## RAM with Randomization

### Yufei Tao

#### Department of Computer Science and Engineering Chinese University of Hong Kong

So far all our algorithms are deterministic, namely, they do not involve any randomization. This lecture will introduce you to randomized algorithms. Such algorithms play an important role in computer science—they are often simpler, and sometimes can be provably faster as well.

Our current RAM model, however, is not powerful enough for studying randomized algorithms—it does not have any random mechanism yet! We will fix it formally by incorporating one more atomic operation. Accordingly, we will also need extend the notions of "algorithm" and "cost of an algorithm".

RAM with Randomization

Recall that the core of the RAM model is a set of atomic operations. We now formally extend this set with one more atomic operation:

- RANDOM(x, y): Given integers x and y (satisfying x ≤ y), this operation returns an integer chosen uniformly at random in [x, y].
  - Any of x, x + 1, x + 2, ... y has the same probability of being returned.

#### Deterministic Algorithms vs. Random Algorithms

An algorithm is **deterministic** if it never invokes the atomic operation RANDOM. Otherwise, the algorithm is **randomized**.

Recall that the cost of an algorithm is the length of the algorithm's execution (recall that an execution is a sequence of atomic operations).

On the same input, the cost of a deterministic algorithm is a fixed integer—it remains the same every time you execute the algorithm.

The cost of a randomized algorithm, however, is a random variable. Even on the same input, the cost may change each time the algorithm is executed.



- 1. **do**
- 2. r = RANDOM(0, 1)
- 3. **until** r = 1

How many times would Line 2 be executed? The answer is—"we don't know" (in fact, the line may be executed an infinite number of times)! Every time the above "algorithm" is executed, it may produce a new sequence of atomic operations.

Expected Cost of a Randomized Algorithm

Let X be a random variable that equals the cost of an algorithm on an input. The **expected cost** of the algorithm on the input is the expectation of X.



# do r = RANDOM(0, 1) until r = 1

Let X be the cost of the above (randomized) algorithm. X equals 2 with probability 1/2, 4 with probability 1/4, 6 with probability 1/8, ... In general, for  $i \ge 1$ :

$$\Pr[X = 2i] = 1/2^{i}$$

Hence:

$$\mathbf{E}[X] = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{2i}{2^i} = 4 = O(1)$$

where we used the fact that  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (i/2^i) = 2$ .



Now let us see another example where the input size is a general integer n.

**Problem "Find-a-Zero":** Let A be an array of n integers, among which there is at least one 0. Design an algorithm to report an arbitrary position of A that contains a 0.

For example, suppose A = (9, 18, 0, 0, 15, 0, 33, 17). An algorithm can report 3 (because A[3] = 0), 4, or 6.



Consider the following randomized algorithm:

do
r = RANDOM(1, n)
until A[r] = 0
return r

What is the expected cost of the algorithm? The answer is "it depends":

- If all numbers in A are 0, the algorithm finishes in O(1) time.
- If A has only one 0, the algorithm finishes in O(n) expected time because
  - A[r] has 1/n probability of being 0.
  - In expectation, we need to repeat *n* times to find the 0.

#### Worst Cost and Worst Expected Cost of a Randomized Algorithm

Under a problem size *n*, the worst-case expected cost (or just expected cost in short) of a randomized algorithm is the maximum expected cost of the algorithm on every possible input of size *n*.

Under a problem size n, the worst-case cost of a randomized algorithm is the maximum cost of the algorithm on every possible input of size n.

Example 2 (cont.)

Remember array A has at least one 0.

do
r = RANDOM(1, n)
until A[r] = 0
return r

Worst-case cost of the algorithm  $= \infty$ Worst-case expected cost of the algorithm = O(n) We now have a new RAM model. This is the computation model we will stick to in the rest of the course.

Before finishing the lecture, we will tap into the power of randomization by witnessing a problem where randomized algorithms are provably faster than deterministic ones. Power of Randomization

**Problem "Find-a-Zero":** Let *A* be an array of *n* integers, among which half of them are 0. Design an algorithm to report an arbitrary position of *A* that contains a 0.

For example, suppose A = (9, 18, 0, 0, 15, 0, 33, 0). An algorithm can report 3, 4, 6, or 8.

Power of Randomization

- 1. **do**
- 2.  $r = \mathsf{RANDOM}(1, n)$
- 3. **until** A[r] = 0
- 4. return r

The algorithm finishes in O(1) expected time on every input A!

In contrast, any deterministic algorithm must probe at least n/2 integers of A in the worst case! In other words, any deterministic algorithm must have a worst case time of  $\Theta(n)$ —provably slower than the above randomized algorithm (in expectation).