## Correctness Proof of the 3NF Decomposition Algorithm

Let G be a minimal cover of F. Let  $X \to A$  be any FD in G. Let T be a table with schema  $X \cup \{A\}$ . We will prove that T is in 3NF.

**Lemma 1.** X is a candidate key of T.

*Proof.* Suppose that X is not a candidate key of T. Then, there exists  $Y \subset X$  such that  $Y \to A$ , namely,  $Y \to A$  can be derived from G. As shown next, this will lead to the contradiction that G is not a minimal cover.

Let *H* be the set of FDs in *G* other than  $X \to A$  (i.e.,  $G = H \cup \{X \to A\}$ ). Define  $G' = H \cup \{Y \to A\}$ . Next, we will show that  $G^+ = G'^+$ , namely, *G* can still be simplified and hence, cannot be minimal.

Claim 1:  $G'^+ \subseteq G^+$ . That is, if a FD can be derived from G', we can also derive it from G. This is true because (as mentioned earlier) we can derive  $Y \to A$  from G, and hence, the entire G' from G.

Claim 2:  $G^+ \subseteq G'^+$ . That is, if a FD can be derived from G, we can also derive it from G'. This is true because we can derive  $X \to A$  from the FD  $Y \to A$  in G' through transitivity:  $X \to Y \to A$ . In other words, we can derive the entire G from G'.

Now we are ready to establish:

Lemma 2. T is in 3NF.

*Proof.* Now suppose that T is not in 3NF. Let  $Y \to B$  be a 3NF-violating FD. First observe that B must be A; otherwise, by the fact that B is an attribute in T, we know  $B \in X$ , but in this case, B is in a candidate key of T, and hence, cannot be a 3NF-violating FD.

Given that B = A, we know  $Y \neq X$ , and hence,  $Y \subset X$ . In other words, we have identified a FD  $Y \to A$  which can be derived from G, By the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 1, we can show that G is not minimal, and therefore, a contradiction.