# Quick Sort

#### Yufei Tao

Department of Computer Science and Engineering Chinese University of Hong Kong

1/12

Today, we will discuss another sorting algorithm named **quick sort**. It is a randomized algorithm that runs in  $O(n^2)$  time in the **worst** case but  $O(n \log n)$  time **in expectation**.

#### Recall:

The Sorting Problem

#### Problem Input:

A set S of n integers is given in an array A of length n.

#### Goal:

Produce an array that stores the elements of S in ascending order.

Yufei Tao

### Quick Sort

- Pick an integer p in A uniformly at random, which is called the pivot.
- 2 Re-arrange the integers in an array A' such that
  - all the integers smaller than p are before p in A';
  - all the integers larger than p are after p in A'.
- $\odot$  Sort the part of A' before p recursively (a subproblem).
- $\bullet$  Sort the part of A' after p recursively (a subproblem).



# Example

After Step 1 (suppose that 26 was randomly picked as the pivot):



After Step 2:



After Steps 3 and 4:



5/12

Quick sort is not attractive in the worst case: its worst case time is  $O(n^2)$  (why?). However, quick sort is fast in expectation: we will prove that its expected time is  $O(n \log n)$ . Remember: this holds on **every** input array A.

The rest of the slides will not be tested for CSCI2100.

First, convince yourself that it suffices to analyze the number X of comparisons. The running time is bounded by O(n+X).

Next, we will prove that  $E[X] = O(n \log n)$ .



Denote by  $e_i$  the i-th smallest integer in S. Consider  $e_i$ ,  $e_j$  for any i, j such that  $i \neq j$ .

What is the probability that quick sort compares  $e_i$  and  $e_j$ ?

This question, which seems to be difficult at first glance, has a surprisingly simple answer. Let us observe:

- Every element will be selected as a pivot exactly once.
- $e_i$  and  $e_j$  are **not** compared, if any element **between** them gets selected as a pivot **before**  $e_i$  and  $e_j$ .

For example, suppose that i = 7 and j = 12. If  $e_9$  is the pivot, then  $e_i$  and  $e_j$  will be separated by  $e_9$  (think: why?) and will not be compared in the rest of the algorithm.

Therefore,  $e_i$  and  $e_j$  are compared if and only if either one is the first among  $e_i, e_{i+1}, ..., e_j$  picked as a pivot.

The probability is 2/(j-i+1).



10/12

Define random variable  $X_{ij}$  to be 1, if  $e_i$  and  $e_j$  are compared. Otherwise,  $X_{ij} = 0$ . We thus have  $Pr[X_{ij} = 1] = 2/(j - i + 1)$ . That is,  $E[X_{ij}] = 2/(j - i + 1)$ .

Clearly,  $X = \sum_{i,j} X_{ij}$ . Hence:

$$E[X] = \sum_{i,j:i < j} E[X_{ij}] = \sum_{i,j:i < j} \frac{2}{j - i + 1}$$

$$= 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} \frac{1}{j - i + 1}$$

$$= 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} O(\log(n - i + 1))$$

$$= 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} O(\log n) = O(n \log n).$$

11/12

The above analysis used the following fact:

$$1 + 1/2 + 1/3 + 1/4 + ... + 1/n = O(\log n).$$

The left-hand side is called the harmonic series.