEACH

PROF $\times$ TEACH

## Putting Multiple Operators Together

PROF
TEACH

| pid | name | dept | rank | sal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $p 1$ | Adam | CS | asst | 6000 |
| $p 2$ | Bob | EE | asso | 8000 |
| $p 3$ | Calvin | CS | full | 10000 |
| $p 4$ | Dorothy | EE | asst | 5000 |
| $p 5$ | Emily | EE | asso | 8500 |


| pid | cid | year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $p 1$ | $c 1$ | 2011 |
| $p 2$ | $c 2$ | 2012 |
| $p 1$ | $c 2$ | 2012 |

select distinct dept
from PROF, TEACH
where PROF.pid $=$ TEACH. pid
$\Pi_{\text {dept }}\left(\sigma_{\text {PROF.pid }}=\right.$ TEACH.pid $($ PROF $\times$ TEACH $\left.)\right)$

## Rename $\rho$

```
select ...
from T as S
where ...
```

corresponds to

$$
\ldots \rho_{S}(T) \ldots
$$

## PROF

TEACH

| pid | name | dept | rank | sal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $p 1$ | Adam | CS | asst | 6000 |
| $p 2$ | Bob | EE | asso | 8000 |
| $p 3$ | Calvin | CS | full | 10000 |
| $p 4$ | Dorothy | EE | asst | 5000 |
| $p 5$ | Emily | EE | asso | 8500 |


| pid | cid | year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $p 1$ | $c 1$ | 2011 |
| $p 2$ | $c 2$ | 2012 |
| $p 1$ | $c 2$ | 2012 |

select distinct dept from PROF as $A$, TEACH as $B$ where A.pid = B.pid
$\Pi_{\text {dept }}\left(\sigma_{\text {A.pid }}=\operatorname{B} \cdot\right.$ pid $\left.\left(\rho_{\mathrm{A}}(\mathrm{PROF}) \times \rho_{\mathrm{B}}(\mathrm{TEACH})\right)\right)$

# ([SQL statement 1]) minus <br> ([SQL statement 2]) 

corresponds to

$$
T_{1}-T_{2}
$$

where $T_{1}\left(T_{2}\right)$ is the table returned by SQL statement 1 (2).

## Note

- $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ need to have the same schema.
- Duplicates in $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ will first be removed before performing the set difference.
- In some systems (e.g., SQL server from Microsoft), the set difference operator is named "except", instead of "minus".

| PROF |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pid | name | dept | rank | sal |
| $p 1$ | Adam | CS | asst | 6000 |
| $p 2$ | Bob | EE | asso | 8000 |
| $p 3$ | Calvin | CS | full | 10000 |
| $p 4$ | Dorothy | EE | asst | 5000 |
| $p 5$ | Emily | EE | asso | 8500 |
| $p 6$ | Frank | CS | full | 9000 |

(select rank from PROF)
minus
(select rank from PROF where dept $=$ 'CS')
$\Pi_{\text {rank }}(\mathrm{PROF})-\Pi_{\text {rank }}\left(\sigma_{\text {dept }}=" \mathrm{CS} "(\mathrm{PROF})\right)$

# ([SQL statement 1]) <br> union <br> ([SQL statement 2]) 

corresponds to

$$
T_{1} \cup T_{2}
$$

where $T_{1}\left(T_{2}\right)$ is the table returned by SQL statement 1 (2).

## Note

- $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ need to have the same schema.
- Duplicates in $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ will first be removed before performing the set union.

PROF

| pid | name | dept | rank | sal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $p 1$ | Adam | CS | asst | 6000 |
| $p 2$ | Bob | EE | asso | 8000 |
| $p 3$ | Calvin | CS | full | 10000 |
| $p 4$ | Dorothy | EE | asst | 5000 |
| $p 5$ | Emily | EE | asso | 8500 |
| $p 6$ | Frank | CS | full | 9000 |

(select * from PROF where sal $<=6000$ ) union
(select * from PROF where sal $>=9000$ )
$\sigma_{\text {sal }} \leq 6000(\mathrm{PROF}) \cup \sigma_{\text {sal }} \geq 9000(\mathrm{PROF})$

We have shown how to rewrite the 6 fundamental algebra operators in SQL. How about the extended operators $\leftarrow, \cap, \bowtie$ and $\div$ ? As we will see next, there is an explicit statement only for $\cap$. Nevertheless, as $\cap$ and $\bowtie$ can be implemented using the 6 fundamental operators, they can also be written in SQL using the statements introduced earlier. We will, however, ignore $\leftarrow$ from our discussion (this operator is the least useful one, anyway).

# ([SQL statement 1]) <br> intersect <br> ([SQL statement 2]) 

corresponds to

$$
T_{1} \cap T_{2}
$$

where $T_{1}\left(T_{2}\right)$ is the table returned by SQL statement 1 (2).
Note

- $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ need to have the same schema.
- Duplicates in $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ will first be removed before performing the set union.

PROF

| pid | name | dept | rank | sal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $p 1$ | Adam | CS | asst | 6000 |
| $p 2$ | Bob | EE | asso | 8000 |
| $p 3$ | Calvin | CS | full | 10000 |
| $p 4$ | Dorothy | EE | asst | 5000 |
| $p 5$ | Emily | EE | asso | 8500 |
| $p 6$ | Frank | CS | full | 9000 |

(select * from PROF where sal $>=6000$ ) intersect
(select * from PROF where dept $=$ 'CS')

$$
\sigma_{\mathrm{sal}} \geq 6000(\mathrm{PROF}) \cap \sigma_{\mathrm{dept}}=" \mathrm{CS} "(\mathrm{PROF})
$$

## Natural Join

## PROF

TEACH

| pid | name | dept | rank | sal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $p 1$ | Adam | CS | asst | 6000 |
| $p 2$ | Bob | EE | asso | 8000 |
| $p 3$ | Calvin | CS | full | 10000 |
| $p 4$ | Dorothy | EE | asst | 5000 |
| $p 5$ | Emily | EE | asso | 8500 |


| pid | cid | year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $p 1$ | $c 1$ | 2011 |
| $p 2$ | $c 2$ | 2012 |
| $p 1$ | $c 2$ | 2012 |

select distinct A.pid, name, dept, rank, sal, cid, year from PROF, TEACH
where PROF.pid $=$ TEACH.pid
$\Pi_{\text {PROF.pid, name, dept, rank, sal, cid, year }}\left(\sigma_{\text {PROF.pid }}=\right.$ TEACH.pid $(\mathrm{PROF} \times$ TEACH))
$=$
PROF $\bowtie$ TEACH

## Division

| $T_{1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| pid | $\mathbf{c i d}$ |
| $p 1$ | $c 1$ |
| $p 1$ | $c 2$ |
| $p 1$ | $c 3$ |
| $p 2$ | $c 2$ |
| $p 2$ | $c 3$ |
| $p 3$ | $c 1$ |
| $p 4$ | $c 1$ |
| $p 4$ | $c 2$ |
| $p 4$ | $c 3$ |

$$
\begin{gathered}
T_{2} \\
\text { cid } \\
\hline c 1 \\
\hline c 2 \\
\hline c 3
\end{gathered}
$$

(select pid from $T_{1}$ )
minus
select pid from (
(select * from (select pid from $T_{1}$ ), $T_{2}$ ) minus
(select * from $T_{1}$ ))

Note
Notice how an SQL statement can be nested in a from clause.
$\Pi_{S_{1}-S_{2}}\left(T_{1}\right)-\Pi_{S_{1}-S_{2}}\left(\Pi_{S_{1}-S_{2}}\left(T_{1}\right) \times T_{2}-T_{1}\right)=T_{1} \div T_{2}$

