CSCI2100: Regular Exercise Set 3

Prepared by Yufei Tao

Problem 1. Prove $\log_2(n!) = \Theta(n \log n)$.

Solution. Let us prove first $\log_2(n!) = O(n \log n)$:

$$\log_2(n!) = \log_2(\prod_{i=1}^n i)$$

$$\leq \log_2 n^n$$

$$= n \log_2 n$$

$$= O(n \log n).$$

Now we prove $\log_2(n!) = \Omega(n \log n)$:

$$\log_{2}(n!) = \log_{2}(\prod_{i=1}^{n}i) \\ \ge \log_{2}(\prod_{i=n/2}^{n}i) \\ \ge \log_{2}(n/2)^{n/2} \\ = (n/2)\log_{2}(n/2) \\ = \Omega(n\log n).$$

This completes the proof.

Problem 2. Let f(n) be a function of positive integer n. We know:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} f(1) &=& 1\\ f(n) &\leq& 2+f(\lceil n/10\rceil). \end{array}$$

Prove $f(n) = O(\log n)$. Recall that $\lceil x \rceil$ is the ceiling operator that returns the smallest integer at least x.

If necessary, you can use without a proof the fact that f(n) is monotone, namely, $f(n_1) \leq f(n_2)$ for any $n_1 < n_2$.

Solution 1 (Expansion). Consider first n being a power of 10.

$$f(n) \leq 2 + f(n/10) \\ \leq 2 + 2 + f(n/10^2) \\ \leq 2 + 2 + 2 + f(n/10^3) \\ \dots \\ \leq 2 \cdot \log_{10} n + f(1) \\ = 2 \cdot \log_{10} n + 1 = O(\log n).$$

Now consider n that is not a power of 10. Let n' be the smallest power of 10 that is greater

than n. We have:

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
f(n) &\leq & f(n') \\
&\leq & 2\log_{10}n'+1 \\
&\leq & 2\log_{10}(10n)+1 \\
&\leq & O(\log n).
\end{array}$$

Solution 2 (Master Theorem). Let α, β , and γ be as defined in the Master Theorem (see the tutorial slides of Week 4). Thus, we have $\alpha = 1, \beta = 10$, and $\gamma = 0$. Since $\log_{\beta} \alpha = \log_{10} 1 = 0 = \gamma$, by the Master Theorem, we know that $f(n) = O(n^{\gamma} \log n) = O(\log n)$.

Solution 3 (Substitution). We aim to prove that, when $n \ge c_1$, $f(n) \le c_2 \log_2 n$ for some constants c_1, c_2 to be determined later.

• For the base case, we need:

$$f(c_1) \leq c_2 \log_2 c_1$$

$$\Rightarrow c_2 \geq \frac{f(c_1)}{c_1}.$$

• For the inductive case, fix an integer $k > c_1$. Assume that this is correct for all $c_1 \le n < k$. Our goal is to find c to make the claim hold also for n = k.

$$f(n) \leq 2 + f(\lceil n/10 \rceil)$$

$$\leq 2 + c_2 \log_2 \lceil n/10 \rceil$$

We will consider only $n \ge c_1 \ge 3$ so that $\lceil n/10 \rceil \le (n/10) + 1 \le n/2$. With this, we continue the above derivation as follows:

$$f(n) \leq 2 + c_2 \log_2(n/2) = 2 + c_2 \log_2 n - c_2.$$

To make the above at most $c_2 \log_2 n$, it suffices to set $c_2 \ge 2$.

To satisfy all the above, it suffices to set $c_1 = 3$, and $c_2 \ge \max\{2, \frac{1}{c_1}f(c_1)\} = \max\{2, \frac{1}{3}f(3)\}.$

Problem 3. Let f(n) be a function of positive integer n. We know:

$$f(1) = 1$$

$$f(n) \leq 2 + f(\lceil 3n/10 \rceil).$$

Prove $f(n) = O(\log n)$. Recall that $\lceil x \rceil$ is the ceiling operator that returns the smallest integer at least x.

Solution 1 (Expansion).

$$f(n) \leq 2 + f(n_1) \quad (\text{define } n_1 = \lceil (3/10)n \rceil) \\f(n) \leq 2 + 2 + f(n_2) \quad (\text{define } n_2 = \lceil (3/10)n_1 \rceil) \\f(n) \leq 2 + 2 + 2 + f(n_3) \quad (\text{define } n_3 = \lceil (3/10)n_2 \rceil) \\\dots \\f(n) \leq \underbrace{2 + 2 + \dots + 2}_{h \text{ terms}} + f(n_h) \quad (\text{define } n_h = \lceil (3/10)n_{h-1} \rceil) \\= 2h + f(n_h).$$
(1)

So it remains to analyze the value of h that makes n_h small enough. Note that we do *not* need to solve the precise value of h; it suffices to prove an upper bound for h. For this purpose, we reason as follows. First, notice that

$$\lceil 3n/10 \rceil \leq (4n/10) \tag{2}$$

when $n \ge 10$ (prove this yourself).

Let us set h to be the smallest integer such that $n_h < 10$ (this implies that $n_{h-1} \ge 10$ and $n_h \ge (4/10)n_{h-1} \ge 4$). We have:

$$n_{1} \leq (4/10)n$$

$$n_{2} = \lceil (3/10)n_{1} \rceil \leq (4/10)n_{1} \leq (4/10)^{2}n$$

$$n_{3} \leq (4/10)^{3}n$$
...
$$n_{h} \leq (4/10)^{h}n$$

Therefore, the value of h cannot exceed $\log_{\frac{10}{4}} n$ (otherwise, $(4/10)^4 \cdot n < 1$, making $n_h < 1$, which contradicts the fact that $n_h \ge 4$). Plugging this into (1) gives:

$$f(n) \leq 2\log_{\frac{10}{4}} n + f(10) = O(\log n).$$
 (think: why?)

Solution 2 (Master Theorem). Let α, β , and γ be as defined in the Master Theorem. Thus, we have $\alpha = 1, \beta = 10/3$, and $\gamma = 0$. Since $\log_{\beta} \alpha = \log_{10/3} 1 = 0 = \gamma$, by the Master Theorem, we know that $f(n) = O(n^{\gamma} \log n) = O(\log n)$.

Solution 3 (Substitution). We aim to prove that, when $n \ge c_1$, $f(n) \le c_2 \log_2 n$ for some constants c_1, c_2 to be determined later.

• For the base case, we need:

$$f(c_1) \leq c_2 \log_2 c_1$$

$$\Rightarrow c_2 \geq \frac{f(c_1)}{c_1}.$$

• For the inductive case, fix an integer $k > c_1$. Assume that this is correct for all $c_1 \le n < k$. Our goal is to find c to make the claim hold also for n = k.

$$f(n) \leq 2 + f(\lceil 3n/10 \rceil)$$

$$\leq 2 + c_2 \log_2 \lceil n/10 \rceil$$

We will consider only $n \ge c_1 \ge 5$ so that $\lceil 3n/10 \rceil \le (3n/10) + 1 \le n/2$. With this, we continue the above derivation as follows:

$$f(n) \leq 2 + c_2 \log_2(n/2) = 2 + c_2 \log_2 n - c_2.$$

To make the above at most $c_2 \log_2 n$, it suffices to set $c_2 \ge 2$.

To satisfy all the above, it suffices to set $c_1 = 5$, and $c_2 \ge \max\{2, \frac{1}{c_1}f(c_1)\} = \max\{2, \frac{1}{5}f(5)\}.$

Problem 4. Let f(n) be a function of positive integer n. We know:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} f(1) &=& 1 \\ f(n) &\leq& 2n + 4f(\lceil n/4\rceil). \end{array}$$

Prove $f(n) = O(n \log n)$.

Solution 1 (Expansion). Consider first n being a power of 4.

$$f(n) \leq 2n + 4f(n/4)$$

$$\leq 2n + 4(2n/4 + 4f(n/4^2))$$

$$\leq 2n + 2n + 4^2f(n/4^2)$$

$$= 2 \cdot 2n + 4^2f(n/4^2)$$

$$\leq 2 \cdot 2n + 4^2 \cdot (2(n/4^2) + 4f(n/4^3))$$

$$= 3 \cdot 2n + 4^3f(n/4^3)$$

...

$$= (\log_4 n) \cdot 2n + n \cdot f(1)$$

$$= (\log_4 n) \cdot 2n + n = O(n \log n).$$

Now consider that n is not a power of 4. Let n' be the smallest power of 4 that is greater than n. This implies that n' < 4n. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} f(n) &\leq f(n') \\ &\leq (\log_4 n') \cdot 2n' + n' \\ &< (\log_4(4n)) \cdot 8n + 4n = O(n \log n). \end{aligned}$$

Solution 2 (Master Theorem). Let α, β , and γ be as defined in the Master Theorem. Thus, we have $\alpha = 4, \beta = 4$, and $\gamma = 1$. Since $\log_{\beta} \alpha = \log_4 4 = 1 = \gamma$, by the Master Theorem, we know that $f(n) = O(n^{\gamma} \log n) = O(n \log n)$.

Solution 3 (Substitution). We aim to prove that, when $n \ge c_1$, $f(n) \le c_2 \log_2 n$ for some constants c_1, c_2 to be determined later.

• For the base case, we need:

$$f(c_1) \leq c_2 \log_2 c_1$$

$$\Rightarrow c_2 \geq \frac{f(c_1)}{c_1}.$$

• For the inductive case, fix an integer $k > c_1$. Assume that this is correct for all $c_1 \le n < k$. Our goal is to find c to make the claim hold also for n = k.

$$f(n) \leq 2n + 4c_2 \lceil n/4 \rceil \log_2 \lceil n/4 \rceil$$

$$\leq 2n + 4c_2 (n/4 + 1) \log_2 (n/4 + 1).$$

We will consider only $n \ge c_1 \ge 5$ so that $n/4 + 1 \le n/2$. With this, we continue the above derivation as follows:

$$f(n) \leq 2n + 4c_2(n/4 + 1)\log_2(n/2) \\ = 2n + (c_2n + 4c_2)(\log_2 n - 1) \\ \leq 2n + (c_2n + 4c_2)\log_2 n - c_2n \\ \leq 2n + c_2n\log_2 n + 4c_2\log_2 n - c_2n$$

To make the above smaller than or equal to $c_2 n \log_2 n$, it suffices to make sure:

$$2n + 4c_2 \log_2 n \leq c_2 n$$

We will consider only $n \ge c_1 \ge 2^8$ so that $\log_2 n \le n/8$. To make sure the above, it suffices to guarantee:

$$2n + 4c_2(n/8) \leq c_2n$$

$$\Leftrightarrow 2n + c_2n/2 \leq c_2n$$

$$\Leftrightarrow 2n \leq c_2n/2$$

$$\Leftrightarrow 4 \leq c_2.$$

To satisfy all the above, it suffices to set $c_1 = 2^8$, and $c_2 \ge \max\{4, \frac{1}{c_1}f(c_1)\} = \max\{4, \frac{1}{2^8}f(2^8)\}$.

Problem 5 (Bubble Sort). Let us re-visit the sorting problem. Recall that, in this problem, we are given an array A of n integers, and need to re-arrange them in ascending order. Consider the following *bubble sort* algorithm:

- 1. If n = 1, nothing to sort; return.
- 2. Otherwise, do the following in ascending order of $i \in [1, n-1]$: if A[i] > A[i+1], swap the integers in A[i] and A[i+1].
- 3. Recur in the part of the array from A[1] to A[n-1].

Prove that the algorithm terminates in $O(n^2)$ time.

As an example, support that A contains the sequence of integers (10, 15, 8, 29, 13). After Step 2 has been executed once, array A becomes (10, 8, 15, 13, 29).

Solution 1. Notice that Step 2 is executed n-1 times in total. At its *j*-th $(1 \le j \le n-1)$ execution, it incurs at most $c \cdot j$ time for some constant c > 0. Hence, its worst-case time is no more than

$$c \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} j = cn(n-1)/2 < cn^2 = O(n^2).$$

Solution 2. Let f(n) be the worst-case running time of bubble sort when the array has n elements. From the base case (Step 1), we know:

$$f(1) \leq c_1$$

for some constant c_1 . From the inductive case (Steps 2-3), we know:

$$f(n) \leq c_2 n + f(n-1)$$

for some constant c_2 . Solving the recurrence (by the expansion method) gives $f(n) = O(n^2)$.

Problem 6* (Modified Merge Sort). Let us consider a variant of the merge sort algorithm for sorting an array A of n elements (we will use the notation A[i..j] to represent the part of the array from A[i] to A[j]):

- If n = 1 then return immediately.
- Otherwise set $k = \lfloor n/3 \rfloor$.
- Recursively sort A[1..k] and A[k+1..n], respectively.
- Merge A[1..k] and A[k+1..n] into one sorted array.

Prove that this algorithm runs in $O(n \log n)$ time.

Solution. Let f(n) be the worst case time of the algorithm on an array of size n. We have: the following recurrence:

$$\begin{aligned} f(1) &\leq \alpha \\ f(n) &\leq f(\lceil n/3 \rceil) + f(\lceil 2n/3 \rceil) + \beta \cdot n \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > 0$ are constants. Next we will prove that $f(n) = O(n \log n)$ using the substitution method. To simplify discussion, let us get rid of α by defining: $g(n) = f(n) - \alpha$. We thus have:

$$g(1) \leq 0$$

$$g(n) \leq g(\lceil n/3 \rceil) + g(\lceil 2n/3 \rceil) + \alpha + \beta \cdot n$$

$$\leq g(\lceil n/3 \rceil) + g(\lceil 2n/3 \rceil) + (\alpha + \beta) \cdot n$$

We will prove instead that $g(n) = O(n \log n)$ which will imply that $g(n) = O(n \log n)$.

To further simplify discussion, let us define $h(n) = \frac{1}{\alpha + \beta} \cdot g(n)$. Hence, we have

$$h(1) \leq 0 \tag{3}$$

$$h(n) \leq h(\lceil n/3 \rceil) + h(\lceil 2n/3 \rceil) + n \tag{4}$$

We will prove that $h(n) = O(n \log n)$ which will imply that $g(n) = O(n \log n)$.

Assume that $h(n) \leq cn \log_2 n$ for some constant c > 0. It is easy to verify that this is true for h(1), h(2), ..., h(32) as long as c is greater than a certain constant, say, β .

Suppose that $h(n) \leq cn \log_2 n$ for all $n \leq k-1$ and an arbitrary integer k > 32. Next, we will work out the condition for this to hold also on n = k as well. From (4), we have:

$$h(k) \leq h(\lceil k/3 \rceil) + h(\lceil 2k/3 \rceil) + k$$

$$\leq c\lceil k/3 \rceil \log_2 \lceil k/3 \rceil + c\lceil 2k/3 \rceil \log_2 \lceil 2k/3 \rceil + k$$

$$\leq c(1+k/3) \log_2 (1+k/3) + c(1+2k/3) \log_2 (1+2k/3) + k$$
(5)

For k > 32, it always holds that $1 + k/3 \le k/2$ and $1 + 2k/3 \le k$. Hence we have from (5):

$$\begin{aligned} h(k) &\leq c(1+k/3)\log_2(k/2) + c(1+2k/3)\log_2 k + k \\ &= c(1+k/3)((\log_2 k) - 1) + c(1+2k/3)\log_2 k + k \\ &= ck\log_2 k + c\log_2 k - c - ck/3 + c\log_2 k + k \\ &\leq ck\log_2 k + 2c\log_2 k - ck/3 + k \end{aligned}$$

We want the above to be no greater than $ck \log_2 k$ for our argument to work. This is true as long as

$$2c \log_2 k - ck/3 + k \leq 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \quad 2c \log_2 k \leq (c/3 - 1)k.$$

The above holds for any k > 32 as long as $c \ge 48$.

We can therefore set $c = \max\{48, \beta\}$, and assert that $h(n) \leq cn \log_2 n$.