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A **priority queue** stores a set $S$ of $n$ integers and supports the following operations:

- **Insert($e$):** Adds a new integer $e$ to $S$.
- **Delete-min:** Removes the **smallest** integer in $S$, and returns it.
Example

Suppose that the priority queue currently contains $S = \{93, 39, 1, 26, 8, 23, 79, 54\}$.

A Delete-Min returns 1, after which $S = \{93, 39, 26, 8, 23, 79, 54\}$.

Another Delete-Min returns 8 and leaves $S = \{93, 39, 26, 23, 79, 54\}$.
Next we will implement a priority queue using a data structure called the **binary heap** to achieve the following guarantees:

- $O(n)$ space consumption
- $O(\log n)$ insertion time
- $O(\log n)$ delete-min time.
Let $S$ be a set of $n$ integers. A **binary heap** on $S$ is a binary tree $T$ satisfying:

1. $T$ is complete.
2. Every node $u$ in $T$ stores a **distinct** integer in $S$, called the **key** of $u$.
3. If $u$ is an internal node, the key of $u$ is smaller than those of its child nodes.

Note:

- Condition 2 implies that $T$ has $n$ nodes.
- Condition 3 implies that the key of $u$ is the smallest in the subtree of $u$. 
**Example**

Two possible binary heaps on $S = \{93, 39, 1, 26, 8, 23, 79, 54\}$:

![Diagram showing two binary heaps]

The smallest integer of $S$ must be the key of the root.
We perform \textit{insert}(e) on a binary heap $T$ as follows:

1. Create a leaf node $z$ with key $e$, while ensuring that $T$ is a complete binary tree.
2. Set $u \leftarrow z$.
3. If $u$ is the root, return.
4. If $u$ has a greater key than its parent $p$, return.
5. Otherwise, swap the keys of $u$ and $p$. Set $u \leftarrow p$, and repeat from Step 3.
Assume that we want to insert 15 into the binary heap below:

```
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 /   \
39   8
 / \
79 54 26 23
 /   /   \
93  
```
Example

First, add 15 as a new leaf, making sure that we still have a complete binary tree.

15 causes a **violation** by being smaller than its parent. This is fixed by a swap with its parent; see next.
15 still causes a violation, necessitating another swap, as shown next.
No more violation. Insertion complete.
Delete-Min

We perform a delete-min on a binary heap $T$ as follows:

1. Report the key of the root.
2. Identify the rightmost leaf $z$ at the bottom level of $T$.
3. Delete $z$ and store the key of $z$ at the root.
4. Set $u \leftarrow$ the root.
5. If $u$ is a leaf, return.
6. If $u$ has a smaller key than both children, return.
7. Otherwise, let $v$ be the child of $u$ with a smaller key. Swap the keys of $u$ and $v$. Set $u \leftarrow v$, and repeat from Step 5.
Example

Assume that we perform a delete-min from the binary heap below:

```
Example

Assume that we perform a delete-min from the binary heap below:

```
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Example

First, find the rightmost leaf at the bottom level, namely, 79.

Notice that the tree is still a complete binary tree after removing this leaf.
Example

Remove the leaf, but place the value 79 in the root.

79 causes a violation by being greater than its children. This is fixed by swapping it with node 8, which is the child of the root with a smaller key. See the next slide.
Node 79 still has a violation, causing another swap as shown next.
Example

The final tree after the delete-min.
Before analyzing the running time of insert and delete-min, let us first consider a sub-problem:

**Given a complete binary tree** $T$ **with** $n$ **nodes, how to identify quickly the rightmost leaf node at the bottom level of** $T$.

Our aforementioned algorithms depend on a fast solution to the above.
Next, we give an algorithm to solve the sub-problem in $O(\log n)$ time.

First, write the value of $n$ in binary form. **Think:** How to do this in $O(\log n)$ time using only the atomic operations we are allowed?

Skip the most significant bit. We will scan the remaining bits from left to right, and descend as instructed by the next bit:

- If the next bit is 0, we go to the left child of the current node.
- Otherwise, go to the right child.
Here $n = 9$, which is 1001 in binary. Skipping the first bit 1, we scan the remaining bits and descend accordingly:

- The 2nd leftmost bit is 0; so we turn left, and go to node 15.
- The 3rd leftmost bit is 0; so we turn left, and go to node 39.
- The 4th leftmost bit is 1; so we turn right, and go to node 79 (done).
We are now ready to prove that our insertion and delete-Min algorithms finish in \(O(\log n)\) time.

It suffices to point out the key facts:

- Step 1 of the insertion algorithm (Slide 7) and Step 2 of the delete-min algorithm (Slide 12) can be performed in \(O(\log n)\) time, using our solution to the previous sub-problem.

- The rest of insertion ascends a root-to-leaf path, while that of delete-min descends a root-to-leaf path. The time is \(O(\log n)\) in both cases.
Now officially we have reached the following conclusion. We can maintain a priority queue on a set $S$ of elements such that:

- At any moment, the space consumption is $O(n)$, where $n = |S|$.
- An insertion can be processed in $O(\log n)$ time.
- A delete-min can be processed in $O(\log n)$ time.