References

[Abde86b]	Software Reliability Predictions," <i>IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering</i> , vol. SE-12, no. 9, September 1986, pp. 950-967.
[Abra87]	Abraham, J.A., Metze, G., lyer, R.K., and Patel, J.H., "The Evolution of Fault-Tolerant Computing at the University of Illinois," <i>The Evolution of Fault-Tolerant Computing</i> , vol. 1 of <i>Dependable Computing and Fault-Tolerant Systems</i> , A Avizienis, H. Kopetz, and JC. Laprie (eds.), Springer-Verlag, Wien and New York, 1987, pp. 271-311.
	Abramson, S.R., et al., "Customer Satisfaction-Based Product Development," <i>Proceedings International Switching Symposium</i> , vol. 2, Inst. Electronics, Information, Communications Engineers, Yokohama, Japan, 1992, pp. 65-69.
[Abra92]	Adams, E.N., "Optimizing Preventive Service of Software Products," <i>IBM Journal of Research and Development</i> , vol. 28, no. 1, January 1984, pp. 2—14.
[Adam84]	Adams, E., and Kulisch, U. (eds.), <i>Scientific Computing with Automatic Result Verification</i> , Academic Press, New York, 1993.
[Adam93]	Agresti, W.W., and Evanco, W.M., "Projecting Software Defects From Analyzing Ada Designs," <i>IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering</i> , vol. 18, no. 11, November 1992, pp. 988-997.
[Agre92]	Ahmed, S., and Tesauro, G., "Scaling and Generalization in Neural Net works: A Case Study," <i>Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 1</i> , D. Touretzky (ed.), Morgan Kaufmann, San Francisco, 1989, pp. 160-168.
[Ahme89]	American Institute of Aeronautic and Astronautics, <i>Recommended Practice for Software Reliability</i> ANSI/AIAA R-013-1992, February1993.
[AI AA93]	Akaike, H., "A New Look at Statistical Model Identification," <i>IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control</i> , AC-19, 1974, pp. 716-723.
	Ammann, P.E., and Knight, J.C., "Data Diversity: An Approach to Software Fault Tolerance," <i>Proceedings of the 17th International Symposium on Fault-Tolerant</i>
[Akai74]	<i>Computing</i> (FTCS-17), IEEE Computer Society Press, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, 1987, pp. 122-126.
[Amma87]	Anderson, T., and Lee, PA., Fault Tolerance-Prentice-Hall, 1981.
[Ande81]	Anderson, T, Barrett, P.A., Halliwell, D.N, and <i>-Principles and Practice</i> , Moulding, M.R., "Software Fault-Tolerance: An Evaluation," <i>IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering</i> , vol. SE-11 (12), 1985, pp. 1502-1510.
[Ande85]	1502-1510.

 $\label{lem:condition} Abdel-Ghaly,\ A.A.,\ "Analysis\ of\ Predictive\ Quality\ of\ Software\ Reliability\ Models,"\ Ph.D.\ dissertation,\ City\ University,\ London,\ 1986.$

Abdel-Ghaly, A.A., Chan, P.Y., and Littlewood, B., "Evaluation of Competing

[Abde86a]

- [Angu82] Angus, J.E., and James, L.E., "Combined Hardware/Software Reliability Models," *Proceedings of the Annual Reliability and Maintainability Sympo sium*, 1982,pp.176-181.
- [Anna80] Anna-Mary, B., "A Study of the Musa Reliability Model," M.S. thesis, Computer Science Department, University of Maryland, 1980.
- [ANSI86] ANSI /IEEE Std. 1012, "ANSI/IEEE Standard for Software Verification and Validation Plans," *Software Engineering Standards*, 3d ed., 1986.
- [ANSI91] ANSI/IEEE, "Standard Glossary of Software Engineering Terminology," STD-729-1991, ANSI/IEEE, 1991.
- [Arla90] Arlat, J., Kanoun, K., and Laprie, J.-C., "Dependability Evaluation of Software Fault-Tolerance," Proceedings of the 18th IEEE International Symposium on Fault-Tolerant Computing (FTCS-18), Tokyo, June 1988, pp. 142-147; also in IEEE Transactions on Computers, vol. 39, no. 4, April 1990, pp.504-513.
- [Arno73] Arnold, T.F., "The Concept of Coverage and Its Effect on the Reliability Model of Repairable *Systems," IEEE Transactions on Computers*, vol. C-22, June 1973,pp.251-254.
- [Arti86] Artis, H.P., "Workload Characterization Using SAS PROC FASTCLUS," Workload Characterization of Computer Systems and Computer Networks, G. Serazzi (ed.), Elsevier Science Publishers, 1986.
- [Asch84] Ascher, H., and Feingold, H., Repairable Systems Reliability: Modeling, Inference, Misconceptions and Their Causes, lecture notes in statistics, vol. 7, Dekker, New York and Basel. 1984.
- Athavale, A., "Performance Evaluation of Hybrid Voting Schemes," M.S. thesis, North Carolina State University, Department of Computer Science, December 1989.
 - [ATT90] AT&T Bell Laboratories, "Draft Software Reliability Engineering: Reliability Estimation Tools Reference Guide Version 3.7," August 1990.
- [Avey80] Aveyard, R.L., and Man, FT, "A Study on the Reliability of the Circuit Maintenance System 1-B," *Bell System Technical Journal*, vol. 59, October 1980, pp.1317-1332.
- [Aviz77] Avizienis, A., and Chen, L., "On the Implementation of N-Version Programming for Software Fault-Tolerance during Program Execution," in *Proceedings COMPSAC 77*, 1977, pp. 149-155.
- [Aviz78] Avizienis, A., "Fault-Tolerance: The Survival Attribute of Digital Systems," *Proceedings of the IEEE*, vol. 66, no. 10, October 1978, pp. 1109-1125.
- [Aviz86] Avizienis, A., and Laprie, J.-C., "Dependable Computing: From Concepts to Design Diversity," *Proceedings of the IEEE*, vol. 74, no. 5, May 1986, pp. 629-638.
 - [Aviz84] Avizienis, A. and Kelly, J.P.J., "Fault Tolerance by Design Diversity: Conceptsand Experiments," *IEEE Computer*, August 1984, pp. 67-80.
- [Aviz85j Avizienis, A., "The N-Version Approach to Fault-Tolerant Software," *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, vol. SE-11, no. 12, December 1985, pp.1491-1501.
- Avizienis, *I.*, and Ball, D.E., "On the Achievement of a Highly Dependable and Fault-Tolerant Air Traffic Control System," *IEEE Computer*, vol. 20, no. 2,1987,pp.84-90.

- [Avizienis, A., Lyu, M.R., and Schiitz, W., "In Search of Effective Diversity: A Six-Language Study of Fault-Tolerant Flight Control Software," Proceedings of the 18th International Symposium on Fault Tolerant Computing, Tokyo, Japan, June 1988, pp. 15-22.
- [Barl75] Barlow, R.E., and Proschan, F., Statistical Theory of Reliability and Life Testing, Holt, New York, 1975.
- [Basi84a] Basili, V.R., and Perricone, B.T, "Software Errors and Complexity: An Empirical Investigation," *Communications of the ACM*, vol. 22, no. 1, January 1984,pp.42-52.
- [Basi84b] Basili, V., and Weiss, D., "A Methodology for Collecting Valid Software Engineering Data," IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering, vol. SE-10, no. 6, 1984,pp.728-738.
- [Basi88] Basili, V.R., and Rombach, H.D., "The TAME Project: Towards Improvement Oriented Software Environments," *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, vol. 14, no. 6, June 1988, pp.758-773.
- [Bass94] Bassin, K., "The Butterfly Model. A Proven Approach to Software Quality Improvement," IBM Technical Report, Glendale Programming Lab., vol. 752-5582, January 1994.
- [Baue85] Bauer, H.A., Croxall, L.M., and Davis, E.A., "The 5ESS Switching System: System Test, First-Office Application, and Early Field Exp erience," *AT&T Technical Journal*, vol. 64, no. 6,1985, pp. 1503-1522.
- [Beck90] Becker, G., and Camarinopoulos, L., "A Bayesian Estimation Method for the Failure Rate of a Possibly Correct Program," *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, vol. 16, no. 11, November 1990, pp. 1307-1310.
- [Beiz90] Beizer, B., Software Testing Techniques, 2d ed., Van Nostrand, 1990.
- [BELL89] BELLCORE, Network Switching Element Outage Performance Monitoring Procedures. SR-TSY-000963, issue I.April 1989.
- [BELL90a] BELLCORE, The Analysis and Use of Software Reliability and Quality Data, SR-TSY-001547, issue 1, January 1990.
 - [BELL90b] BELLCORE, Reliability and Quality Measurements for Telecommunications Systems (RQMS), TR-TSY-000929, issue 1, June 1990.
- [BELL90c] BELLCORE, "Reliability Section 12, Issue 3," in LSSGR—LATA (Local Access and Transport Area) Switching Systems Generic Requirements, Bell-core, February 1990.
- [Bell90] Belli, F., and Jedrzejowicz, P., "Fault-Tolerant Programs and Their Reliability," 7£££ Transactions Pel., vol. 29(2), 1990, pp. 184-192.
- [Bell91] Belli, F, and Jedrzejowicz, P., "Comparative Analysis of Concurrent Fault-Tolerance Techniques for Real-Time Applications," *Proceedings of the Second International Symposium on Software Reliability Engineering*, Austin, Texas, 1991.
- [Benn92] Bennett, J., Denoncourt, M., and Healy, J.D., "Software Reliability Prediction for Telecommunication Systems," Proceedings of the Second Bell-core/Purdue Symposium on Issues in Software Reliability Estimation, October 1992, pp. 85-102.
- [Berg89] Bergen, L.A., "A Practical Application of Software Reliability to a Large Scale Switching System," *IEEE International Workshop: Measurement of Quality During the Life Cycle*, ValDavid, Quebec, Canada, April 25-27,1989.

- [Beya81] Beyaert, B., Florin, G., Lone, P., and Natkin, S., "Evaluation of Computer Systems Dependability Using Stochastic Petri Nets," *Proceedings of the 1lth IEEE International Symposium Fault-Tolerant Computing* (FTCS-11), Portland, Maine, June 1981, pp 79-81.
- [Bhan94] Bhandari, I., Halliday, M.J., Chaar, J., Chillarege, R., Jones, K., Atkinson, J.S., Lepori-Costello, C., Jasper, P.Y., Tarver, E.D., Lewis, C.C., and Yonezawa, M. "In-Process Improvements Through Defect Data Interpretation," *IBM Systems Journal*, vol. 33, no. 1,1994, pp. 182-214.
- [Bhar81] Bhargava, B., and Hua, C., "Cost Analysis of Recovery Block Scheme and Its Implementation Issues," *International Journal of Computer and Information Sciences*, vol. 10, no. 6,1981, pp. 359-382.
- [Biro74] Biro1ini, A., "Some Applications of Regenerative Stochastic Processes to Reliability Theory—Part One: Tutorial Introduction," *IEEE Transactions on Reliability*, vol. R-23, no. 3, August 1974, pp. 186-194.
- [Bish86] Bishop, P.O., Esp, D.G., Barnes, M., Humphreys, P., Dahl, G., and Lahti, J., "PODS—A Project on Diverse Software," *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, vol. SE-12. no. 9,1986, pp. 929-940.
- [Bish88] Bishop, P.O., and Pullen, P.D., "PODS Revisited—A Study of Software Failure Behavior," *Proceedings of the 18th International Symposium on Fault-Toleram Computing*, Tokyo, Japan, 1988, pp. 2-8.
- [Bish91] Bishop, P.G., and Pullen, F.D., "Error Masking: A Source of Failure Dependency in Multi-Version Programs," in *Dependable Computing for Critical Applications*, J.-C. Laprie and A. Avizienis (eds.), Springer-Verlag, Vienna, Austria, 1991, pp. 53-73.
- [Biya95] Biyani, Shriram, "A Graphical Display for Categorical Data Series," IBM Research Report, 1995.
- [Bobb86] Bobbio, A, and Trivedi, K. S., "An Aggregation Technique for the Transient Analysis of Stiff Markov Chains," *IEEE Transactions on Computers*, vol. C-35, September 1986, pp. 803-814.
- [Boeh79] Boehm, B.W., "Guidelines for Verifying and Validating Software Requirements and Design Specifications," in *Proceedings EURO IFIP'79*, London, September 1979, pp 711-719.
- [Boeh81] Boehm, B.W., Software Engineering Economics, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New York, 1981.
- [Boeh86] Boehm, B.W., "A Spiral Model of Software Development and Enhancement," *ACM SIGSOFT Software Engineering Notes*, vol. 11, no. 4,1986, pp. 14-24.
- [Boeh89] Boehm, B.W, Tutorial: Software Risk Management, IEEE CS Press, 1989.
- [Boeh91] Boehm, B.W, "Software Risk Management: Principles and Practices," IEEE Software, January 1991, pp. 32-41.
- [Bour69] Bouricius, W.G., Carter, W.C., Schneider, PR., "Reliability Modeling Techniques for Self-Repairing Computer Systems," Proceedings of the 24th ACM National Conference 1969, pp. 295-309.
- [Bria92] Briand, L.C., Basili, V.R., and Hetmanski, C.J., "Providing an Empirical Basis for Optimizing the Verification and Testing Phases of Software Development," Proceedings of the Third International Symposium on Software Reliability Engineering Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, October 1992,pp.329-338.

- [Bria93] Briand, L.C., Thomas, W.M., and Hetsmanski, C.J., "Modeling and Managing Risk Early in Software Development," Proceedings of the 15th International Conference on Software Engineering, 1993, pp. 55-65.
 - [Bric84] Brick, D.B., Draper, J.S., and Caulfield, H.J., "Computers in the Military and Space Sciences," Computer, vol. 17, no. 10, 1984, pp. 250-262.
- [Bril87] Brilliant, S.S., Knight, J.C., and Leveson, N.G., "The Consistent Comparison Problem in N-Version Software," ACM SIGSOFT Software Engineering Notes, vol. 12, no. 1, 1987, pp. 29-34.
- [Bril90] Brilliant, S.S., Knight, J.C., and Leveson, N.G., "Analysis of Faults in an N-Versior Software Experiment," *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, vol.16,no.2, 1990, pp.238-247.
- [Broc87] Brocklehurst, S., "On the Effectiveness of Adaptive Software Reliability Modelling," Technical Report, Centre for Software Reliability, City University, London,1987.
- [Broc90] Brocklehurst, S., Chan, P.Y., Littlewood, B., and Snell, J., "Recalibrating Software Reliability Models," *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, vol. SE-16, no. 4, April 1990, pp. 458-470.
 - [Broc92] Brocklehurst, S, and Littlewood, B, "New Ways to Get Accurate Reliability Measures," *IEEE Software*, vol. 9, no. 4, July 1992, pp. 34-42.
- [Broo80] Brooks, W.D., and Motley, R.W., *Analysis of Discrete Software Reliability Models*, Rome Air Development Center Technical Report, RADC-TR-80-84, April 1980.
- [BStd86] British Standard 5760, "Reliability of Constructed or Manufactured Products, Systems Equipments and Components, Part 4: Guide to Specification Clauses to the Achievement and Development of Reliability in New and Existing Items," British Standard Institution, 1986.
- [Budd80] Budd, T.A., "Mutation Analysis of Program Test Data," Ph.D. dissertation, Yale University, May, 1980.
- [Burk46] Burks, A.W., Goldstine, H.H., and von Neumann, J., Preliminary Discussion of the Logical Design of an Electronic Computing Instrument, U.S. Army Ordnance Department, 1946.
- [Bush90] Bush, M., "Improving Software Quality: The Use of Formal Inspections at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory," Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Software Engineering (ICSE12), Nice, France, March 26-28, 1990,pp.196-199.
- [Butl91] Butler, R.W., and Finelli, G.B., "The Infeasibility of Experimental Quantification of Life-Critical Software Reliability," *Proceedings of ACM SIG-SOFT'91 Conference on Software for Critical Systems*, NewOrleans, Louisana, December 1991, pp. 66-76.
- [Butl93] Butler, R.W, and Finelli, G.B., "The Infeasibility of Quantifying the Reliability of Life-Critical Real-Time Software," *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, vol. SE-19, January 1993, pp. 3-12.
- [Carm95] Carman, D.W., Dolinsky, A.A., Lyu, M.R., and Yu, J.S., "Software Reliability Engineering Study of a Large-Scale Telecommunications Software System," Proceedings 1995 International Symposium on Software Reliability Engineering, Toulouse, France, October 1995.

Carter, W.C., Jessep, D.C., Bourricius, W.G., Wadia, A.B., McCarthy, C.E., and Milligan, F.G., "Design Techniques for Modular Architectures for Reliable Computer Systems," IBM T.J. Watson Report No. 70.208.0002, 1970.

Carter, W.C., "Architectural Considerations for Detecting Run-Time Errors in Programs," *Proceedings of the 13th International Symposium on Fault-Toleram Computing (FTCS-13)*, IEEE Computer Society Press, Milano, Italy, 1983, pp. 249-256.

Castillo, X., and Siewiorek, D.P, "Workload, Performance, and Reliability of Digital Computing Systems," *Proceedings of the 11th IEEE International Symposium or Fault-Tolerant Computing (FTCS-11)*, Portland, Maine, June 1981, pp. 84-89.

Castillo, X., and Siewiorek, D.P, "A Workload Dependent Software Reliability Prediction Model," Proceedings of the 12th International Symposium on Fault-Toleran Computing, June 1982, pp. 279-286.

Chaar, J., Halliday, M., Bhandari, I., and Chillarege, R., "In-Process Evaluation for Software Inspection and Test," *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, 19, no. 11 November 1993.

Chan, F., Dasiewicz, P., and Seviora, R., "Metrics for Evaluation of Software Reliability Growth Models," *Proceedings of the Second International Symposium on Software Reliability Engineering*, Austin, Texas, May 1991, pp. 163-167.

Charette, R.N, Software Engineering Risk Analysis & Management, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1989.

Chen, L., and Avizienis, A., "N-Version Programming: A Fault-Tolerance Approachte Reliability of Software Operation," Proceedings of the 8th International Symposium on Fault-Tolerant Computing, Toulouse, France, 1978, pp.3-9.

Chen, M., Horgan, J.R., Mathur, A.P., and Rego, V.J., "A Time/Structure Based Model for Estimating Software Reliability," Technical Report SERC-TR-117-P. Software Engineering Research Center, Department of Computer Science, Purdue University, W. Lafayette, Ind., 1992.

Chen, M., Mathur, A.P, and Rego, V.J., "Effect of Testing Techniques on Software Reliability Estimates Obtained Using Time Domain Models," *Proceedings of the 10th Annual Software Reliability Symposium*, IEEE Reliability Society, Denver, Colo., June 25-26, 1992, pp. 116-123; also in *IEEE Transactions on Reliability*, vol. 44, no. 1. March 1995, pp. 97-103.

Chen, M.H., Jones, M.K., Mathur, A.P, and Rego, V.J., "Terse: A Tool for Evaluating Software Reliability Models," *Proceedings of the 4th International Symposium on Software Reliability Estimation*, Denver, Colo, November 1993, pp. 274-283.

Chen, M., "Tools and Techniques for TestingBased Reliability Estimation," Ph.D. dissertation, Purdue University, 1994.

Chen, M., Mathur, A.P., and Rego, V.J., "A Case Study to Investigate Sensitivity of Reliability Estimates to Errors in Operational Profiles," Proceedings of the Fifth International Symposium on Software Reliability Engineering, Monterey, Calif. November 6-9, 1994.

Cheung, R.C., "A User-Oriented Software Reliability Model," *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, vol. SE-6, March 1980, pp. 118-125.

Chillarege, R., and Siewiorek, D.P, "Experimental Evaluation of Computer Systems Reliability," *IEEE Transactions on Reliability*, vol. 39, no. 4, October 1990.

Chillarege, R., Kao, W.-L., and Condit, E.G., "Defect Type and Its Impact on the Growth Curve," *Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Software Engineering*, 1991.

Chillarege, R, Bhandari, I.S., Chaar, J.K., Halliday, M.J., Moebus, D.S., Ray, B.K., and Wong, M.-Y., "Orthogonal Defect Classification—A Concept for In-Process Measurements," *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, vol. 18, no. 11, November 1992, pp. 943-956.

Chillarege, R, and Bassin, K., "Software Triggers and Their Characteristics—A Case Study on Field Failures from an Operating Systems Product," *Fifth IFIP Working Conference on Dependable Computing for Critical Applications*, September 1995.

Choi, B.J., DeMillo, R.A., Krauser, E.W., Mathur, A.P., Martin, R.J., Offutt, A.J., Pan. H., and Spafford, E.H., "The Mothra Toolset," *Proceedings of Hawaii Internationa Conference on System Sciences*, HI, January 3-6, 1989.

Christenson, D.A., "Using Software Reliability Models to Predict Field Failure Rates in Electronic Switching Systems," *Proceedings of the Fourth Annual National Join Conference on Software Quality and Productivity,* Washington, D.C., 1988.

Christenson, D.A., Huang, S.T, and Lamperez, A.J., "Statistical Quality Control Applied to Code Inspections," *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, vol. 8, no. 2, 1990, pp. 196-200.

Christmansson, J., Kalbarczyk, Z., and Torin, J., "Dependable Flight Control System Using Data Diversity with Error Recovery," *Journal of Computer Systems Science Engineering*, 1994.

Clarke, L.A., Podgruski, A., Richardson, D.J., and Zeil, S., "A Formal Evaluation of Data Flow Path Selection Criteria," *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, vol 15, no. 11, November 1989, pp. 1318-1332.

Cleveland, W., Visualizing Data, AT&T Bell Laboratories and Hobard Press, 1993.

Clement, G.F, and Giloth, P.K., "Evolution of Fault Tolerant Switching Systems in AT&T," in *The Evolution of Fault-Tolerant Computing*, A. Avizienis, H. Kopetz, J.-C. Laprie (eds.), Springer-Verlag, Wien, Austria, 1987, pp. 37-54.

Cohen, J., The Single Server Queue, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1969.

Costes, A., Landrault, C., and Laprie, J.-C., "Reliability and Availability Models for Maintained Systems Featuring Hardware Failures and Design Faults," *IEEE Transactions on Computers*, vol. C-27, June 1978, pp. 548-560.

Cote, V, et al., "Software Metrics: An Overview of Recent Results," *Journal of Systems and Software*, vol. 8, 1988, pp. 121-131.

Coudert, 0., and Madre, J.C., "Fault Tree Analysis: 10^{20} Prime Implicants and Beyond *Proceedings of the Reliability and Maintainability Sympo sium*, January 1993, pp. 240-245.

Courtois, P. 3., Decomposability: Queuing and Computer System Application, Academic, New York, 1977.

Coutinho, J. de S., "Software Reliability Growth," *IEEE Symposium on Computer Software Reliability*, 1973.

- [Cox62] Cox, D.R., Renewal Theory, Muthuen, London, U.K., 1962.
- [Cox66] Cox, D.R., and Lewis, P.A.W., *The Statistical Analysis of a Series of Events*, Methuen, London, 1966.
- [Cox78] Cox, D.R., and Lewis, P.A.W., The Statistical Analysis of a Series of Events, Wiley & Sons, New York, 1978.
- [Cram92] Cramp, R., Vouk, M.A., and Jones, W., "On Operational Availability of a Large Software-Based Telecommunications System," *Proceedings of the Third International Symposium on Software Reliability Engineering*, IEEE Computer Society, 1992, pp. 358-366.
- [Craw85] Crawford, S., McIntosh, A., and Pregibon, D., "An Analysis of Static Metrics and Faults in C Software," *The Journal of Systems and Software*, vol. 5, 1985, pp.37-48.
- [Cris82] Cristian, F., "Exception Handling and Software Fault Tolerance," *IEEE Transactions on Computers*, vol. C-31, no. 6, June 1982, pp. 531-540.
- [Cristan, F., "A Rigorous Approach to Fault-Tolerant Programming," IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering, vol. SE-11, 1985, pp. 23-31.
- [Crow74] Crow, L.H., "Reliability Analysis for Complex Repairable Systems," *Reliability and Biometry*, F. Proshan and R.J. Serfling(eds.), SIAM, Philadelphia, pp. 379-410.
- [Crow77] Crow, L.H., "Confidence Interval Procedures for Reliability Growth Analysis," tech. report 1977, U.S. Army Material System Analysis Activity, Aberdeen, Md., 1977.
- [Csen90] Csenki, A., "Bayes Predictive Analysis of a Fundamental Software Reliability Model," IEEE Transactions on Reliability, vol. R-39, 1990, pp. 177-183.
- Currit, PA., Dyer, M., and Mills, H.D., "Certifying the Reliability of Software," *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, vol. SE-12, no. 1, January 1986, pp. 3-11.
- [Cusu95] Cusumano, M., and Selby, R., Microsoft Secrets: How the World's Most Powerfu. Software Company Creates Technology, Shapes Markets, and Manages People, Free Press, Simon & Schuster, New York, 1995.
- [Dala88] Dalal, S.R., and Mallows, C.L., "When Should One Stop Testing Software," *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, vol. 83, no. 403, September 1988,pp.872-879.
- [Dala90] Dalal, S.R., and Mallows, C.L., "Some Graphical Aids for Deciding When to Stop Testing Software," *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, vol. 8, no. 2, February 1990, pp. 169-175.
- [Dala92] Dalal, S.R., and Mallows, C.L., "Buying with Exact Confidence," The Annuals of Applied Probability, vol. 2, no. 3, 1992, pp. 752-765.
- [Dala93] Dalal, S.R., Horgan, J.R., and Kettenring, J.R., "Reliable Software and Communication: Software Quality, Reliability, and Safety," *Proceedings of the 15th International Conference on Software Engineering*, Baltimore, Md., May1993.
- [Dala] Dalal, S.R., and McIntosh, A.A., "When to Stop Testing for Large Software Systems with Changing Code," *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, vol. 20, no. 4, April 1994, pp. 318-323.
- [Dani78] Daniel, W.W, Applied Nonparametric Statistics, PWS-KENT Pub., Boston, Mass., 1978.

- [Davi84] Davies, P.A., "The Latest Developments in Automatic Train Control," in *Proceedings* of the International Conference on Railway Safety Control and Automation Towards 21st Century, London, U.K., September 1984, pp. 272-279.
- [Davi93] Davis, G.J., Earls, M.R., and Patterson-Hine, F.A., "Reliability Analysis of the X-29A Flight Control System Software," *Journal of Computer and Software Engineering*, vol. 1, no. 4, 1993, pp. 325-348.
- [Dawi84] Dawid, A.P., "Statistical Theory: The Prequential Approach," Journal Royal Statistical Society, series A, vol. 147,1984, pp. 278-292.
- [Deb86] Deb, A.K., and Goel,A.L., "Model for Execution Time Behavior of a Recovery *Block' Proceedings COMPSAC 86*, 1986, 497-502.
- [Deb88] Deb, A.K., "Stochastic Modelling for Execution Time and Reliability of Fault-Tolerant Programs Using Recovery Block and N-Version Schemes," Ph.D. thesis, Syracuse University, 1988.
- [DeGr86] DeGroot, M.H., Probability and Statistics, Series in Statistics, Addison-Wesley, Reading Mass., 1986.
- [DeMi91] DeMillo, R.A., and Mathur, A.P, "On the Use of Software Artifacts to Evaluate the Effectiveness of Mutation Analysis for Detecting Errors in Production Software," Technical Report SERC-TR-42-P, Software Engineering, Research Center, Purdue University, W. Lafayette, Ind., 1991.
- [DU184] Dillon, W.R., and Goldstein, M., Multivariate Analysis, John Wiley & Sons, 1984.
- [DiMa91] DiMario, M.J., "Measuring Software Product Quality That Includes Customer Perception," *QAMC/IEEE International Workshop*, Val David, Que bec, Canada, April 24, 1991.
- [Doyl95] Doyle, S.A., and Mackey, J.L., "Comparative Analysis of N-Version Programming (NVP) Architectures, Proceedings of the Reliability and Maintainability Symposium, January, 1995.
- [Drap86] Draper, D., and Smith, H., Applied Regression Analysis, 2d ed., Wiley, New York, 1986.
- [Duan64] Duane, J.T., "Learning Curve Approach to Reliability Monitoring," *IEEE Transactions on Aerospace*, vol. 2, 1964, pp. 563—566.
- [Duga89a] Dugan, J.B., and Trivedi, K.S., "Coverage Modeling for Dependability Analysis of Fault-Tolerant Systems," IEEE Transactions on Computers, vol. 38, no. 6, 1989, pp.775-787.
- [Duga89b] Dugan, J.B., Veeraraghavan, M., Boyd, M., and Mittal, N., "Bounded Approximate Reliability Models for Fault Tolerant Distributed Systems," *Proceedings of the 8th Symposium on Reliable Distributed Systems*, 1989, pp.137-147.
- [Duga91] Dugan, J.B., "Correlated Hardware Failures in Redundant Systems," Proceedings of the 2nd IFIP Working Conference Dependable Computing for Critical Applications, Tucson, Arizona, February 1991.
- [Duga92] Dugan, J.B., Bavuso, S., and Boyd, M., "Dynamic Fault Tree Models for Fault Tolerant Computer Systems," *IEEE Transactions on Reliability*, September 1992.
- [Duga93a] Dugan, J.B., Bavuso, S. and Boyd, M., "Fault Trees and Markov Models for Reliability Analysis of Fault Tolerant Systems," *Journal of Reliability Engineering and System Safety*, vol. 39, 1993, pp. 291-307.

- [Duga93b] Dugan, J.B., and Van Buren, R., "Reliability Evaluation of Fly-by-Wire Computer Systems," *Journal of Systems and Safety*, June, 1993.
- [Duga94a] Dugan, J.B., "Experimental Analysis of Models for Correlation in Multiver-sion Software," Proceedings of the International Symposium on Software Reliability Engineering, 1994.
- [Duga94b] Dugan, J.B., and Lyu, M.R., "System-Level Reliability and Sensitivity Analysis for Three Fault-Tolerant System Architectures," 4th IFIP International Working Conference on Dependable Computing for Critical Applications (DCCA4), San Diego, Calif, January 1994, pp. 295-307.
- [Duga94c] Dugan, J.B., and Lyu, M.R., "System Reliability Analysis of an N-Version Programming Application," *IEEE Transactions on Reliability*, December 1994, pp. 513-519; also in *Proceedings ISSRE'93*, 1993, pp. 103-111.
- [Duga95] Dugan, J.B., and Lyu, M.R., "Dependability Modeling for Fault-Tolerant Software and Systems," chapter 5 in Software Fault Tolerance, M. Lyu (ed.), John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, U.K., 1995.
- [Dura84] Duran, J.W., and Ntafos, S.C., "An Evaluation of Random Testing," IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering, vol. SE-10, 1984, pp. 438—444.
- [Eckh85] Eckhardt, D.E., and Lee, L.D., "A Theoretical Basis for the Analysis of Multi-version Software Subject to Coincident Errors," *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, vol. SE-11, no. 12, 1985, pp. 1511-1517.
- [Eckh91j Eckhardt, D.E., Caglayan, A.K., Kelly, J.P.J., Knight, J.C., Lee, L.D., McAl-lister, D.F., and Vouk, M.A., "An Experimental Evaluation of Software Redundancy as a Strategy for Improving Reliability," *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, vol. 17, no. 12, 1991, pp. 692-702.
- [EEC91] Information Technology Security Evaluation Criteria, Provisional Harmonised Criteria Office for Official Publications of the European Communities, June 1991.
 - [Efro79] Efron, B., "Computers and the Theory of Statistics: Thinking the Unthinkable," SIAMReview, vol. 21, no. 4, October 1979, pp. 460-480.
- [Ehre83] Ehrenberger, W., "Safety, Availability and Cost Questions About Diversity," 4th IFAC1 IFIP I IFORS International Conference on Control in Transportation Systems, Baden-Baden, Germany, April 1983.
- [Ehre85] Ehrenberger, W, "Statistical Testing of Real Time Software," *Verification and Validation of Real Time Software*, W.J. Quirk (ed.), Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985, pp.147-178.
- [Ehrl90] Ehrlich, W.K., Lee, K., and Molisani, R.H., "Applying Reliability Measurements: A Case Study," *IEEE Software*, March1990.
- [Ehrl90a] Ehrlich, W.K., Stampfel, J.P., and Wu, J.R., "Application of Software Reliability Modeling to Product Quality and Test *Process," Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Software Engineering*, Nice, France, March 1990.
- [Ehrl93] Ehrlich, W.K., Prasanna, B., Stampfel, J.P, and Wu, J.R., "Determining the Cost of a Stop-Test Decision," *IEEE Software*, March 1993, pp. 33-42.
- [Eick92] Eick, S.G., Steffen, J.L., and Sumner, E.E., "SeeSoft—A Tool for Visualizing Line-Oriented Software Statistics," *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, vol. 18 no. 11, 1992, pp. 957-968.
- [Eick94] Eick, S.G., and Lucas, P.J., "Visualizing Run-Time Errors in Program Code," Proceedings of the 5th International Symposium on Software Reliability Engineering, Industrial Track, 1994.

- [Elma90] Elman, J., "Finding Structure in Time," Cognitive Science, no. 14, 1990, pp.179-211.
- [Endr75] Endres, A., "An Analysis of Errors and Their Causes in System Programs," Proceedings of the International Conference on Software Engineering, April 1975, pp.327-336.
- [ESA88] European Space Agency, "Software Reliability Modeling Study," Invitation to tender AO/1-2039/87/NL/IW, February 1988.
- [Ever90] Everett, W.W., Furlong, R.J., Klinger, D.J., Tortorella, L.M., and Vanderbei, K.S., Reliability by Design, Customer Information Center Code 010-810-105, Chap. 4, November 1990.
- [Ever92] Everett, W.W., "An 'Extended Execution Time' Software Reliability Model," Proceedings of the Third International Symposium on Software Reliability Engineering, IEEE Computer Press, 1992, pp. 14-22.
- [Ever93] Everett, W.W., and Musa, J.D., "A Software-Reliability Engineering Practice," *IEEE Computer*, vol. 26, no. 3,1993, pp. 77-79.
- [Faga76] Fagan, M.E., "Design and Code Inspections to Reduce Errors in Program Development," IBM Systems Journal, vol. 15, no. 3, 1976, pp. 219-248.
- [Faga86] Fagan, M.E., "Advances in Software Inspections," IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering, July 1986, pp. 744-751.
- [Fahl88] Fahlman, S., "Faster-Learning Variations on Back-Propagation: An Empirical Study," Proceedings of the ConnectionistModels Summer School, D. Touretzky, G. Hinton, and T. Sejnowski (eds.), Morgan Kaufmann, San Francisco, Calif, 1988, pp. 38-51.
- [Fahl90] Fahlman, S, and Lebiere, C., "The Cascaded-Correlation Learning Architecture," Adunraces in Neural Information Processing Systems 2, D. Touretzky (ed.), Morgar Kaufmann, San Francisco, Calif, 1990, pp. 524-532.
- [Fair85] Fairley, R., Software Engineering Concepts, McGraw-Hill, N.Y., 1985.
- [Farr83] Farr, W.H., A Survey of Software Reliability Modeling and Estimation, NSWC TR-171, Naval Surface Warfare Center, September 1983.
- [Farr88] Fair, W.H., and Smith, O.D., "Statistical Modeling and Estimation of Reliability Functions for Software (SMERFS) User's Guide," TR 84-373, Revision 1, NSWC December 1988.
- [Farr93a] Farr, W.H., and Smith 0, Statistical Modeling and Estimation of Reliability Functions for Software (SMERFS) User's Guide, NSWCDD TR 84-373, Revision 3, Naval Surface Warfare Center Dahlgren Division, September, 1993.
- [Farry 3b] Farr, W.H., and Smith 0., Statistical Modeling and Estimation of Reliability Functions for Software (SMERFS) Access Guide, NSWC TR 84-371, Revision 3, Naval Surface Warfare Center Dahlgren Division, September, 1993.
- [FCC92] Federal Communications Commission, "Notification by Common Carriers of Service Disruptions," 47 CFR Part 63, Federal Register, vol. 57, no. 44, March 5,1992,pp.7883-7885.
- [Fent91] Fenton, N.E., *Software Metrics: A Rigorous Approach*, Chapman and Hall, New York, 1991.
- [Frank8] Frankel, E.G., Systems Reliability and Risk Analysis, second revised edition, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1988.

- [Fran93] Franklin, PH., "Software-Reliability Prediction in a Multiple-Processor Environment," Proceedings of the 1993 Reliability and Maintainability Symposium, Atlanta, Georgia, January 1993.
- [Frie91] Fries, R.C., Reliability Assurance for Medical Devices, Equipment and Software InterpharmPress, Inc., Buffalo Grove, 111., 1991.
- [Frie93] Friedman, M.A., "Automated Software Fault-Tree Analysis of Pascal Programs," Proceedings of the 1993 Reliability and Maintainability Symposium, Atlanta, Georgia, January, 1993, pp. 458-461.
- [Frul84] Frullini, R., and Lazzari, A., "Use of Microprocessor in Fail-Safe on Board Equipment," Proceedings of the International Conference on Railway Safety Control and Automation Towards 21st Century, London, U.K., September 1984, pp. 292-299.
- [Gan88] Gaffney, J.E., and Davis, C.F., "An Approach to Estimating Software Errors and Availability," SPC-TR-88-007, version 1.0, March 1988, *Proceedings of the Eleventh Minnowbrook Workshop on Software Reliability*, July 1988.
- [Gaff90] Gaffney, J.E., and Pietrolewicz, J., "An Automated Model for Software Early Error Prediction (SWEEP)," Proceedings of the 13th Minnowbrook Workshop on Software Reliability, July 1990.
- [Gant91] Gantenbein, R.E., Shin, S.Y, and Cowles, J.R., "Evaluation of Combined Approaches to Distributed Software-Based Fault Tolerance," *Pacific Rim International Symposium on Fault Tolerant Systems*, 1991, pp. 70-75.
- [GA092] United States General Accounting Office, Patriot Missile Defense, GAO, IMTEC 92-26, February 1992.
- [Gaud90] Gaudoin, O., "Statistical Tools for Software Reliability Evaluation," Ph.D. thesis, Joseph Fournier Univ. Grenoble I, December 1990; in French.
- [Geis90] Geist, R., and Trivedi, K., "Reliability Estimation of Fault-Tolerant Systems: Tools and Techniques," *IEEE Computer*, July 1990, pp. 52-61.
- [Geph78] Gephart, L.S., Greenwald, C.M., Hofflnan, M.M., and Osterfeld, D.H., Software Reliability: Determination and Prediction, Air Force Flight Dynamics Laboratory Technical Report, AFFDL-TR-78-77, June 1978.
- [Gers91] Gersting, J., Nist, R.L., Roberts, D.R., Van Valkenburg, R.L., "A Comparison of Voting Algorithms for N-Version Programming," *Proceedings of the 24th Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences*, vol. II, 1991, pp. 253—262. Reprinted in Fault-Tolerant Software Systems: Techniques and Applications, Hoang Pham (ed.), IEEE Computer Society Press, 1992, pp.62-71.
 - [Gert94] Gertman, D., and Blackman, H.S., *Human Reliability and Safety Analysis Data Handbook*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1994.
 - [Ghez91] Ghezzi, C., Jazayeri, M., and Mandrioli, D., Fundamentals of Software Engineering, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1991.
- [Gibb94] Gibbs, W.W., "Software's Chronic Crisis," in *Scientific American*, vol. 271, September 1994, pp. 86-95.
- [Girg86] Girgis, M.R., and Woodward, M.R., "An Experimental Comparison of the Error Exposing Ability of Program Testing Criteria," *Proceedings of the Workshop on Software Testing, Validation, and Analysis, Banff, Canada, July 15-17,1986.*
- [Gmei79] Gmeiner, L., and Voges, U, "Software Diversity in Reactor Protection Systems: An Experiment," Proceed;; of the IFAC Workshop SAFECOMP'79, 1979,pp.75-79.

Gnedenko, B.V., Belyayev, Y.K., and Solovyev, A.D., *Mathematical Methods of Reliability Theory*, Academic Press, New York, 1969.

GNU, TkGnats Package, Free Software Foundation Inc., 1993.

Goel, A.L., "Software Reliability Models: Assumptions, Limitations, and Applicability," *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, vol. 11, no. 12 1985,pp.1411-1423.

Goel, A.L., and Okumoto, K., "Time-Dependent Error -Detection Rate Model for Software and Other Performance Measures," *IEEE Transactions on Reliability*, vol R-28, no.S. August 1979, pp. 206-211.

Goyal, A., Lavenberg, S.S., and Trivedi, K.S., "Probabilistic Modeling of Computer System Availability," *Annals of Operations Research*, no. 8, March 1987,pp.285-306.

Graden, M.E., Horsley, P.S., and Pingel, T.C., "The Effects of Software Inspections on a Major Telecommunications Project/'AT&T *Technical Journal*, vol. 65, issue 3,1986 pp. 32-40.

Grady, R.B., and Caswell, D.L., *Software Metrics: Establishing A Company-Wide Program*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1987.

Grady, R.B., *Practical Software Metrics for Project Management and Process Improvement*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1992.

Gray, J., "Why Do Computers Stop and What Can We Do about It?" Tandem Technical Report 85.7, June 1985.

Gray, J.N., "Why Do Computers Stop and What Can Be Done About It?" *Proceedings of the 5th Symposium on Reliability in Distributed Software and Database Systems*, Los Angeles, January 1986, pp. 3-12.

Gray, J., "A Census of Tandem System Availability Between 1985 and 1990," *IEEE Transactions on Reliability*, vol. 39, no. 4, October 1990, pp. 409-418.

Griewank, A., and Corliss, G.F. (eds.), *Automatic Differentiation of Algorithms—Theory, Implementation and Application*, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1992.

Grnarov, A., Arlat, J., and Avizienis, A., "On the Performance of Software Fault Tolerance Strategies," *Proceedings of the 10th IEEE International Symposium or Fault-Tolerant Computing (FTCS-10)*, Kyoto, Japan, October 1980, pp. 251-253.

Gross, D., and Miller, D.R., "The Randomization Technique as a Modeling Tool and Solution Procedure for Transient Markov Processes," *Operations Research*, vol. 32, no 2, 1984, pp. 343-361.

Hagelin, G, "ERICSSON Safety System for Railway Control," in [Vog87a], 1987,pp.11-21.

Halstead, VIS., Elements of Software Science, Elsevier North-Holland, New York, 1977.

Hamilton, PA., and Musa, J.D., "Measuring Reliability of Computation Center Software," *Proceedings of the Third International Conference on Software Engineering*, 1978, pp. 29-36.

Hamlet, D., and Taylor, R., "Partition Testing Does Not Inspire Confidence," *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, vol. SE-16, no. 12, 1990, pp. 1402-1411.

Hamlet, D., and Voas, J., "Faults on Its Sleeve: Amplifying Software Reliability Testing," *Proceedings of the 1993 International Symposium on Software Testing and Analysis*, Cambridge, Mass., June 1993, pp. 89—98.

- [Hand66] Handbook of Tables for Probability and Statistics, Chemical Rubber Company, Cleveland, 1966.
- [Hans92] Hansen, J.R, and Siewiorek, D.R, "Models for Time Coalescence in Event Logs," Proceedings of the 22nd International Symposium on Fault-Tolerant Computing, July 1992, pp. 221-227.
- [Harri90] Harrington, P.V., "Applying Customer-Oriented Quality Metrics," IEEE Software November 1989.
- [Hech79] Hecht, H., "Fault-Tolerant Software," IEEE Transactions on Reliability, vol. R-28, August 1979, pp. 227-232.
- [Hech86] Hecht, H., and Hecht, M., "Fault-Tolerant Software," Fault-Tolerant Computing: Theory and Techniques, D.K. Pradhan (ed.), vol. 2, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1986, pp. 658-696.
- [Hech87] Hecht, H, and Fiorentino, E., "Reliability Assessment of Spacecraft Electronics," Proceeding's of the Annual Reliability and Maintainability Symposium, 1987,pp.341-346.
- [Henl82] Henley, E.J., and Kumamoto, H., Probabilistic Risk Assessment, IEEE Press, New York, 1982.
- [Henr91] Henry, S.M., and Wake, S., "Predicting Maintainability with Software Quality Metrics," Software Maintenance: Research and Practice, vol. 3, 1991, pp. 129-143.
- [HU183] Hills, A.D., "A310 Slat and Flap Control System Management and Experience," ProceedtTigs 5thDASC, November 1983.
- [Hoad81] Hoadely, B., "Quality Measurement Plan (QMP)," Bell Systems Technical Journal, vol. 60, no. 2, 1981, pp. 215-273.
- [Hoad86] Hoadely, B., "Quality Measurement Plan (QMP," Encyclopedia of Statistical Sciences, Kotz, Johnson, and Read (eds.), John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1986,pp.393-398.
- [Hogg83] Hogg, R.V., and Tanis, E.A., Probability and Statistical Inference, 2d ed., Macmillan Publishing Co., Inc., 1983.
- [Holl74] Hollander, M, and Proschan, F., "A Test for Superadditivity for the Mean Value Function of a Non-Homogeneous Poisson Process," *Stochastic Procedure and Their Applications*, vol. 2, 1974, pp. 195-209.
- [Holl78] Hollander, M, "Testing Whether More Failures Occur Later," *Proceedings of the Annual Reliability and Maintainability Symposium*, 1978, pp. 103-106.
- [Hopf86] Hopfield, J., and Tank, D., "Computing with Neural Circuits: A Model," Science, vol. 23, August 1986, pp. 625-633.
- [Horg91] Horgan, J.R., and London, S.A., "Dataflow Coverage and the C Language," Proceedings of the Fourth Annual Symposium on Testing, Analysis, and Verification, Victoria. British Columbia, Canada, October 1991, pp. 87-97.
- [Horg92] Horgan, J.R., and Mathur, A.P., "Assessing Tools in Research and Education," #£££ Software, May 1992, pp. 61-69.
- [Horg94] Horgan, J.R., London, S.A., and Lyu, M.R., "Achieving Software Quality with Testing Coverage Measures," *IEEE Computer*, vol. 27, no. 9, September 1994,pp.60-69.
- [Howa71] Howard, R.A., *Dynamic Probabilistic Systems*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1971.

Howden, W.E., "Functional Testing," *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, vo SE-6, no. 2, March 1980, pp. 162-169.

Hsueh, M.C., and lyer, R.K., "A Measurement-Based Model of Software Reliability in a Production Environment," *Proceedings of the llthA.nnu.al International Computer Software & Applications Conference*, October 1987, pp. 354-360.

Hsueh, M.C., lyer, R.K., and Trivedi, K.S., "Performability Modeling Based on Real Data: A Case Study," *IEEE Transactions on Computers*, vol. 37, no. 4, April 1988, pp 478-484.

Huang, K-H., and Abraham, J.A., "Algorithms-Based Fault-Tolerance for Matrix Operations," *IEEE Transactions on Computers*, vol. C-33, 1984, pp. 518-528.

Huang, Y., and Kintala, C., "Software Implemented Fault Tolerance: Technologies and Experience," *Proceedings of the 23rd International Symposium on Fault-Tolerant Computing*, June 1993, pp. 2-9.

Hudak, J., Suh, B., Siewiorek, D., and Segall, Z., "Evaluation and Comparison of Fault-Tolerant Software Techniques," *IEEE Transactions on Reliability*, vol. 42, no. 2 June 1993, pp. 190-204.

Hudepohl, J., Snipes, W., Jones, W.D., and Hollack, T, "A Methodology to Improve Switching System Software Service Quality and Reliability," *Proceedings of the IEEE Global Telecommunications Conference (GLOBCOM)*, December 1992.

Hull, T.E., Fairgrieve, T.F, Tang, P.T.P., "Implementing Complex Elementary Functions Using Exception Handling," *ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software* vol. 20, no. 2, June 1994.

Humphrey, W.S., *Managing the Software Process*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Reading, Mass., 1989.

Ibe, O.C., and Wein, A.S., "Availability of Systems with Partially Observable Failures," *IEEE Transactions on Reliability*, IEEE, vol. 41, no. 1, March 1992, pp. 92-96.

IBM MHVPL PTM Work Group, *Requirements for Program Trouble Memo-randas*, IBM Mid Hudson Valley Programming Lab, Myers Corners Road, Wappingers Falls. N.Y., 1990.

IBM, "ODC Data Requirements and Definitions," working document, ODC Project, IBM Watson Research, 1995.

IEEE Computer, "Special issue on fault-tolerant computing," vol. 17, no. 8, 1984.

American National Standards Institute/Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers *A Standard for Binary Floating-Point Arithmetic*, ANSI/IEEE Std. 754-1985, New York, 1985 (reprinted in SIGPLAN vol. 22, no.2,1987,pp.9-2-5).

IEEE Std. 1012-1986, IEEE Standard, Software Verification and Validation Plans, IEEE 1986.

American National Standards Institute/Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers A Standard for Radix-Independent Floating-point Arithmetic, ANSI/IEEE Std. 854-1987, New York, 1987.

IEEE Standard, "A Standard Classification of Software Errors, Faults and Failures," *Technical Committee on Software Engineering, Standard P-1044 IDS*, December 1987.

- [IEEE88a] IEEE Standard, Dictionary of Measures to Produce Reliable Software (ANSI), IEEE Std-982.1-1988, IEEE, New York, 1988.
- [IEEE88b] IEEE, Guide for the Use of IEEE Standard Dictionary of Measures to Produce Reliable Software (ANSI), IEEE Std-982.2-1988, IEEE, New York, 1988.
- [IEEE90] IEEE Standard, Glossary of Software Engineering Terminology (ANSI) IEEE Std-610.12-1990, IEEE, New York, 1990.
- [IEEE90a] IEEE, "Theme Articles: Metrics," IEEE Software, vol. 7, no. 2, March 1990.
- [IEEE900] IEEE Standards, "Standard for Software Quality Metrics Methodology," Software Engineering Standards Committee, Standard P-1061 ID21, April 1990.
- [IEEE91] Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, ANSI/IEEE Standard Glossary of Software Engineering Terminology, IEEE Std. 729-1991, 1991.
- [IEEE94] IEEE, "Special Issue on Safety-Critical Systems," IEEE Software, J. Knight and B. Littlewood(eds.), January 1994.
- [IEEE95] IEEE, Charter and Organization of the Software Reliability Engineering Committee, 1995.
- [Ishi91] Ishikawa, K., Introduction to Quality Control, 3A Corporation, Tokyo, 1991.
- [IS087] ISO, "International Standard ISO 9001: Quality Systems—Model for Quality Assurance in Design/Development, Production, Installation and Servicing,"/SO 9002, Switzerland, 1987.
- [ISO91] ISO, "Quality Management and Quality Assurance Standards—Part 3: Guidelines for the Application of ISO 9001 to the Development, Supply and Maintenance of Software," ISO 9000-3, Switzerland, June 1991.
- [Iyer82a] lyer, R.K., and Rossetti, D.J., "A Statistical Load Dependency Model for CPU Errors at SLAC," Proceedings of the 12th International Symposium on Fault-Tolerant Computing June 1982, pp. 363-372.
- [Iyer82b] lyer, R.K., Butner, S.E., and McCluskey, E.J., "A Statistical Failure/Load Relationship: Results of a Multi-Computer Study," *IEEE Transactions on Computers*, vol. C-31, July 1982, pp. 697-706.
- [Iver85a] lyer, R.K., and Velardi, P., "Hardware-Related Software Errors: Measure ment and Analysis," *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, vol. SE-11, no. 2, February 1985, pp. 223-231.
- [Iyer85b] Iver, R.K., and Rossetti, D.J., "Effect of System Workload on Operating System Reliability: A Study on IBM 3081," *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, vol. SE-11, no. 12, December 1985, pp. 1438-1448.
- [Iyer86] Iver, R.K., Rossetti, D.J., and Hsueh, M.C., "Measurement and Modeling of Computer Reliability as Affected by System Activity," ACM Transactions on Computer Systems, vol. 4, no. 3, August 1986, pp. 214-237.
- [Iyer90] lyer, R.K., Young, L.T, and lyer, P.V.K., "Automatic Recognition of Intermittent Failures An Experimental Study of Field *Data*," *IEEE Transactions on Computers*, vol. 39, no. 4 April 1990, pp. 525-537.
- [Jaco88] Jacobs, R., "Increased Rates of Convergence Through Learning Rate Adaptation," Neural Networks, vol. 1, 1988, pp. 295-307.
- [Jaco91] Jacob, J., "The Basic Integrity Theorem," *Proceedings of the IEEE International Symposium on Security and Privacy*, Oakland, Calif., May 1991, pp. 89-97.

- [Jeli72] Jelinski, Z., and Moranda, P.B.: "Software Reliability Research," Proceedings of the Statistical Methods for the Evaluation of Computer System Performance, Academic Press, 1972, pp. 465-484.
- [Jewe85] Jewell, W.S., "Bayesian Extensions to a Basic Model of Software Reliability," IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering, SE-11, 1985, pp. 1465-1471.
- [JohK82] Johnson, N., and Kotz, S. (eds.), Encyclopedia of Statistical Sciences, Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1982.
- [John82] Johnson, R.A., and Wichern, D.W., Applied Multivariate Statistical Analysis, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1982.
- [John88] Johnson, A.M., and Maiek, M., "Survey of Software Tools for Evaluating Reliability, Availability, and Serviceability," ACM Computing Surveys, vol. 20, no. 4, pp. 227-269. December 1988.
- [Jone91] Jones, W.D., "Reliability Modeling for Large Software Systems in Industry," Proceedings of the Second International Symposium on Software Reliability Engineering, May 1991, pp. 35-42.
- [Jone92] Jones, W.D., "Reliability of Telecommunications Software: Assessing Sensitivity of Least Squares Reliability Estimates," *Proceedings of TRICOM*, February 1992.
- [Jone93] Jones, W.D., and Gregory, D., "Infinite Failure Models for a Finite World: A Simulation of the Fault Discovery *Process," Proceedings of the Fourth International Symposium on Software Reliability Engineering*, November 1993, pp. 284-293.
- [Jord86] Jordan, M., "Attractor Dynamics and Parallelism in a Connectionist Sequential Machine," Proceeding's of the 8th Annual Conference of the Cognitive Science, 1986. pp. 531-546.
- [JPL91] Handbook For Software Reliability Measurement, Software Product Assurance Section Jet Propulsion Laboratory, JPL D-8672, June 20, 1991.
- [Juhl92a] Juhlin, B.D., 'Implementing Operational Profiles to Measure System Reliability,' Proceedings of the 3rd International Symposium on Software Reliability Engineering Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, October 7-10,1992,pp.286-295.
- [Juhli92b] Juhlin, B.D., "Applying Software Reliability Engineering to International PBX Testing." Presentations at the 9th International Conference on Testing Computer Software, Washington, D.C., June 16-18,1992, pp. 165-176.
- [Juhl93] Juhlin, B.D., "Software Reliability Engineering in the System Test Process," presentations at the 10th International Conference on Testing Computer Software Washington, D.C., June 14-17,1993, pp. 97-115.
- [Kan91] Kan, S.H., "Modeling and Software Development Quality," *IBM Systems Journal*, vol 30, no. 3, 1991, pp. 351-362.
- [Kana95] Kanawati, A., Kanawati, N., and Abraham, J., "FERRARI: A Flexible Software-Based Fault and Error Injection System," *IEEE Transactions on Computer*, vol. 44, no. 2. February1995.
- [Kano87] Kanoun, K., and Sabourin, T., "Software Dependability of a Telephone Switching System," Proceedings of the 17th IEEE International Symposium on Fault-Tolerant Computing (FTCS-17), Pittsburgh, June 1987, pp. 236-241.
- [Kano88] Kanoun, K, Laprie, J.-C., and Sabourin, T, "A Method for Software Reliability Growth Analysis and Assessment," Proceedings of the 1st Interna-

- tional Workshop on Software Engineering and Its Applications, Toulouse, Dec. 1988, pp. 859-878.
- [Kano89] Kanoun, K., "SoftwareDependabilityGrowth—Characterization, Modeling, Evaluation," Doctor es-Sciences thesis, Toulouse Polytechnic National Institute, September 89 LAASReport no. 89.320; in French.
- [Kano91a] Kanoun, K., Bastos Martini, M., and Moreira De Souza, J., "A Method for Software Reliability Analysis and Prediction—Application to the TROP-ICO-R Switching System," *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, April 1991,pp.334-344.
- [Kano91b] Kanoun, K., and Laprie, J.-C., "The Role of Trend Analysis in Software Development and Validation," Proceedings IFAC International Conference on Safety, Security and Reliability (SAFECOMP'91), 30 October-1 November, 1991, Trondheim, Norway.
- [Kano92] Kanoun, K., Kaaniche, M., Laprie, J.-C., and Metge, S., "SoRel: A Tool for Reliability Growth Analysis and Prediction from Statistical Failure Data," Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, LAAS Report 92.468, December 1992. Also in FTCS-23 Proceedings, Toulouse, France, June 1993, pp. 654-659.
- [Kano93a] Kanoun, K., Kaaniche, M., Beounes, C., Laprie, J.-C., and Arlat, J., "Reliability Growth of Fault-Tolerant Software," *IEEE Transactions on Reliability*, vol. 42, no. 2, June 1993, pp. 205-219.
- [Kano93b] Kanoun, K., Kaaniche, M., and Laprie, J.-C., "Experience in Software Reliability: From Data Collection to Quantitative Evaluation," *Proceedings of the Fourth International Symposium on Software Reliability Engineering*, (ISSRE'93), Denver, Colo., November 1993, pp. 234-246.
- [Kao93] Kao, W., lyer, R., and Tang, D., "FINE: A Fault Injection and Monitoring Environment of Tracing the UNIX System Behavior under Faults, *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, vol. 19, no. 11, November 1993, pp. 1105-1118.
- [Kapl85] Kaplan, G., "The X-25: Is It Coming or Going?," *IEEE Spectrum*, vol. 22, no. 6,1985,pp.54-60.
- [Kare90] Kareer, N., Kapur, P.K., and Grover, P.S., "An S-Shaped Software Reliability Growth Model with Two Types of Errors," *Microelectronics and Reliability*, vol. 30, no. 6, 1990 pp.1085-1090.
- [Karu91] Karunanithi, N., Malaiya, Y.K., and Whitley, D., "Prediction of Software Reliability Using Neural Networks," Proceedings of the 2nd International Symposium on Software Reliability Engineering, IEEE Computer Society Press, May 1991, pp. 124-130.
- [Karu92a] Karunanithi, N., Whitley, D., and Malaiya, Y.K., "Prediction of Software Reliability Using Connectionist Approachs," *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, vol. 18, no. 7, July 1992, pp. 563-574.
- [Karu92b] Karunanithi, N., Whitley, D., and Malaiya, Y.K., "Using Neural Networks in Reliability Prediction," *IEEE Software*, vol. 9, no. 4, July 1992, pp. 53-59.
- [Karu92c] Karunanithi, N., and Malaiya, Y.K., "The Scaling Problem in Neural Network for Software Reliability Prediction," Proceedings of the Third International Symposium on Software Reliability Engineering, IEEE Computer Society Press, October 1992, pp. 76-82.
- [Karu92d] Karunanithi, N., "Generalization in the Cascade-Correlation Architecture: Some Experiments and Applications," Ph.D. dissertation, Computer Science Departmen Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colo., December 1992.

- [Karu93a] Karunanithi, N., "A Neural Networks Approach for Software Reliability Growth Modeling in the Presence of Code Churn," *Proceedings of the 4th International Symposium on Software Reliability Engineering*, Denver, Colo., November 3-6, 1993, pp. 310-317.
- [Karu93b] Karunanithi, N., "Identifying Fault-Prone Software Modules Using Connec-tionist Networks," Proceedings of the International Workshop on Applications of Neural Networks to Telecommunications, (IWANNT'93), October 18-20, 1993, pp. 266-272.
- [Karu93c] Karunanithi, N, "Identifying Fault-Prone Software Modules Using Feed-Forward Networks: A Case Study," Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 6, J. Cowan, G. Tesaruo, and J. Alspector (eds.), Morgan Kaufmann, San Francisco, Calif, 1993, pp. 793-800.
- [Keen92] Keene, S., and Chris, L., "Combining Software and Hardware Aspects of Reliability," *Quality and Reliability Engineering International*, vol. 8, no. 4, 1992,pp.419-426.
- [Keil83] Keiller, PA., Littlewood, B., Miller, D.R., and Sofer, A., "Comparison of Software Reliability Predictions," *Proceedings of the 13th IEEE International Symposium on Fault-Tolerant Computing (FTCS-13)*, Milano, Italy, June 1983, pp. 128-134.
- [Kell86] Kelly, J.P.J., Avizienis, A., Ulery, B.T., Swain, B.J., Lyu, R.T., Tai, A.T., and Tso, K.S., "Multiversion Software Development," *Proceedings IFAC Workshop SAFECOMP'86*, Sarlat, France, October 1986, pp. 43-49.
- [Kell88] Kelly, J., Eckhardt, D., Caglayan, A., Knight, J., McAllister, D., and Vouk, M.,"A Large Scale Second Generation Experiment in Multi-Version Software: Description and Early Results," *Proceedings of the 18th International Symposium on Fault-Tolerant Computing*, Tokyo, Japan, June 1988, pp.9-14.
- [Kend77] Kendall, M.G., The Advanced Theory of Statistics, Oxford University Press, 1977.
- [Kenn92] Kenney, G.Q., and Vouk, M.A., "Measuring the Field Quality of Wide-Distribution Commercial Software," Proceedings of the 3rd IEEE International Symposium on Software Reliability Engineering (ISSRE'92), Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, October 1992, pp. 351-357.
- [Kenn93a] Kenney, G.Q., "Estimating Defects in Commercial Software During Operational Use," *IEEE Transactions on Reliability*, vol. 42, no. 1, 1993, pp.107-115.
- [Kenn93b] Kenney, G.Q., "The Next Release Effect in the Field Defect Model for Commercial Software," Ph.D. dissertation, North Carolina State University, Department of Computer Science, 1993.
- [Khos89] Khoshgoftaar, T.M., and Woodcock, T.G., "A Simulation Study of the Performance of the Akaike Information Criterion for the Selection of Software Reliability Growth Models," Proceedings of the 27th Annual South East Region ACM Conference, April 1989, pp. 419-423.
- [Khos90] Khoshgoftaar, T.M., and Munson J.C., "Predicting Software Development Errors Using Software Complexity Metrics," *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, vol 8, no. 2, February 1990, pp. 253-261.
- [Khos91] Khoshgoftaar, T.M., and Woodcock, T.G., "Software Reliability Model Selection: A Case Study," Proceedings of the Second International Symposium on Software Reliability Engineering, May 1991, pp. 183-191.

- [Khos92a] Khoshgoftaar, T.M., and Munson, J.C., "A Measure of Software System Complexity and Its Relationship to Faults," *Proceedings of the 1992 International Simulation Technology Conference*, Houston, Texas, November 1992, pp.267-272.
- [Khos92b] Khoshgoftaar, T.M., and Munson, J.C., "Applications of a Relative Complexity Metric for Predicting Source Code Complexity at the Design Phase," Pro-ceedings of the Second Software Engineering Research Forum, Melbourne, Florida, November 1992, pp 191-198.
- [Khos92c] Khoshgoftaar, T.M., Munson, J.C., Bhattacharya, B.B., and Richardon, G., "Predictive Modeling Techniques of Software Quality from Software Measures," 7££JS Transactions on Software Engineering, vol. 18, no. 11, November1992,pp.979-987.
- [Khos92d] Khoshgoftaar, T.M., Pandya, A.S., and More, H.B., "A Neural Network Approach for Predicting Software Development Faults," *Proceedings of the Third International* Symposium on Software Reliability Engineering, IEEE Computer Society Press, October 1992, pp. 83-89.
- [Khos93a] Khoshgoftaar, T.M., Munson, J.C., and Lanning, D.L., "Dynamic System Complexity," Proceedings of the IEEE-CS International Software Metrics Symposium, Baltimore, Md., May 1993, pp. 129-140.
- [Khos93b] Khoshgoftaar, T.M., Munson, J.C., and Lanning, D.L., "A Comparative Study of Predictive Models for Program Changes During System Testing and Maintenance," Proceedings of the Conference on Software Maintenance, Montreal, Quebec, Canada September 1993, pp. 72-79.
- [Khos93c] Khoshgo ftaar, T.M., Lanning, D.L., and Pandya, A.S., "A Neural Network Modeling Methodology for the Detection of High-Risk Programs," *Proceedings of the Fourth International Symposium on Software Reliability Engineering*, Denver, Colo., November 1993, pp. 302-309.
- [Khos94a] Khoshgoftaar, T.M., Lanning, D.L., and Pandya, A.S., "A Comparative Study of Pattern Recognition Techniques for Quality Evaluation of Telecommunications Software," *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, vol. 12, no. 2, February 1994, pp. 279-291.
- [Khos94b] Khoshgoftaar, T.M., Munson, J.C., and Lanning, D.L., "Alternative Approaches for the Use of Metrics to Order Programs by Complexity," *Jour-nal of Systems and Software*, vol. 24, no. 3, March 1994, pp. 211-221.
- [Kim89] Kim, K.H., and Welch, H.O., "Distributed Execution of Recovery Blocks: An Approach for Uniform Treatment of Hardware and Software Faults in Real-Time Applications," *IEEE Transactions on Computers*, vol. 38, no. 5, May 1989,pp.626-636.
- [Kits93] Kitson, D., and Masters, S., "An Analysis of SEI Software Process Results: 1987-1991," *Proceedings of the Fifteenth International Conference on Software Engineering*, IEEE Computer Society Press, 1993, pp. 68-77.
- [Klat91] Klatte, R., Kulisch, U., Neaga, M., Ratz, D., and Ullrich, C., PASCAL-XSC—Language Description with Examples, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [Klat92] Klatte, R, Kulisch, U, Lawo, C., Rauch, M., and Wiethoff, A., C-XSC, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992.
- [Knaf92] Knafl, G., "Overview of the Software Reliability Models, Estimation Procedures, and Predictive Performance Measures," lecture notes for Tutorial Track 2a for the *Third International Symposium on Software Reliability Engineering*, October 1992.

Knight, J.C., and Leveson, N.G., "An Experimental Evaluation of the Assumption of Independence in Multiversion Programming," *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, vol.SE-12, no. 1, 1986, pp. 96-109.

Knuth, D., *The Art of Computer Pr ogramming: Semi-Numerical Algorithms*, Addison-Wesley Book Company, Reading, Mass., 1970, pp. 550-554.

Knuth, D.E., "A Torture Test for TEX," Technical Report No.STAN-CS-84-1027, Department of Computer Science, Stanford University, Stanford, Calif, 1984.

Knuth, D.E., TEX: The Program, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1986.

Knuth, D.E., "The Errors of TEX," *Software Practice and Experience*, vol. 19, no. 7,July1989,pp.607-685.

Kozlov, B.A., and Ushakov, U.A., *Reliability Handbook*, L.H. Koopmans and J. Rosenblat (eds.), Holt Rinehart and Winston, 1970.

Kreutzer, W., System Simulation: Programming Styles and Languages, International Computer Science Series, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Menio Park, Calif. 1986.

Kruger, G., "Project Management Using Software Reliability Growth Models," *Hewlett-Packard Journal*, June, 1988, pp. 30-35.

Kulisch, U, and Miranker, W.L., *Computer Arithmetic in Theory and Practice*, Academic Press, New York, 1981.

Kulisch, U, private communication, 1993.

Kyparisis, J., and Singpurwalla, N.D., "Bayesian Inference for the Weibull Process with Applications to Assessing Software Reliability Growth and Predicting Software Failures," *Computer Science and Statistics*, 16th Symposium Interface, Atlanta. Georgia, 1984, pp. 57—64.

Langberg, N, and Singpurwalla, N.D., "A Unification of Some Software Reliability Models," *SIAM Journal of Scientific and Statistical Computation*, vol. 6, no. 3, 1985, pp. 781-790.

Laprie, J.-C., "Dependability Modeling and Evaluation of Hardware-and-Software Systems," *Proceedings of the 2nd GIINTGIGMR Conference on Fault-Tolerant Computing*, Bonn, Germany, September 1984, pp. 202-215.

Laprie, J.-C., "Dependability Evaluation of Software Systems in Operation," *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, vol. SE-10, no. 6, November 1984,pp.701-714.

Laprie, J.-C., "Dependable Computing and Fault Tolerance: Concepts and Terminology," *Proceedings of the 15th International Symposium on Fault-Toleran Computing (FTCS-15)*, Ann Arbor, Michigan, 1985, pp. 2-11.

Laprie, J.-C., Arlat, J., Beounes, C., Kanoun, K., and Hourtolle, C., "Hardware and Software Fault-Tolerance: Definition and Analysis of Architectural Solutions," *Proceedings of the 17th International Symposium on Fault-Tolerant Computing (FTCS-17)*, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, 1987, pp. 116-121.

Laprie, J.-C., "Hardware-and-Software Dependability Evaluation," *Proceed-ings of the IFIP llth World Congress*, San Francisco, Calif, August 1989, pp. 109-114.

Laprie, J.-C., Arlat, J., Beounes, C, and Kanoun, K, "Definition and Analysis of Hardware- and Software-Fault-Tolerant Architectures," *IEEE Computer*, vol. 23, no. 7 July 1990, pp. 39-51. Reprinted in *Fault-Tolerant Software Systems: Techniques and Applications*, Hoang Pham(ed.), IEEE Computer Society Press, 1992, pp. 5-17.

- Laprie, J.-C., Beounes, C., Kaaniche, M., and Kanoun, K., "The Transformatior Approach to the Modeling and Evaluation of the Reliability and Availability Growth," *Proceedings 20th IEEE International Symposium on Fault-Tolerant Computing, (FTCS-20),* IEEE Computer Society, 1990, pp. 364-371.
- Laprie, J.-C., Kanoun, K., Beounes, C, and Kaaniche, M, "The KAT (Knowledge-Action-Transformation) Approach to the Modeling and Evaluation of Reliability and Availability Growth," *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering* vol. 17, no. 4 April 1991, pp. 370-382.
- Laprie, J.-C., Dependability: Basic Concepts and Terminology, Dependable Computing and Fault-Tolerant Systems, vol. 5, J.-C. Laprie (ed.), Springer-Verlag, Wien, New York, 1992.
- Laprie, J.-C., and Kanoun, K., "X-Ware Reliability and Availability Modeling," *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering* vol. 18, no. 2, February 1992,pp.130-147.
- Laprie, J.-C., "For a Product-in-a-Process Approach to Software Reliability Evaluation," *Proceedings of the 3rd International Symposium on Software Reliability Engineering ISSRE'92*, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, October 1992, pp. 134-139.
- Laprie, J.-C., "Dependability: From Concepts to Limits," Proceeding's *SAFE-COMP'93*, Springer-Verlag, Poznan, Poland, 1993, pp. 157-168.
- Lee, P.A., and Anderson, T., Fault Tolerance: Principles and Practices, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990.
- Lee, I., lyer, R.K., and Tang, D., "Error/Failure Analysis Using Event Logs from Fault Tolerant Systems," *Proceedings 21st International Symposium on Fault-Tolerant Computing*, June 1991, pp. 10-17.
- Lee, I., and lyer, R.K., "Analysis of Software Halts in Tandem System," *Pro-ceedings of the 3rd International Symposium on Software Reliability Engineering*, October 1992, pp 227-236.
- Lee, L., The Day the Phones Stopped: How People Get Hurt When Computers Go Wrong, Donald I. Fine, Inc., New York, 1992.
- Lee, I., Tang, D, lyer, R.K., and Hsueh, M.C., "Measurement-Based Evaluation of Operating System Fault Tolerance," *IEEE Transactions on Reliability*, vol. 42, no. 2 June 1993, pp. 238-249.
- Lee, I., and Iyer, R.K., "Faults, Symptoms, and Software Fault Tolerance in the Tandem GU ARD IAN90 Operating System," *Proceedings of the 23rd International Symposium on Fault-Tolerant Computing*, Toulouse, France, June 1993, pp. 20-29.
- Lee, I., and lyer, R.K., "Identifying Software Problems Using Symptoms," *Proceedings of the 24th International Symposium on Fault-Tolerant Computing*, San Antonio, Texas June 1994, pp. 320-329.
- Lee, I., "Software Dependability in the Operational Phase," Ph.D. dissertation. Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, October 1994.
- Lee, I., and lyer, R.K., "Software Dependability in the Tandem GUARDIAN System," *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, vol. 21, no. 5, May 1995,pp.455-467.

- [LeGa90] LeGall, G., Adam, M.F., Derriennic, H., Moreau, B., and Valette, N., "Studies or Measuring Software," *IEEE Journal of Selected Areas in Communications*, vol. 8, no. 2, 1990, pp. 234-245.
- [Leve86] Leveson, N, "Software Safety: What, Why and How," *ACM Computing Surveys*, June 1986,pp.125-164.
- [Leve87] Levendel, Y, "Quality and Reliability Estimation for Large Software Projects Using a Time-Dependent Model," Proceedings of COMPSAC 87, Tokyo, Japan, October 1987,pp.340-346.
- [Leve89] Levendel, Y, "Defects and Reliability Analysis of Large Software Systems: Field Experience," *Proceedings of the 19th IEEE International Symposium on Fault-Toleram Computing (FTCS-19)*, Chicago, June 1989, pp. 238-244.
- [Leve90] Levendel, Y., "Reliability Analysis of Large Software Systems: Defect Data Modeling," *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, vol. SE-16, no. 2, February 1990,pp.141-152.
- [Leve91] Levendel, Y., "Software Quality Improvement Process: When to Stop Testing," *Proceedings Software Engineering & Its Applications*, Toulouse, France, December 1991, pp. 729-749.
- [Leve91a] Leveson, N.G., "Safety Verification of Ada Programs Using Software Fault Trees," IEEE Software, July 1991, pp. 48-59.
- [Leve93] Levendel, Y., "Fault-Tolerance Cost Effectiveness," Proceedings of the Third Workshop on Issues in Software Reliability Workshop Program, U.S. West Advanced Technologies, Boulder, Colorado, November 1-2, 1993.
- [Leve95] Levendel, Y, "The Cost Effectiveness of Telecommunication Service Dependability," Software Fault Tolerance, Lyu, M.R. (ed.), Wiley & Sons, Inc., January 1995, pp 279-314.
- [Lewi64] Lewis, P.A., "A Branching Poisson Process Model for the Analysis of Computer Failure Patterns," *Journal of Royal Statistical Society*, series B, vol. 26, no. 3, 1964, pp. 398-456.
- [Li93] Li, N., and Malaiya, Y., "Enhancing Accuracy of Software Reliability Prediction," *Proceedings of the 4th International Symposium on Software Reliability Engineering*, November4-6, 1993, pp. 71-79.
- [Lin83] Lin, J., and Costello, Jr., D.J., Error Control Coding—Fundamentals and Applications, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1983.
- [Lin90] Lin, T.T, and Siewiorek, D.P., "Error Log Analysis: Statistical Modeling and Heuristic Trend Analysis," *IEEE Transactions on Reliability*, vol. 39, no. 4, October 1990, pp 419-432.
- [Lind, R., and Vairavan, K., "An Experimental Investigation of Software Metrics and Their Relationship to Software Development Effort," *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, vol. 15, no. 5, May 1989, pp. 649-653.
- [Litt73] Littlewood, B. and Verrall, J., "A Bayesian Reliability Growth Model for Computer Software," *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society*, series C, vol. 22,no. 3, 1973, pp 332-346.
- [Litt74] Littlewood, B, and Verrall, V, "A Bayesian Reliability Model with a Stochastically Monotone Failure Rate," *IEEE Transactions on Reliability*, vol. R-23, no. 2, 1974, pp 108-114.

- [Litt78] Littlewood, B., "Validation of a Software Model," Software Life Cycle Management Workshop, Atlanta, Georgia, 1978, published by the International Business Services. Inc., pp. 146-152.
- [Litt79a] Littlewood, B, "How to Measure Software Reliability and How Not To," *IEEE Transactions on Reliability*, vol. R-28, no. 2, June 1979, pp. 103-110.
- [Litt79b] Littlewood, E., "A Software Reliability Model for Modular Program Strudure," *IEEE Transactions on Reliability*, vol. R-28, no. 2, June 1979, pp. 241-246.
- [Litt80a] Littlewood, B, "The Littlewood-Verrall Model for Software Reliability Compared with Some Rivals," *Journal of Systems and Software*, vol. 1, no. 3, 1980,pp.251-258.
- [Litt80b] Littlewood, B, "Theory of Software Reliability: How Good Are They and How Can They Be Improved?," *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, vol. SE-6, 1980, pp. 489-500.
- [Litt80c] Littlewood, E., "A Bayesian Differential Debugging Model for Software Reliability," Proceedings of the International Computer Software Applications Conference, IEEE Computer Society, 1980, pp. 511-519.
- [LittS1] Littlewood, B., "Stochastic Reliability Growth: A Model for Fault-Removal in Computer Programs and Hardware *Designs," IEEE Transactions on Reliability*, vol. R-30, no. 4, October 1981, pp. 313-320.
- [Littlewood, E, Abdel-Ghaly, A.A., and Chan, P.Y., "Tools for the Analysis of the Accuracy of Software Reliability Predictions," Software System Design Methods, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1986, pp. 299-335.
- [Litt87] Littlewood, I., and Miller, D.R., "A Conceptual Model of Multi-Version Software," Proceedings of the 17th International Symposium on Fault Tolerant Computing, IEEE Computer Society Press, July 1987, pp. 150-155.
- [Litt87a] Littlewood, B, Software Reliability: Achievement and Assessment, Black-well, Oxford, 1987.
- [Litt87b] Littlewood, E., "How Good are Software Reliability Predictions?" *Software Reliability*. *Achievement and Assessment*, Blackwell, Oxford, 1987, pp. 172-191.
- [Litt 87c] Littlewood, B., and Sofer, A., "A Bayesian Modification to the Jelinski-Moranda Software Reliability Growth Model," *Journal of Software Engineering*, no.2,1987, pp.30-41.
- [Litt88] Littlewood, B, "Forecasting Software Reliability," *Software Reliability Modeling and Identification*, S. Bittanti (ed.), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988, pp. 140-209.
- [Litt89] Littlewood, E., and Miller, D.R., "Conceptual Modeling of Coincident Failures in Multiversion Software," *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, vol.15, no. 12, 1989, pp. 1596-1614.
- [Litt91] Littlewood, B., "Limits to Evaluation of Software Dependability," in *Software Reliability and Metrics*, B. Littlewood and N. Fenton (eds.), Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1991.
- [Litt93] Littlewood, B., and Strigini, L., "Validation of Ultra-High Dependability for Software-Based Systems," *Communications of the ACM*, vol. 36, no. 11, November 1993.
- [Liu87] Liu, G., "A Bayesian Assessing Method of Software Reliability Growth," Reliability Theory and Applications, S. Osaki and J. Cao (eds.), World Scientific, 1987,pp.237-244.

- Lorczak, P.R., Caglayan, A.K., and Eckhardt, D.E., "A Theoretical Investigation of Generalized Voters for Redundant Systems," *Proceedings 19th Annual International Symposium on Fault-Tolerant Computing (FTCS-19)*, 1989,pp.444-451.
- Lu, M., Brocklehurst, S., and Littlewood, B., "Combinations of Predictions Obtained from Different Software Reliability Growth Models," *Proceedings 10th Annua Software Reliability Symposium*, 1992, pp. 24-33.
- Lyu, M.R., and Kinlaw, J., "ASAS Software Reliability Prediction: Methodology and Result," in *Proceedings ATTIHPIJPL Software Reliability Measurement Technica Exchange Meeting*, Pasadena, Calif., June 1989.
- Lyu, M.R., and Nikora, A.P., "Software Reliability Measurements Through Combination Models: Approaches, Results, and a Case Tool," *Proceedings of the 15th Annual International Computer Software and Applications Conference (COMPSAC'91)*, Tokyo, Japan, September 1991, pp. 577-584.
- Lyu, M.R, "Measuring Reliability of Embedded Software: An Empirical Study with JPL ProjectData," *Proceedings of the International Conference on Probabilistic Safety Assessment and Management*, Beverly Hills, Calif, February 1991,pp.493-500.
- Lyu, M.R., and Nikora, A.P., "A Heuristic Approach for Software Reliability Prediction: The Equally Weighted Linear Combination Model "Proceedings of the 1991 International Symposium on Software Reliability Engineering, IEEE Computer Society Press, May 1991, pp. 172-181.
- Lyu, M.R., "Software Reliability Measurements in N-Version Software Execution Environment," *Proceedings of the 1992 International Symposium on Software Reliability Engineering*, Raleigh, North Carolina, 1992, pp.254-263.
- Lyu, M.R., and Avizienis, A., "Assuring Design Diversity in N-Version Software: A Design paradigm for N-Version Programming," *Dependable Computing and Fault-Tolerant Systems*, J.F. Meyer and R.D. Schichting (eds.), Springer-Verlag, Wien. Austria, 1992, pp. 197-218.
- Lyu, M.R., and Nikora, A.P, "Using Software Reliability Models More Effectively," 7⁴£\$ Software, July 1992, pp. 43-52.
- Lyu, M.R., and Nikora, A.P, "CASRE—A Computer-Aided Software Reliability Estimation Tool," *CASE 92 Proceedings*, Montreal, Canada, July 1992,pp.264-275.
- Lyu, M.R., and He, Y., "Improving the N-Version Programming Process Through the Evolution of Design Paradigm," *IEEE Transactions on Reliability*, vol. 42, no. 2, 1993 pp. 179-189
- Lyu, M.R., Nikora, A.P, and Farr, W.H., "A Systematic and Comprehensive Tool for Software Reliability Modeling and Measurement," *Proceedings of the 23ra International Symposium on Fault-Tolerant Computing (FTCS-23)*, Toulouse, France June 1993, pp. 648-653.
- Lyu, M.R., Chen, J., and Avizienis, A., "Experience in Metrics and Measurements for N-Version Programming," *International Journal of Reliability, Quality and Safety Engineering*, vol. 1, no. 1, 1994, pp. 41-62.
- Lyu, M.R., Horgan, J.R., and London, S., "A Coverage Analysis Tool for the Effectiveness of Software Testing," *IEEE Transactions on Reliability*, December 1994, pp. 527-535.
- Lyu, M.R. (ed.), Software Fault Tolerance, John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, U.K., January 1995.

Lyu, M.R., Yu, J., Keramidas, E., and Dalal, S., "ARMOR: Analyzer for Reducing Module Operational Risk," *Proceedings of the 25th International Symposium on Fault Tolerant Computing (FTCS-25)*, Pasadena, Calif., June 1995, pp.137-142.

Madden, W.A., and Rone, K.Y., "Design, Development, Integration: Space Shuttle Primary Flight Software System," *Communications of the ACM*, vol. 27, no. 8,1984, pp 902-913.

Malaiya, Y.K., Karunanithi, N., and Verma, P., "Predictability Measures for Software Reliability Models," *Proceedings of the 14th IEEE International Computer Software and Applications Conference (COMPSAC 90)*, October 1990, pp. 7-12.

Malaiya, Y.K., and Srimani, P.K. (eds.), *Software Reliability Models: Theoretical Developments, Evaluation and Applications*, IEEE Computer Society Press, 1990.

Malaiya, Y.K., Karunanithi, S, and Verma, P., "Predictability of Software-Reliability Models," *IEEE Transactions on Reliability*, vol. R-41, December 1992,pp.539-546.

Martin, D.J., "Dissimilar Software in High Integrity Applications in Flight Controls," *Proceedings AGARD-CP 330*, September 1982, pp. 36.1-36.13.

Martini, M.R., Kanoun, K., and de Souza, J.M., "Software Reliability Evaluation of the TROPICO-R Switching System," *IEEE Transactions on Reliability*, vol. 33, no. 3,1990 pp. 369-379.

Martini, M.R., and de Souza, J.M., "Reliability Assessment of Computer Systems Design," *Microelectronics Reliability*, vol. 31, no. 2/3, 1991, pp. 237-244.

Mathur, A.P, "On the Relative Strengths of Data Flow and Mutation Testing," *Proceedings of the Ninth Annual Pacific Northwest Software Quality Conference*, October 7-8, Portland, Oreg., 1991.

Matsumoto, K., Inoue, K., Kikuno, T., and Torii, K., "Experimental Evaluation of Software Reliability Growth Models," *Proceedings of the 18th International Symposium on Fault-Tolerant Computing*, Tokyo Japan, June 1988, pp. 148-153.

von Mayrhauser, A., and Keables, J., "A Data Collection Environment for Software Reliability Research," *Proceedings of the 2nd International Symposium on Software Reliability Engineering*, IEEE Computer Society Press, 1991.

von Mayrhauser, A., and Keables, J., "A Simulation Environment for Early Life Cycle Software Reliability Research Prediction," *Proceedings of the International Test Conference*, IEEE Computer Society Press, 1992.

von Mayrhauser, A., Malaiya, Y.K., Keables, J., and Srimani, P.K., "On the Need for Simulation for Better Characterization of Software Reliability," *Proceedings of the 4th International Symposium on Software Reliability Engineering*, Denver, Colo., 1993.

Mays, R., Jones, C., Holloway, G., and Studinski, D., "Experiences with Defect Prevention," *IBM Systems Journal*, vol. 29, no. 1, 1990.

Mazzuchi, T.A., and Soyer, T, "A Bayes Empirical-Bayes ModelforSoftware Reliability," *IEEE Transactions on Reliability*, vol. R-37, pp. 248-254.

McAllister, D.F., "Some Observations on Costs and Reliability in Software Fault-Tolerant Techniques," *Proceedings TIMS-ORSA Conference*, Boston, Mass., April 1985.

McAllister, D.F., Sun, C.E., and Vouk, M.A., "Reliability of Voting in Fault-Tolerant Software Systems for Small Output Spaces," *IEEE Transactions on Reliability*, vol. 39 no. 5,1990, pp. 524-534.

McAllister, D.F., and Scott, R.K., "CostM odels for Fault-Tolerant Software," *Journa of Information and Software Technology*, vol. 33, no. 8, October 1991, pp. 594-603.

McCabe, T.J., 'A Complexity *Metric" IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, vol. 2, no. 4, December 1976, pp. 308-320.

McConnell, S.R., Siewiorek, D.R, and Tsao, M.M., "The Measurement and Analysis of Transient Errors in Digital Computer Systems," *Proceedings of the 9th International Symposium on Fault-Tolerant Computing (FTCS-9)*, Madison, Wisconsin, June 1979, pp. 67-70.

Mellor, P., "Failures, Faults, and Changes in Dependability Measurement," *Information and Software Technology*, vol. 34, no. 10, October, 1992, pp. 640-654.

Mercer, "Product Advisor Survey," Trouble Reports, Mercer Analysis, 1994.

Meyer, J.F, "On Evaluating the Performability of Degradable Computing Systems," *Proceedings of the 8th IEEE International Symposium on Fault-Tolerant Computing (FTCS-8)*, Toulouse, France, June 1978, pp. 44-49.

Meyer, J.F., and Wei, L., "Analysis of Workload Influence on Dependability," *Proceedings of the 18th International Symposium on Fault-Tolerant Computins (FTCS-18)*, Tokyo, June 1988, pp. 84-89.

Meyer, J.F, "Performability: A Retrospective and Some Pointers to the Future," *Performance Evaluation*, vol. 14, February 1992, pp. 139-156.

Mili, A., Are Introduction to Program Fault-Tolerance—A Structured Programming Approach, Prentice-Hall International Ltd., U.K., 1990.

Miller, D.R., "Exponential Order Statistic Models of Software Reliability Growth," *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, vol. SE-12, no. 1, January 1986, pp 12-24.

Miller, G., "The Magic Number Seven," Psychological Review, vol. 63, 1990.

Miller, K.W, Morell, L.J., Noonan, R.E., Park, S.K., Nicol, D.M., Murrill, B.W, and Voas, J.M., "Estimating the Probability of Failure When Testing Reveals No Failures," *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, vol. 18, no.1,1992,pp.33-43.

Mood, A., Graybill, F., and Boes, D., *Introduction to the Theory of Statistics, 3d* ed., McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York, 1974.

Moranda, PL, and Jelinski, Z., *Final Report on Software Reliability Study*, McDonnell Douglas Astronautics Company, MADC Report Number 63921, 1972.

Moranda, P.B., "Software Reliability Predictions," *Proceedings of the Sixth Triennia* World Congress of the International Federation of Automatic Control, 1975, pp 34.2-34.7.

Moranda, P.B., "Predictions of Software Reliability During Debugging," Proceedings of the Annual Reliability and Maintainability Symposium, Washington. D.C.,1975,pp.327-332.

Moranda, P.B., "Event-Altered Rate Models for General Reliability Analysis," *IEEE Transactions on Reliability*, vol. R-28, no. 5, 1979, pp. 376-381.

- [Morg89] Morgan, N., and Bourlard, H., "Generalization and Parameter Estimation in Feedforward Nets: Some Experiments," *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 2*, D. Touretzky (ed.), Morgan Kaufman, San Francisco, 1989, pp. 630-637.
- [Mosl94] Mosleh, A., Reliability Data Collection and Analysis System (REDCAS), Center for Reliability Engineering, University of Maryland, College Park, Md., 1994.
- [Mcur87] Mourad, S., and Andrews, D., "On the Reliability of the IBM MVS/XA Operating System," *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, vol. SE-13, no. 10, October 1987,pp.1135-1139.
- [Muns89] Munson, J.C., and Khoshgoftaar, T.M., "The Dimensionality of Program Complexity," Proceedings of the 11th Annual International Conference on Software Engineering, Pittsburgh, May 1989, pp. 245-253.
- [Muns90a] Munson, J.C., and Khoshgoftaar, T.M., "Regression Modeling of Software Quality Empirical Investigation," *Journal of Information and Software Technology*, vol. 32, no. 2, March 1990, pp. 105-114.
- [Muns90b] Munson, J.C., and Khoshgoftaar, T.M., "Applications of a Relative Complexity Metric for Software Project Management," *Journal of Systems and Software*, vol. 12, no. 3, 1990 pp. 283-291.
- [Muns90c] Munson, J.C., and Khoshgoftaar, T.M., "The Relative Software Complexity Metric: A Validation Study," Proceedings of the Software Engineering 1990 Conference, London. 1990, pp. 89-102.
- [Muns91] Munson, J.C., and Khoshgoftaar, T.M., "The Use of Software Complexity Metrics in Software Reliability Modeling," Proceedings of the International Symposium on Software Reliability Engineering, Austin, Texas, May 1991, pp. 2—11.
- [Muns92] Munson, J.C., and Khoshgoftaar, T.M., "The Detection of Fault-Prone Programs," *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, vol. 18, no. 5,1992, pp.423-433.
- [Muns93] Munson, J.C., and Khoshgoftaar, T.M., "Measurement of Data Structure Complexity," Journal of Systems and Software, vol. 12, no. 3, March 1993, pp.217-225.
- [Musa75] Musa, J.D., "A Theory of Software Reliability and Its Applications," *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, vol. SE-1, no. 3, 1975, pp. 312-327.
- [Musa77a] Musa, J.D., "Program for Software Reliability and System Test Schedule Estimation—User's Guide," Bell Telephone Laboratories, Inc., 1977.
- [Musa77b] Musa, J.D., and Hamilton, PA., "Program for Software Reliability and System Test Schedule Estimation—Program Documentation," Bell Telephone Laboratories, Inc., 1977.
- [Musa78] Musa, J.D., "Progress in Software Reliability Measurement," Second Software Life Cycle Management Workshop, published by the IEEE, New York, 1978,pp.153-155.
- [Musa79] Musa, J.D., "Software Reliability Data," Technical Report, Data and Analysis Center for Software, Rome Air Development Center, Griffins AFB, New York, 1979.
- [Musa79a] Musa, J.D., "Validity of Execution—Time Theory of Software Reliability," *IEEE Transactions on Reliability*, vol. R-28, no. 3, 1979, pp. 181-191.
- [Musa79b] Musa, J.D., "Software Reliability Measures Applied to System Engineering," Proceedm^s of the National Computer Conference, 1979, pp. 941-946.

Musa, J.D., "The Measurement and Management of Software Reliability," *Proceedings of the IEEE*, vol. 68, no. 9,1980, pp. 1131-1143.

Musa, John D., and Okumoto, K., "Software Reliability Models: Concepts. Classification, Comparisons, and Practice," *Electronic Systems Effectiveness and Life Cycle Costing*, J.K. Skwirzynski (ed.), NATO ASI Series, F3, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, pp. 395-424.

Musa, J.D., and Okumoto, K. "A Logarithmic Poisson Execution Time Model for Software Reliability Measure ment," *Proceedings Seventh International Conference on Software Engineering*, Orlando, Florida, pp. 230-238.

Musa, J.D., "Software Reliability," *Handbook of Software Engineering*, C.R. Vick and C.V. Ramamoorthy (eds.), Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, 1984,pp.392-412.

Musa, J.D., lannino, A., and Okumoto, K., Software Reliability—Measurement, Prediction, Application, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1987.

Musa, J.D., and Everett, W.W., "Software-Reliability Engineering: Technology for the 1990s," *IEEE Software*, vol. 7, November 1990, pp. 36-43.

Musa, J.D., "ReducedOperationSoftware," *ACM Software Engineering Notes*, vol. 16, no. 3, July 1991, p. 78.

Musa, J.D., "Rationale for Fault Exposure Ratio K," *ACM Software Engineering Note*. vol. 16, no. 3, July 1991, p. 99.

Musa, J.D., "Operational Profiles in Software Reliability Engineering, *IEEE Software*, vol. 10, no. 2, March 1993, pp. 14-32.

Musa, J.D., "Sensitivity of Field Failure Intensity to Operational Profile Errors," *Proceedings of the 5th International Symposium on Software Reliability Engineering* Monterey, Calif, November 6-9, 1994, pp. 334-337.

Myers, R.H., Classical and Modern Regression with Applications, Duxbury Press, Boston, Mass., 1990.

Nagel, P.M., and Skrivan, J.A., "Software Reliability: Repetitive Run Experimentation and Modeling," Report NASA CR-165836, February 1982.

Nakamura, K. (ed.), Ayumi: Continuous Improvement of Software Quality Is Key at Fujitsu in Japan, JUSE Press, 1992.

Navlakha, J.K., "Measuring the Effect of External and Internal Interface on Software Development," *Proceedings of the 20th Annual Hawaii International Conference or System Sciences*, 1987, pp. 127-136.

Nelson, V.P., and Carroll, B.D. (eds.), *Tutorial: Fault-Tolerant Computing*, IEEE Computer Society Press, 1987.

Neufelder, A.M., Ensuring Software Reliability, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1993

Nicola, V.F., and Goyal, A., "Modeling of Correlated Failures and CommunityError Recovery in Multi-Version *Software," IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering* vol. 16, no. 3,1990.

Nikora, A.P, Lyu, M.R., and Antczak, T.M., "A Linear Combination Software Reliability Modeling Tool with a Graphically Oriented User Interface," *Proceedings of Symposium on Assessment of Quality Software Development Tools*, New Orleans Louisana, May 1992, pp. 21-31.

Nikora, A.P, "CASRE User's Guide," Jet Propulsion Laboratories, August 1993.

- [Nils90] Nilsson, J.N., *The Mathematical Foundations of Learning Machines*, Morgan Kaufmann San Francisco, 1990, chapters 2 and 3.
- [Ohba84] Ohba, M., "Software Reliability Analysis Models," *IBM Journal of Research and Development*, vol. 21, no. 4, July 1984, pp. 428-443.
- [Ohte90] Ohtera, H., and Yamada, S., "Optimum Software-Release Time Considering an Error Detection Phenomenon During Operation," *IEEE Transactions on Reliability*, vol. R-39, 1990, pp. 596-599.
- [OkumSO] Okumoto, K., and Goel, A., "Optimum Release Time for Software Systems Based on Reliability and Other Performance Measures," *The Journal of Systems and Software*, vo. 1, no. 4, 1980, pp. 315-318.
- [Onom93] Onoma, A.K., and Yamaura, T, "Practical Quantitative Methods of Improving Software Quality: Based on Decades of Experience," NRC Workshop on Statistics in Software Engineering, NRC, Washington, D.C., October 1993.
- [Onom95] Onoma, A.K., and Yamaura, T, "Practical Steps Toward Quality Develop ment," *IEEE Software*, vol. 12, no. 5, September 1995, pp. 68-77.
- [PageSO] Pages, A., and Gondran, M., System Reliability, Eyrolles, Paris, 1980; in French.
- [Pant91] Pant, H, "Tracking Quality from Verification to Customer," Software Quality from the Customer Viewpoint, Globcom, Phoenix, Ariz., December 2—5,1991.
- [Panz81] Panzl, D.J., "A Method for Evaluating Software Development Techniques," The Journal of Systems Software, vol. 2, 1981, pp. 133-137.
- [Papo65] Papoulis, A., *Probability, Random Variables, and Stochastic Processes*, McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, N.Y, 1965, pp. 534-551.
- [Park82] Parker, D., "Learning Logic," Invention Record S81-64, File 1, Stanford University, Stanford, Calif., 1982.
- [Parn74] Parnas, D.L., "On a Buzzword": Hierarchical Structure," in *Proceedings of the 1974 IFIP Congress*, pp. 336-339.
- [Paul93] Paulk, M.C., Curtis, B., Chrissis, M.B., and Weber, C.V., "Capability Maturity Model, Version I.I," *IEEE Software*, July 1993, pp. 18-27.
- [Pign88] Pignal, P.I., "An Analysis of Hardware and Software Availability Exemplified on the IBM 3725 Communication Controller," *IBM Journal of Research and Development*, vol. 32, no. 2, March 1988, pp. 268-278.
- [Prue95] Pruett, W.R., Personal Communication and Data Clarification Report, NASA, January 26,1995.
- [RADC87] RADC, Methodology for Software Reliability Prediction and Assessment, RADC Technical Report TR-87-171, Rome Air Development Center, 1987. Revised in [RL92].
- [Rama82] Ramamoorthy, C.V., and Bastani, F.B.: "Software Reliability—Status and Perspectives," IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering, vol. SE-8, no. 4, July 1982,pp.354-371.
- [Rand75] Randell, B., "System Structure for Software Fault Tolerance," *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, vol. SE-1, no. 2, June 1975, pp. 220-232.
- [Rapp90] Rapp, B., "Application of Software Reliability Models in Medical Imaging Systems," Proceedings of the 1990 International Symposium on Software Reliability Engineering. Washington, D.C., April 1990.

Rauzy, A., "New Algorithms for Fault Tree Analysis," *Reliability Engineering and System Safety*, vol. 40,1993, pp. 203-211.

Roberts, N., et al., *Introduction to Computer Simulation*, Addison-Wesley Book Company, Reading, Mass., 1983.

Rodriguez, V., and Tsai, W., "A Tool for Discriminant Analysis and Classification of Software Metrics," *Information and Software Technology*, vol. 29, no. S. April 1987, pp. 137-149.

Rohn, W.B., and Arnold, T.F., "Design for Low Expected Downtime Control Systems," *Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Computer Communications*, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, June 1972, pp. 16-25.

RomeLaboratory (RL), *Methodology for Software Reliability Prediction and Assessment*, Technical Report RL-TR-92-52, volumes 1 and 2,1992.

Ross, N., "The Collection and Use of Data for Monitoring Software Projects," *Measurement for Software Control and Assurance* B.A. Kitchenham and B. Littlewood (eds.), Elsevier Applied Science, London and New York, 1989, pp.125-154,

Roy, B., Modern Algebra and Graph Theory, Dunod, Paris, 1969; in French.

Rudin, H., "An Informal Overview of Formal Protocol Specification," *IEEE Communications Magazine*, vol. 23, no. 3, March 1985, pp. 46-52.

Rumelhart, D., Hinton, G., and Williams, R., "Learning Internal Representations by Error Propagation," *Parallel Distributed Processing*, vol. I, MIT Press, 1986, pp 318-362.

SAE RMS Guidebook, *Reliability, Maintainability, Supportability Guidebook*, Society of Automotive Engineers (SAE) G-12 RMS Committee. To order, call (412) 776-4970 using #M-102.

Saglietti, F., and Ehrenberger, W., "Software Diversity—Some Considerations about Benefits and Its Limitations," *Proceedings IFAC SAFE-COMP'86*, 1986, pp. 27-34.

Sahinoglu, M., "Compound-Poisson Software Reliability Mod el," *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, SE-18, no. 7, 1992, pp. 624-630.

Sahner, R.A., and Trivedi, K.S., "Reliability Modeling Using SHARPE," *IEEE Transactions on Reliability*, vol. R-36, no. 2, June 1987, pp. 186-193.

Sahner, R.A., Trivedi, K.S., and Puliafito, A., *Performance and Reliability Analysis o Computer Systems: An Example-Based Approach Using the SHARPE Software Package*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, Mass., 1995.

Sandier, G.H., Systems Reliability Engineering, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1963.

Sargent, R.G., "An Introduction to the Selection and Use of Simulation Languages," Syracuse University, TR-79-1, Department of Industrial Engineering.

SAS User's Guide: Basics, SAS Institute, 1985.

Sayet, C., and Pilaud, E., "An Experience of a Critical Software Development,' *Proceedings of the 20th IEEE International Symposium on Fault-Tolerant Computing (FTCS-20)*, Newcastle, U.K., July 1990, pp. 36-45.

Schick, G.J., and Wolverton, R.W., "Assessment of Software Reliability," *Proceedings of the Operations Research*, Physica-Verlag, Wurzburg-Wien, 1973,pp.395-122.

Schneidewind, N.F., "Analysis of Error Processes in Computer Software," *Sigplan Note*, vol. 10, no. 6, 1975, pp. 337-346.

Schneidewind, N.F., "Methodology for Validating Software Metrics," *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, vol. 18, no. 5, May 1992, pp. 410-421.

Schneidewind, N.F., and Keller, T.W., "Application of Reliability Models to the Space Shuttle," *IEEE Software*, July 1992, pp. 28-33.

Schneidewind, N.F., "Minimizing Risk in Applying Metrics on Multiple Projects," *Proceedings of the Third International Software Reliability Engineering,* Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, October 1992, pp. 173-182.

Schneidewind, N.F, "Software Reliability Model with Optimal Selection of Failure Data," *Proceedings of the 1993 Annual Oregon Workshop on Software Metrics*, March 23, 1993.

Schneidewind, N.F, "Optimal Selection of Failure Data for Predicting Failure Counts," *Proceedings of the 1993 Complex Systems Engineering Synthesis and Assessmen Technology Workshop (CSESAW '93)*, Naval Surface Warfare Center Dahlgren Division July 20-22,1993, pp. 141-157.

Schneidewind, N.F, "Software Reliability Model with Optimal Selection of Failure *Data.*," *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, vol. 19, no. 11, November, 1993 pp.1095-1104.

Schneidewind, N.F., "Optimal Selection of Failure Data for Predicting Failure Counts," *Proceedings of the Fourth International Symposium on Software Reliability Engineerins* November, 1993, pp. 142-149.

Scott, R.K., Gault, J.W., and McAllister, D.F., "The Consensus Recovery Block," *Proceedings of the Total Systems Reliability Symposium*, 1983, pp.3-9.

Scott, R.K., Gault, J.W., McAllister, D.F, and Wiggs, J., "Investigating Versior Dependence in Fault-Tolerant Software," *AGARD 361*, 1984, pp. 21.1-21.10.

Scott, R.K., Gault, J.W, McAllister, D.F, and Wiggs, J., "Experimental Validation of Six Fault-Tolerant Software Reliability Models," *Proceedings of the IEEE 14th Fault-Tolerant Computing Symposium*, 1984, pp. 102-107.

Scott, R.K., Gault, J.W, and McAllister, D.F, "Fault-Tolerant Reliability Modeling," *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, vol. SE-13, no. 5, 1987,pp.582-592.

Shen, V, Yu, T, Thebaut, S., and Paulsen, L., "Identifying Error-Prone Software: An Empirical Study," *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, vol. SE-11, no. 4, April 1985, pp. 317-323.

Shimeall, T.J., and Leveson, N.G, "An Empirical Comparison of Software Fault-Tolerance and Fault Elimination," *Proceedings of the 2nd Workshop on Software Testing, Verification and Analysis,* Banff, IEEE Computer Society, July 1988,pp.180-187.

- [Shin, K.G., and Lee, Y.-H., "Evaluation of Error Recovery Blocks Used for Cooperating Processes," *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, vol. SE-10, no. 6, November 1984, pp. 692-700.
- [Shoo72] Shooman, M.L., "Probabilistic Models for Software Reliability Prediction," Statistical Computer Performance Evaluation, Academic Press, New York, June 1972,pp. 485-502.
- [Shoo73] Shooman, M.L., "Operational Testing and Software Reliability Estimation During Program Developments," *Record of 1973 IEEE Symposium on Computer Software Reliability*, IEEE Computer Society, New York, 1973, pp. 51-57.
- [Shoo76] Shooman, M.L., "Structural Models for Software Reliability Prediction," Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Software Engineering, IEEE Computer Society, New York, October 1976.
- [Shoo77a] Shooman, M.L., "Spectre of Software Reliability and Its Exorcism," *Proceedings of the* 1977 Joint Automatic Control Conference, IEEE, New York, 1977,pp.225-231.
- [Shoo77b] Shooman, M.L., and Naturaja, S., Effect of Manpower Deployment and Bug Generation on Software Error Models, Rome Air Development Center Technical Report, RADC-TR-76-400, 1977.
- [Shoo83] Shooman, M.L., Software Engineering, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1983.
- [Shoo90] Shooman, M.L., Probabilistic Reliability: An Engineering Approach, McGraw-Hill, New York, 2d ed., 1990.
- [Siew82] Siewiorek, D.P, and Swarz, R.S., The Theory and Practice of Reliable System Design Digital Press, Bedford, Mass., 1982.
- [Siew92] Siewiorek, D.P., and Swarz, R.S., *Reliable Computer Systems—Design and Evaluation*, 2d ed., Digital Press, Bedford, Mass, 1992.
- [Siew93] Siewiorek, D., Hudak, J.J., Suh, B., and Segall, Z., "Development of a Benchmark to Measure System Robustness," *Proceedings of the 23rd International Symposium on Fault-Tolerant Computing*, 1993, pp. 88-97.
- [Sing85] Singpurwalla, N.D., and Soyer, R., "Assessing (Software) Reliability Growth Using a Random Coefficient Autoregressive Process and Its Ramifications," *IEEE Transactions* on Software Engineering, vol. SE-11, no. 12, December 1985,pp.1456-1464.
- [Sing91] Singpurwalla, N.D., "Determining an Optimal Time Interval for Testing and Debuggins Software," *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, vol. 17, no.4,1991,pp. 313-319.
- [Sing92] Singpurwalla, N.D., and Soyer, R., "Nonhomogenous Auto-Regressive Process for Tracking (Software) Reliability Growth, and Their Bayesian Analysis," *Journal of the* Royal Statistical Society, series B, vol. 54, 1992, pp. 145-156.
- [Smit88] Smith, P.M., Trivedi, K.S., and Ramesh, A.V., "Performability Analysis: Measures, an Algorithm, and a Case Study," *IEEE Transactions on Computers*, vol. 37, no. 4, April 1988, pp. 406-417.
- [Smit93] Smith, W., "Software and Switching System Reliability: Cause, Cure, or Both?" *Proceedings of Network Reliability: A Report to the Nation*, Symposium of the National Engineering Consortium, Washington, D.C., June 10-11,1993.
- [Soft93] Software Edge, Defect Control System for Windows, The Software Edge, Inc., Colorado Springs, Colo., 1993.

- [Spat80] Spath, H., Cluster Analysis Algorithms, Ellis Horwood, West Sussex, U.K., 1990.
 - [Spec84] Spector, A., and Gifford, D., "The Space Shuttle Primary Computer System," Communications of the ACM, vol. 27, no. 8, 1984, pp. 874-900.
- [SRMP88] Software Reliability Modeling Programs, Reliability and Statistical Consultants Ltd., Version 1.0, May 1988.
- [Stam93] Stamen, J.P., "Structuring Data for Analysis," *IEEE Spectrum*, October 1993, pp. 55-58.
- [Star87] Stark, G.E., "Dependability Evaluation of Integrated Hardware/Software Systems," *IEEE Transactions on Reliability*, vol. R-36, no. 4, 1987, pp. 440-444.
- [Star91] Stark, G.E., "A Survey of Software Reliability Measurement Tools," Proceedings of the International Symposium on Software Reliability Engineering, Austin, Texas, May 1991 pp.90-97.
- [Ster78] Sterner, B.J., "Computerized Interlocking System—A Multidimensional Structure in the Pursuit of Safety," *IMechE Railway Engineer International*, November/December 1978, pp. 29-30.
- [Ston74] Stone, M., "Cross-Validatory Choice and Assessment of Statistical Predictions," Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, series B, vol. 36, no. 2, 1974, pp.111-147.
- [Stri85] Strigini, L., and Avizienis, A., "Software Fault-Tolerance and Design Diversity: Past Experience and Future Evolution," *Proceedings IFAC SAFE-COMP'85*, 1985, pp. 167-172.
- [Suke76] Sukert, A., A Software Reliability Modeling Study, Rome Air Development Center Technical Report, RADC-TR-76-247, 1976.
- [Suke80] Sukert, A., and Goel, A., "A Guidebook for Software Reliability Assessment," 1980 Proceedings of the Annual Reliability and Maintainability Sympo sium, 1980,pp.186-190.
- [Sull91] Sullivan, M.S., and Chillarege, R., "Software Defects and Their Impact on System Availability—A Study of Field Failures in Operating Systems," *Pro-ceedings of the 21st International Symposium Fault-Tolerant Computing*, June 1991, pp. 2-9.
- [Swee95] Sweet, W., "The Glass Cockpit," IEEE Spectrum, September 1995, pp. 30-38.
- [SWTR93] SWTRHA, Report of the Inquiry into the London Ambulance Service, South West Thames Regional Health Authority, February 1993.
- [Tai93] Tai, A.T., Meyer, J.F., and Avizienis, A., "Performability Enhancement of Fault-Tolerant Software," *IEEE Transactions on Reliability*, vol. 42, no. 2, June 1993,pp.227-237.
- [Take86] Takeda, M., and Goodman, J., "Neural Networks for Computation: Number Representations and Programming Complexity," Applied Optics, vol. 25, no. 18, September 1986, pp. 3033-3045.
- [TA ND85] Tandem Computers Inc., Product Reporting System User's Guide, June 1985.
- [TAND89] Tandem Computers Inc., Tandem Maintenance and Diagnostic System Reference Manual March 1989.

- Tang, D., lyer, **R.K.**, and Subramani, S., "Failure Analysis and Modeling of a VAXcluster System," *Proceedings of the 20th International Symposium on Fault-Tolerant Computing*, June 1990, pp. 244-251.
- Tang, D., and lyer, R.K., "Impact of Correlated Failures on Dependability in a VAXcluster System," *Proceedings of the 2nd IFIP Working Conference on Dependable Computing for Critical Applications*, Tucson, Arizona, February 1991.
- Tang, D., and lyer, R.K., "Analysis and Modeling of Correlated Failures in Multicomputer Systems," *IEEE Transactions on Computers*, vol. 41, no. 5, May 1992,pp.567-577.
- Tang, D., and lyer, R.K., "Analysis of the VAX/VMS Error Logs in Multicomputer Environments—A Case Study of Software Dependability," *Proceedings of the Thira International Symposium Software Reliability Engineering*, Research Triangle Park North Carolina, October 1992, pp. 216-226.
- Tang, D., "Measurement Based Dependability Analysis and Modeling for Multicomputer Systems," Ph.D. thesis . Department of Computer Science, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, 1992.
- Tang, D., and lyer, R.K., "Dependability Measurement and Modeling of a Multicomputer Systems," *IEEE Transactions on Computers*, vol. 42, no. 1, January 1993, pp. 62-75.
- Tang, D., and lyer, R.K., "MEASURE-1—A Measurement-Based Dependability Analysis Package," *Proceedings of the ACM SIGMETRICS Conference on Measurement and Modeling of Computer Systems*, Santa Clara, Calif, May 1993, pp 110-121.
- Tausworthe, R.C., "A General Software Reliability Process Simulation Technique," Technical Report 91-7, Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, Calif., March 1991.
- Tausworthe, R.C., and Lyu, M.R., "A Generalized Software Reliability Process Simulation Technique and Tool," *Proceedings of the 5th International Symposium or Software Reliability Engineering*, Monterey, Calif., November 1994.
- Tausworthe, R.C., and Lyu, M.R., "A Generalized Technique for Simulating Software Reliability," *IEEE Software*, March1996.
- Taylor, D.J., Morgan, D.E., and Black, J.P, "Redundancy in Data Structures: Improving Software Fault-Tolerance," *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, vol. SE-6, 1980, pp. 585-594.
- Thayer, T.A., Lipow, M., and Nelson, E.C., *Software Reliability*, North-Holland Publishing Company, New York, New York, 1978.
- Thevenod-Fosse, P., "Software Validation by Means of Statistical Testing: Retrospect and Future Direction," *Dependable Computing and Fault-Tolerant Systems, Vol. 4,* A. Avizienis and J.-C. Laprie (eds.), Springer-Verlag, Wien, Austria and New York, 1991, pp. 25-48.
- Thompson, W.E., and Chelson, P.O., "On the Specification and Testing of Software Reliability," Proceedings of the 1980 Annual Reliability and Maintainability Symposium, IEEE, New York, 1980, pp. 379-383.
- Tian, J., and Lu, P., "Measuring and Modeling Software Reliability: Data, Models Tools, and a Support Environment," Technical Report, TR-74.117, IBM Canada Laboratory, March 1993.

- [Tian, J., and Lu, P., "Software Reliability Measurement and Modeling for Multiple Releases of Commercial Software," Proceedings of the Fourth International Symposium on Software Reliability Engineering, Denver, Colo., November 3-6, 1993, pp. 253-260.
- [Tohm89] Tohma, Y, Tokunaga, K., Nagase, S., and Murata, Y., "Structural Approach to the Estimation of the Number of Residual Faults Based on the Hyper-Geometric Distribution," *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, vol. SE-15, no. 3, March 1989, pp. 345-355.
- [Tohm89a] Tohma, Y.,Jacoby, R., Murata, Y., and Yamamoto, M., "Hyper-Geometric Distribution Model to Estimate the Number of Residual Software Faults," *Proceedings COMPSAC* 89, IEEE CS Press, 1989, pp. 610-617.
- [Tohm91] Tohma, Y, Yamano, H., Ohba, M., and Jacoby, R., "Parameter Estimation of the Hyper-Geometric Distribution Model for Real Test/Debug Data," *Proceedings of the* 1991 IEEE International Symposium on Software Reliability Engineering, May 1991, pp. 28-34.
- [Tome93] Tomek, L.A., Muppala, J.K., and Trivedi, K.S., "Modeling Correlation in Software Recovery Blocks," *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, vol. 19, no. 11, 1993, pp.1071-1086.
- [Toy85] Toy, W.N, "Modular Redundancy Concept, Problems and Solutions," EPRI Seminar: Digital Control and Fault-Tolerant Computer Technology, Scotts-dale, Arizona, April 1985.
 - [Trac90] Trachtenberg, M., "A General Theory of Software Reliability Modeling," *IEEE Transactions on Reliability*, R-39, no. 1, 1990, pp. 92-96.
- [Trav87] Traverse, P., "AIRBUS and ATR SystemArchitecture and Specification," in [Voge87a]. 1987, pp. 95-104.
- [Triv75] Trivedi, A.K., "Computer Software Reliability: Many-State Markov Modeling Techniques," Ph.D. dissertation, Polytechnic Institute of Brooklyn, June, 1975.
- [Triv82] Trivedi, K.S., *Probability and Statistics with Reliability, Queuing, and Computer Science Applications*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1982.
- [Triv92] Trivedi, K.S., Muppala, J.K., Woolet, S.P., and Haverkort, B.R., "Composite Performance and Dependability Analysis," *Performance Evaluation*, vol. 14, February 1992,pp.197-215.
- [Troy85] Troy, R, and Baluteau, C., "Assessment of Software Quality for the Airbus A310 Automatic Pilot," *Proceedings of the 15th International Symposium on Fault Toleram Computing*, Ann Arbor, Mich., IEEE CS Press, June 1985, 438-443.
- [Tsao83] Tsao, M.M., and Siewiorek, D.P., "Trend Analysis on System Error Files," *Proceedings of the 13th International Symposium on Fault-Tolerant Computing*, June 1983,pp.116-119.
- [Tso86] Tso, K.S, Avizienis, A., and Kelly, J.P.J., "Error Recovery in Multi-Version Software," *Proceedings IFAC SAFECOMP'86*, Sarlat, France, 1986, pp. 35-41.
- [Tso87] Tso, K.S., and Avizienis, A., "Community Error Recovery in N-Version Software: A Design Study with Experimentation," *Proceedings of the 17th Fault-Tolerant Computing Symposium*, 1987, pp. 127-133.
- [Tuke77] Tukey, J.W., Exploratory Data Analysis, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1977.

- [Turn87] Turner, D.B., Burns, R.D., and Hecht, H., "Designing Micro-Based Systems for Fail-Safe Travel," *IEEE Spectrum*, vol. 24, no. 2, February 1987, pp. 58-63.
- [Uemu90] Uemura, M., Yamada, S., and Fujino, K., "Software Reliability Met hod: Application of Delayed S-Shaped NHPP Model and Other Related Models," International Symposium on Reliability and Maintainability, Tokyo, 1990, pp.467-472.
- [Upad86] Upadhyaya, S., and Saluja, K., "A Watchdog Processor Based General Rollback Technique with Multiple Retries," *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, vol. SE-12, 1986, pp. 87-95.
- [USAT93] USA Today, April 15,1993, pp. 6B-7B.
- [Valette, V., "An Environment for Software Reliability Evaluation," Proceedings of Software Engineering & Its Applications, Toulouse, France, December 1988,pp.879-897.
- [Vale92] Valette, V., and Vallee, F., "Software Quality Metrics in Space Systems," Proceedings of the Third International Symposium on Software Reliability Engineering, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, October 1992, pp. 296-302.
- [Vela84] Velardi, P., and lyer, R.K., "A Study of Software Failures and Recovery in the MVS Operating *System," IEEE Transactions on Computers*, vol. C-33, no. 6, June 1984,pp.564-568.
- [Vinn87] Vinneau, R., User's Guide to a Computerized Implementation of the Goel-Okumoto Non-Homogeneous Poisson Process Software Reliability Model, IIT Research Institute. Data Analysis Center for Software (DACS) report 90-0027, November 1987.
- [Voge87a] Voges, U. (ed.). Software Diversity in Computerized Control Systems, vol. 2 of Dependable Computing and Fault-Tolerant Systems, A. Avizienis, H. Kopetz, and J.-C. Laprie (eds.), Springer-Verlag, Wien and New York, 1987.
- [Voge87b] Voges, U., "Flight Applications," in [Voge87a], 1987, pp. 87-93.
- [Voge87c] Voges, U., "Use of Diversity in Experimental Reactor Safety Systems," in [Voge87a] 1987, pp. 29-49.
- [Vouk85] Vouk, M.A., McAllister, D.F., and Tai, K.C., "Identification of Correlated Failures of Fault-Tolerant Software Systems," in *Proceedings COMPSAC 85*, 1985, pp. 437^44.
- [Vouk86a] Vouk, M.A., McAllister, D.F., and Tai, K.C., "An Experimental Evaluation of the Effectiveness of Random Testing of Fault-Tolerant Software," *Proceedings of the Workshop on Software Testing*, Banff, Canada, IEEE CS Press, July 1986, pp.74-81.
- [Vouk86b] Vouk, M.A., Helsabeck, M.L., Tai, K.C., and McAllister, D.F., "On Testing of Functionally Equivalent Components of Fault-Tolerant Software," *Proceed-ings COMPSAC 86*, 1986, pp. 414-419.
- [Vouk88] Vouk, M.A., "On Engineering of Fault-Tolerant Software," 10th International Symposium, "Computer at the University," Cavtat 88, Cavtat, Croatia, 1988.
- [Vouk90a] Vouk, M.A., Caglayan, A., Eckhardt, D.E., Kelly, J., Knight, J., McAllister, D., and Walker, L., "Analysis of Faults Detected in a Large-Scale Multiversion Software Development Experiment," *Proceedings DASC'90*, 1990, pp. 378-385.

- [Vouk90b] Vouk, M.A., Paradkar, A., and McAllister, D., "Modeling Execution Time of Multistage N-Version Fault-Tolerant Software," *Proceedings COMPSAC 90*, October 1990, pp. 505-511. Reprinted in *Fault-Tolerant Software Systems: Techniques and Applications*, Hoang Pham (ed.), IEEE Computer Society Press, 1992, pp. 55-61.
- [Vouk90c] Vouk, M.A., "Back-to-Back Testing," *Information and Software Technology*, vol. 32, no. 1, 1990, pp. 34-15.
- [Vouk92] Vouk, M.A., "Using Reliability Models During Testing with Non-Operational Profiles," Proceedings Second Workshop on Issues in Software Reliability Estimation, October 12-13, 1992, Bellcore, Livingston, N.J.
- [Vouk93a] Vouk, M.A., McAllister, D.F., Eckhardt, D.E., and Kim, K., "An Empirical Evaluation of Consensus Voting and Consensus Recovery Block Reliability in the Presence of Failure Correlation," *Journal of Computer and Software Engineering*, vol. 1, no. 4, 1993, pp 367-388.
- [Vouk93b] Vouk, M.A., and Tai, K.C., "Some Issues in Multi-Phase Software Reliability Modeling," Proceedings CASCON'93, October 1993.
- [Wago73] Wagoner, W., The Final Report on a Software Reliability Measurement Study, The Aerospace Corp., El Segundo, Calif, Report No. TOR-0074 (4112)-!, August 15, 1973.
- [Wall84] Wallace, J.J., and Barnes, W.W., "Designing for Ultrahigh Availability: The Unix RTR Operating System," *IEEE Computer*, August 1984, pp. 31-39.
- [Wals85] Walsh, P.J., "A Measure of Test Case Completeness," Ph.D. dissertation, State University of New York, Binghamton, N.Y, 1985.
- [Wang93] Wang, Y.M., Huang, Y, and Fuchs, W.K., "Progressive Retry for Software Error Recovery in Distributed Systems," *Proceedings of the 23rd International Symposium on Fault-Tolerant Computing*, June 1993, pp. 138-144.
- [Wats91] Watson, G.F, "Service Disrupted in Cities: Bell Companies, Manufacturers Join to Cure Phone Outages," *The Institute*, IEEE Press, September 1991, pp. 1 and 7.
- [Weer94] Weerahandi, S., and Hausman, R.E., "Software Quality Measurement Based on Fault-Detection Data," *IEEE Transactions Software Engineering*, September 1994, pp. 665-676.
 - [Wei91] Wei, L., "A Model Based Study of Workload Influence on Computing System Dependability," Ph.D. thesis, University of Michigan, 1991.
- [Weib51] Weibull, W, "A Statistical Distribution Function of Wide Application," J.Appl. Mech., vol. 18, 1951, pp. 293-297.
- [Weig90] Weigend, A., Huberman, B., and Rumelhart, D., "Predicting the Future: A Connectionist Approach," Stanford University, TR Stanford-PDP-90-01, April 1990.
- [Wein90] Wein, A.S., and Sathaye, A., "Validating Complex Computer System Availability Models," *IEEE Transactions on Reliability*, vol. 39, no. 4, October 1990, pp. 468/79.
- [Weis88] Weiss, S.N., and Weyuker, E.J., "An Extended Domain-Based Model of Software Reliability," IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering, SE-14, no. 12,1988,pp.1512-1524.
- [Werb74] Werbos, P., "Beyond Regression: New Tools for Prediction and Analysis in the Behavioral Sciences," Ph.D. thesis, Harvard University, Cambridge, Mass., 1974.

- [WH183] Williams, J.F., Yount, L.J., and Flanningan, J.B., "Advanced Autopilot Flight Director System Computer Architecture for Boeing 737-300 Aircraft," Proceedings of the 5th Digital Avionics Systems Conference, Seattle, Wash., 1983.
- [Will89] Williams, R., and Zipser, D., "A Learning Algorithm for Continually Running Fully Recurrent Neural Networks," *Neural Computation*, vol. 1, no. 2, 1989,pp.270-280.
- [Wong93] Wong, WE., "On Mutation and Data Flow," dissertation, available as Technical Report SERC-TR-149-P, Software Engineering Research Center, Pur-due University, W. Lafayette, Ind., 1993.
- [Wrig86] Wright, N.C.J., "Dissimilar Software," Workshop on Design Diversity in Action, Baden, Austria, June 1986, see summary in [Voge87b].
- [Wrig88] Wright, D.R., "A Modified u-Plot Applied to Failure Count Prediction," Technical Report, Centre for Software Reliability, City University, London, 1988.
- [Wrig93] Wright, D.R., Recalibrated Prediction of Some Software Failure-Count Sequences, PDCS2 Project (ESPRIT Project 6362) First Year Report, chap. 4, part 4.1, Centre for Software Reliability, City University, London, 1993.
- [Xie91a] Xie, M., Software Reliability Modeling, World Scientific Publishing Company, 1991.
- [Xie91b] Xie, M., and Zhao, M., Ore Some Reliability Growth Models with Simple Graphical Interpretations, Institute of Technology, Linkoeping, Sweden, ISSN 0281-5001, 1991.
- [Xie93a] Xie, M., "Software Reliability Models—A Selected Bibliography," *Journal of Software Testing, Verification and Reliability*, no. 3, 1993, pp. 3-28.
- [Xie93b] Xie, M., and Zhao, M., "On Some Reliability Growth Models with Simple Graphical Interpretations," *Microelectronics and Reliability*, vol. 33, no. 2, 1993,pp.149-167.
- [Yama83] Yamada, S., Ohba, M., and Osaki, S., "S-Shaped Reliability Growth Modeling for Software Error Detection," *IEEE Transactions on Reliability*, vol. R-32, no. 5, December 1983, pp. 475-478.
- [Yama85] Yamada, S, and Osaki, S, "Software Reliability Growth Modeling: Models and Assumptions," *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, vol. SE-11, no. 12, December 1985, pp. 1431-1437.
- [Yama86] Yamada, S, Ohtera, H., and Narihisa, H., "A Testing-Effort Dependent Reliability Model for Computer Programs," Tree *Transactions of the IECE of Japan*, vol. E 69, no. 11, pp. 1217-1224,1986.
- [Yama91] Yamada, S., Tanio, Y, and Osaki, S., "Software Reliability Measurement and Assessment Methods during Operational Phase and Their Comparisons," *Transactions Inst. Electronic Inf. Communication Engineering*, vol. J74-DI, March 1991, pp. 240-248 in Japanese.
- [Yau75] Yau, S.S., and Cheung, R.C., "Design of Self-Checking Software," *Proceedings of the 1975 International Conference on Reliable Software*, 1975, pp. 450-457.
- [Youn86] Yount, L.J., "Use of Diversity in Boeing Airplanes," Workshop on Design Diversity in Action, Baden, Austria, June 1986, see summary in [Voge87b].
- [Your89] Yourdon, E., *Modern Structured Analysis*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey 1989.

820 References

- [Zave93] Zave, P., "Feature Interactions and Formal Specifications in Telecommunications," IEEE Computer, August 1993, pp. 20-29.
- [Zinn90a] Zinnel, K.C., "Using Software Reliability Growth Models to Guide Release Decisions," Proceedings of the 1990 International Symposium on Software Reliability Engineering, Washington, D.C., April 1990.
- [Zuse91] Zuse, H., Software Complexity: Measures and Methods, Walter De Gruyter, New York, 1991.

Absolute performance, 141 Accelerated testing, 175 Acceptancetest (see Acceptancetesting) Acceptance testing, 570-571,581 Acceptance voting, 584—585 reliability modeling, 603-604 Accessibility matrix, 49 Accuracy: model, 281 operational profile, 171 Acquired software, reliability measurement, 235 Action model, 58-60 Activation function, 700-701 Active fault, 28 Adaptive maintenance, 32 Adequacy criterion for test set, 534 Adjudication: adjudicator, 575 algorithm, 577 by voting, 577-578 Adjusted r elative complexity, 503 Adjustment of failure time, 240-241 Administrator, system, 174 Advantage: implicit profile, 178-180 operational profile, 167—168 Advice to reliability users, 162-163 Akaike information criterion, 297, 458 Algorithm defect, 375 Allocation of development resources, 172 Alternates, 570 Alternatives of model combinations, 295-298 Analysis: cluster, 326, 348 confirmatory, 450 environment, 448-449	Analysis (Cont.): failure dependency, 324-327 failure modes and effects, 233 fault tree, 233, 619-622, 624 Markovreward, 334 multiple discriminant, 511 multiple regression, 512 multivariate, 326, 776-779 neural network model, 718 performability, 351-352 principal component, 326 principal components, 495-499 sensitivity, 338-339 subadditive property, 406-407 trend, 410 trend test, 410 variance, 716 Application result for reliability measure ment, 285-289 Approach: classification, 719 data domain, 73 early prediction, 162 error seeding and tagging, 73 estimative, 719 experimental, 723 linear combination model, 289—299, 739 software reliability engineering, 220-226 time domain, 73 tree-based, 202 Approximation of operational profile, 168 Approximation of execution time, 240 Architecture discovery process, 211—212
confirmatory,450	

Laplace transform, 62

Artifact-based simulation, 665, 669-676 Availability modeling(Cont.)'. execution harness, 674 pointwise availability, 61 unavailability, 62-64 Average inputs, 671-672 reliability assessment, 674-675 bias, 714 Average error, 714-715 results, 675-676 Average failure rate, 481 Average self-driven, 672-673 recoveryrate,481 simulator architecture, 670—675 trace-driven, 674 Artificial neural networks Back-propagation learning algorithm, (see Neural 705-707 Back-to-back networks) Artificial neuron, 700 testing, 606 Back-to-back voting, Assessing reliability capabilities of com-582 Background: petitors, 227 Assessment of quality factor, 222 event, 207 Assignment defect, 374 Assumptions: model, 72-73 independence, 403, 580, 588 Backward compatibility trigger, 388 interversion failure correlation, 589 Backward elimination, 519 Backward error reliability growth, 450 recovery, 570 Basic assumption, 78 Basic stochastic independence, 403, 580, 588 block, 555 AT&T software reliability engineering Basic event in fault tree, 622 Basis for toolkit, 732 Atomic effort, 548 characterizing system mode, Atomic systems, 35—41 Attribute of 174-175 Bathtub curve, 753-754 dependability, 29, 32-33Attribute value set, Bayesian model, 104-109, 524-526 394 Authorized Program Analysis Report, Littlewood-Verrall (see Model: 268,362 Littlewood-Verrall) Behavior (see Autocorrelation coeff icient, 777 Service) Belady's bandwidth metric, 513 Automation: Bell-shaped response curve, 702 Benefit of diagnosis environment, 331 software reliability engineering, 210, diagnosis of recurrences, 331-333 220-226 Bernoulli distribution, 749 Best failure data collection, 245 current practice, 219 Best estimate of selection of best predictor, 162 reliability, 259 Best predictor, automatic test, 238 selection, 162 Beta test, 241 Bias: verification of numerical results, average, 714 573-574 definition of, 139, 144-145 Availability, 479-486 detection of, 145 average, 62,481 trend(see y-plot) consideration in setting reliability objectives, unit, 701, 703 Bimodal failure rate curve, 268-270 definition of, 14, 29, 35 Binomial distribution, 749 Binomial model, instantaneous, 480-481 75, 77 Birth rate, 762 ra-step approximation, 484-485 Birth-death model, calendar-time, 468 pointwise, 61 Birth-death process, 762-765 Block, 555 prediction, 485-486 steady-state, 483 approximation, 484 Book overview, 8-12 Book scope, 21-23 system, 483 uptime, 481, 483 Availability modeling, Boundary value, 775 60-64, 483^86 average availability, 62

Complexity (Cont.):

program size, 496, 522 relative complexity, 501-503 adjusted, 503 metric, 501 scaled, 501 relative subgraph complexity, 509 space,

681 system, 500 time, 679, 681, 683 Component configuration change in evolving

software, 276 Component failure rate, 46 interface failure probability, 46 internal component failure rate, 46 Component model, 289 Compression ratio, 548

selection, 551-553 Computation complexity (see Time complexity)

Computation error model, 646 Computation diagnostics, 457-458 Computational use, 533 Computer-Aided Software Reliability Estimation tool (CASRE), 739-740 Concurrent engineering, 667 Conditional probability, 749-750 Conditional probability density function

749-750

Conditional reliability, 55, 57—58

Confidence:

bound,260 coefficient, 769 interval, 260, 769 for means, 769-770 for proportions, 771 for variances, 770-771 Confidentiality,

29 Configuration:

change in evolving software, 276 management system, 503 profile, 202 vectors, 503 Confirmatory analysis, 450 Consensus recovery block, 583-584 reliability modeling, 601-603 Consensus voting, 578 reliability,

592-593, 599-601 versus majority voting, 600 Consistency check, 320

data, 448 external, 571-573

Constant:

failure-rate model, 474-475 hazard, 754

subadditivity factor, 408-409 Continuous distribution, 750 Continuous random variable, 748-749 Continuous-space Markov model, 758 Continuous-time Markov model, 758-759 Continuous-time system reliability,

36-37,40

Control:

charts,423

error, 315-317

testing progress, 422 Control flow:

complexity, 497, 522

testing, 532-533 Convolution operation, 54 Corrective maintenance, 32 Corrective service, 329 Correlated elements in functional and

operational profiles, 18

Correlated failure, 324-325, 576, 598-599 Correlated fault, 596

Correlation analysis, 777 Correlation coefficient, 325-326, 777 Corruption, data, 197, 332, 449 Cosine arch moving average, 376 Cost:

fault -tolerant software, 607-609

testing, 265

life-cycle, 229-230

operational failure, 264—265

operational profile, 168,171, 222

orthogonal defect classification, 397

processing and analyzing failure data, 223

reduction, 168

root - cause analysis, 397

software reliability engineering consultant, 223 Coupling complexity, 500 Covariance

matrix, 497, 516 Covariance, 449, 708

Coverage:

definition of, 34

failure, 647

incorporation in reliability estimation, 546-547 information for failure data

filtering,

547-551 model, 646-647

	Index 825
Coverage (Cont.):	Data coalescing, 313 Data collection and
parameter, 337, 647	analysis environment:
statistics, 544 <i>Cp</i>	data collection system, 449
statistic, 520	manipulation, filtering, and data merging
CPU-bound workload, 349-350 Criteria for	system, 449
selecting software reliability	software reliability modeling tool, 449
tool, 731-732	statistical analysis and visualization
Critical hazard, 624 Critical	system, 449 Data collection and
operation, 175 Criticality:	analysisprocess,
category, 176	standardization, 440 Data collection,
measurement, 175 Cross-referencing between	159-160, 284-285
logs, 311 Cross-validation:	aut omation, 245
minimal network growth, 721-722	form, 285
neural network, 724	in field, 244-245
set, 722 Cumulative distribution function, 403,	in system test, 239
748	items, 271
empirical, 773	principle, 441-449
Cumulative failure function, 14	process, 266-267 plan, 266
Cumulative failure plot, 452	system, 449
Cumulative reward, 352 Customer:	trial-run, 267
definition of, 173, 175	with multiple functional area, 272 Data
expectations, 206	consistency checking, 448 Data conversion (see
partnership,444	Datatransformation) Data corruption, 197, 332,
perspective for quality measurement, 221	449 Data definition, 267-272 Data diagnostics,
satisfactiontracking,245	454-455 Data Disk (see Data and Tool Disk)
testing, 202-203	Data domain:
type,173 Cut-off point, 485 Cutset:	approach,73
definition of, 617	reliability modeling (see Reliability
generation, 617-619	modeling in data domain)
minimal, 617, 630-634 Cycle-time reduction,	Data -driven system, 193 Data extraction, 313
360 Cyclomatic complexity number (see Cyclo-	Data fault, 314-315 Data filtering:
matic number) Cyclomatic number,	definition of, 449
497, 500, 513, 521	filtering using coverage information, 547-551 process, 549—550 Data flow:
Data aging, 454 Data	diagrams, 211
analysis:	testing and coverage, 532—534 Data
detailed analysis, 323-333	granularity, 446-447 Data maintenance, 447-448
preliminary analysis, 312-323	Data modeling, 450, 454-455 Data
principles, 449-459 Data analysis techniques:	preprocessing, 312-314 Data recalibration with
data modeling, 450	respecttousage,
data resistance, 450	454 Data recording, 190 Data
data transformation, 450, 458-459, 739	references, global, 521 Data representation,
plots and graphs, 450 Data and Tool Disk, 12	712-713, 722-723 Data resistance, 450
Data behavior, 454 Data classification, 280, 312, 517-518	-,·····

Data set:

fitting, 513 validation, 513 Data smoothing, 454, 739 Data-splitting, 513 Data structure complexity, 521 Data transformation, 17,450,458-459, 739 Data validation, 447-448 Data variability, 439 Deadlock error, 315-316 Death rate, 762 Debugging phase, 753 Decision coverage, 533-534 Decision-making process, 367 Def-use pair, 533 Defect:

definition of, 13, 361 density, 113 latent, 465 multidimensional analysis, 393—396 reduction, 360 reintroduction rate, 468 tool for tracking, 394 Defect model, 364-366 Defect prevention process, 397 Defect simulation status profile, 691-693 Defect trigger, 384-393 attribute, 384-393 function test triggers, 388-389 combination path coverage trigger,

389

simple path coverage trigger, 389 test coverage trigger, 389 test interaction trigger, 389 test sequencing trigger, 389 test variation trigger, 389 review and inspection triggers,

387-388 backward compatibility trigger,

388

concurrency trigger, 388 design conformance trigger, 388 document consistency/completeness

trigger, 388

lateral compatibility trigger, 388 operational semantics trigger, 388 rare situation trigger, 388 system test triggers, 387 hardware configuration and software configuration trigger, 387 normal mode trigger, 387 recovery/exception handling trigger, 387 Defect trigger, attribute, system test triggers (Cont.):

system start-up and restart trigger, 387

workload volume/stress trigger, 387 definition of, 385 difference with symptom, 386 distribution, 391-393 use of, 389-393 Defect type, 368, 373-384 association with process, 376 attribute, 374-376 algorithm defect, 375 assignment defect, 374 build/package/merge defect, 374 checking defect, 374 defect due to something incorrect, 374 defect due to something missing, 374 documentation defect, 374 function defect, 374 interface defect, 374 timing/serialization defect, 374 definition of, 368, 373 distribution, 390-391

change with phase, 370 relative risk assessment, 376-384 Definition-free path, 533 DelayedS-shaped model (see Model:

S-shaped model) Density function (seeProbabilitydensity

function) Dependability, 28-35 attribute of, 29, 32-33 impairment to, 28-32 means for, 33-35 requirement, 65-66 Dependence of faults/failures, 403,

586-589 Description statistics, 423 Design and implementation phase: software reliability engineering, 232-235 trend analysis on inspection data,

431-434

Design conformance trigger, 388 Design constraints, 261 Design fault, 19 Design methodology versus operational

profile, 173

Determination:

functional profile, 226-227 new feature introduction, 245—246 operational profile, 236-237

Development:	Documentation:
developer-oriented measures, 221	defect, 374
improvements of developer and customer	document completeness trigger, 388
communication, 199	document consistency trigger, 388
methodology, 234	operational profile, 203-204 Domain coverage
operational profile, 206-208, 211-214	and predictive model,
practices for fault management, 234-235	520-523 Domain loading, 498
resources allocation, 172	Domain metric, 498 Domain pattern, 498, 514,
test environment, 208 Diagnosis,	522-523 Domain testing, 554 Dormant fault, 28
symptom-based, 332 Diagnostics for model	Dot-product function, 701 Doubly stochastic
determination, 455-458	process, 346 Duane model (see Model: Duane)
computational, 457—458	Duration of outage, 13 Dynamic aspect of
graphical, 455-457 Differential-difference	functional complexity,
equation, 764 Discovery reviews, 231 Discrete	507-509
distribution, 749 Discrete random variable,	Dynamic consensus voting, 584 Dynamic
748-749 Discrete-event simulation, 665	majority voting, 584 Dynamic program
Discrete-space Markov model, 758	complexity, 504-510 Dynamic simulation:
Discrete-time Markov model, 759 Discrete-time	approaches, 664-665
system reliability, 36, 39—40 Discriminant	need,663-664
model, 515—516 Discrimination technique, 515	Dynamically weighted linear combination
	model:
Disk (see Data and Tool Disk) Distributed	basic model, 291
recovery block: definition of, 581, 627, 629	model with fixed window, 296
	model with sliding window, 296
fault tree model, 629-630 reliability model, 630	model with shaing window, 250
system reliability model, 647-648	π point, 378-379, 382 Early prediction model,
system safety model, 647—648 Distributed	17, 111-114,
systems, operational profile,	162
198-199	phase-based model, 111-112 predicting defects
Distribution:	from Ada designs,
characterization, 772-776	112-113
function (see Cumulative distribution	Rome Laboratory model, 113—114
function) fitting,	Economic Stop Testing Model(ESTM)
773-774 sample, 135	tool, 740-741
Bernoulli, 749	Effect identifier, 395 Effort:
binomial, 749	atomic, 548 estimated, 496 filtered, 548 testing,
chi-square, 750, 770	540 Element, 168 1 I—point symmetrical
continuous, 750	moving-average,
defect trigger, 391-393	481 Elman network (see Recurrent
defecttype,390-391	network:
discrete,749	simplerecurrentnetwork)
empirical, 772-773	End-point prediction, 711, 714 End-to-end
exponential, 750	reliability process, 691 Entity relationship
Gamma, 750	diagram, 211
normal, 750	-
Poisson, 749	
Rayleigh, 94, 755	

828 Index **Environment:** Estimative approach, 719 automatic diagnosis, 331 Estimator, 139, 766 characteristics,669 consistent, 766 consideration, 688-689 least-squares, 768-769 data collection and analysis, 448-449 maximum likelihood, 767 profile, 181 unbiased minimum-variance, 766 Euclidean variable, 175-176, 180-181,190, 192 Equally distance, 778 Evaluation: wrighted linear combination fault tolerance, 328, 570 model, 290 model, 342 Error: operational phase, 306 average, 714 reliability, 64-68 Events, reportable, 473, 483 definition of, 13, 28-29, 362-363 Event process: detected, 28 simulation, 665, 676 latent, 28 statistics, 677 Evolving software: relative, 458 complexity metrics, 502 Error bursts (see Multiple error) Error component configuration change, 240, 276 compensation, 570 Error confinement area, failure time adjustment, 240, 276-277 627 Error distributions, 320-323 Error entry, ignoring changes, 240, 276 Exception sample, 308-309 Error frequency, 320-321 handling, 328, 571 Error log, preprocessing 313 Error model, trigger, 387 319, 337-344, 349-351 Error propagation, operating system exceptions, 573 Exclusion: 317-320 Error record, 308-309 Error remaining set of run types, 188-189 recovery, 340, 570 transient behavior data, 454 Execution of distributions, 320-323 Error report: programs, 209—210 Execution profile, 505 relationship to relative complexity, Authorized Program Analysis Report (APAR), 506-507 Execution time: Modification Request (MR), 235, 268, 284 approximation, 240 Problem/Failure Report (P/FR), 267 component data requirements, 88 Tandem Project Report (TPR), 311 Error seeding and tagging, 73 Error states, 340 definition of, 14 measurement, 239-240 Expected life of mean waiting time, 340, 342 Error statistics, system, 756 Expected value (see Mean) 320 Error types, 315-317 Experimental approach, 723 Explanatory control error, 315-317 variables, 162 Explicit versus implicit deadlock error, 315-316 I/O and data management error, 316-317 functional profile, memory error, 317 177-180 multiple error, 316, 322 Exploratory analysis, 449-450 Exponential programming exception error, 316, 344 distribution, 750 Exponential failure time storage exception error, 316, 344 class model, 77-93 storage management error, 316 Brooks and Motley (see Model: Brooks and Establishment of software reliability Motley) requirements, 259-266 extended execution time (see Model: Estimated effort, 496 Estimation: extended execution time) least-squares, 520, 768-769 hyperexponential(see Model: number of sites, 475 hyper-exponential)

software reliability, 17

	IIIUEX 029
Exponential failure time class model (Cont.): Inflection S-shaped (see Model: Inflection S-shaped) Jelinski-Moranda (see Model: Jelinski- Moranda) Laprie hyperexponential (see Model: Laprie hyperexponential) Musa basic execution time (see Model: Musa basic execution time) nonhomogeneous Poisson process (see Model: nonhomogeneous Poisson process) Schneidewind (see Model: Schneide- wind) Yamada modified exponential growth (see Model: Yamada modified exponential growth) Exponential order statistic model class, 111 Exponential random variables, 140 Exposure period, 540 Expressing software reliability, 259—261 Extended excution time model, 92 Extensions of model combinations, 295-298 Eyeball regression, 694	Failure data (Cont.): definition of, 15, 442-444 failure-count, 15, 268, 271 failures per time period, 15, 268, 271 filtering process, 549—550 format, 410 interfailure times, 16, 268, 271 time-between-failures, 16, 268, 271 time-between-failures, 16, 268, 271 filtering process, 549—550 format, 410 interfailure times, 16, 268, 271 time-between-failures, 16, 268, 271 failure dependence, 586-589 Failure dependency analysis, 324—327 multiway, 326-327 two-way, 325-326 Failure domain, 36, 38-39 Failure frequency, 320-321 Failure functions, 14 cumulative failure function, 14 failure intensity function, 14 mean time between failures (see Mean time to failure) mean time to failure, 14 mean value function, 14 MTBF (see Mean time between failures) MTTF (see Mean time to failure) rate of occurrence of failures, 14, 55, 128 ROCOF (see Rate of occurrence of failures) Failure impact, 333-335, 386 Failure independence, 580 Failure intensity: decay parameter, 470
	definition of, 757-758
Factor analysis, 326, 777-778	function, 14
common factor, 778	improvement factor, 258, 263 influence of usage, 462
communality,778 factor loading, 778	Laplace test, 413
unique factor, 778	model, 59
unique variance, 778 Factors affecting	optimum objective value, 229-230
software reliability, 339 Factors in reliability	plots, 452-453 Failure model, 337-344,
objectives, 230-231 Fail-safe state, 647 Failure:	349-351,
coincident (see Coincident failure)	385-386
correlated (see Correlated failure)	Failure mode, 31
definition of, 12, 28-29, 227, 362-363 examples of, 4-5	Failure mode and effect analysis, 233 Failure
fatigue, 754	rate: average, 481
interweaving, 53	bimodal curve, 268-270
load-induced, 348	definition of, 752-756
median time to next, 128	field, 482
multiple component, 325 single point, 619	function, 14
wear-out, 754	instantaneous, 480 model, 59
workload-related, 345-352 Failure	model, 33
classification, 31, 227 Failure data:	
automated collection, 245	

830 Index Failure rate (Cont.): per-office, 475 system, 49 Failure rediscovery, 53 Failure severity classification, 12, 227-228, 477^78 Failure source, 65-66 Failure statistics, 320 Failure symptom, 385 Failure time adjustment, 240-241 in evolving software, 276-277 Failure-based measure, 221 Pan-in and fan-out connections, 700-701 Fatigue failure, 754 Fault: active, 28 common-cause, 576, 594 definition of, 13, 28-29, 362-363 design, 19 dormant, 28 first occurrence of, 315 physical, 18 regression, 403, 423 related, 594, 596, 628, 636 similar, 576, 594, 596 spawned, 196 Fault avoidance, 34 Fault-based measures, 221 Fault classification, 30-31, 314-317 Fault categorization, 314-315 data definition fault, 314-315 data fault, 314-315 incorrect computation, 314-315 missing operation, 314-315 side effect of code update, 314-315 unexpected situation, 314-315 Fault data, 442-444 Fault density, 112-114 Fault dependence, 403 Fault discovery rate, 688-689 Fault-exposure ratio, 673 Fault forecasting, 19, 21, 29 Fault injection, 673 Fault management, 234-235 Fault masking, 570 Fault model, Gompertz curve, 467 Fault pathology, 28-29 Fault prediction model, 518-520 Fault prevention, 19-20, 29 Fault profile, 314

Fault-prone module identification, 710,

718-725 Fault rate,

112 Fault recurrence, 315

Fault removal, 19-20, 29 Fault sensitization, 402 Fault simulation, 691-693 Fault tolerance, 19-20, 29 (See ffl/so Fault-tolerant software) Fault-tolerant software: advanced techniques, 583-585 acceptance voting, 584-585 consensus recovery block, 583-584 dynamic consensus voting, 584 dynamic majority voting, 584 N self-checking programming, 583-586 applications, 568—569 basic techniques, 581-583 distributed recovery block, 581, 627, ^-version programming, 582-583, 627 recovery blocks, 581-582 comparative system analysis, 642-645 cost, 607-609 definition of, 567-568 design, 605-606 development, 604-609 evaluation, 328, 570 experiment, 596-598 fault tree analysis, 627 hybrid techniques, 583-585 parameter estimation, 639 performability analysis, 628 present status, 568-569 quantitative analysis, 635-645 reliability in presence of interversion failure correlation, 596-604 reliability growth modeling, 65, 585-596 requirement, 605-606 testing, 604-609 validation and verification, 606-607 Fault tree:

analysis of fault-toerant software, 627 analysis, 233, 619-622, 624 assignment statement, 624 basic event, 622 construction, 616 as design aid, 622-623 if-then-else statement, 624 modeling, 615-617, 629-634 distributed recovery block, 629-630 *N* self-checking programming, 632-634

JV-version programming, 630—632 recovery block, 629-630 safety validation, 623-627

Heisenbug, 574

Hidden layer, 703 Hierarchy of interpreters, 43 High-risk area identification, 233
Histogram, 772 Hollander test, 411, 414
Homogeneous Markov process, 759
Homogeneous Poisson process, 74
Homogeneous run types, 194 Human triggers, 388 Hyperexponential model (see Model: hyperexponential) Hypothesis test, 410-411,450,774

Identical and wrong answer, 575-576, 598 Identification:

customer reliability needs, 227-228 fault-prone software modules, 710, 718-725 high-risk area, 233 Identifier:

cause, 395

effect, 395

subpopulation, 395 Imminent-failure state, 450 Impact of failures on performance, 333—335 Impact of system activity, 345—352 Impairment to dependability, 28-32 Implicit profile advantage, 178-180 Improvement: developer and customer communication, 199

method,160-163 productand process,246

quality, 222

Inclusion-exclusion method, 619 Incorrect computation, 314—315 Incremental build problem, 526—527 Independence:

assumption, 403, 580, 588

failure, 580

fault, 628

input sequence, 40 Index of stability, 231 Infant mortality, 753 Infinite failure class model, 73, 98-104

Duane (see Model: Duane) geometric (see Model: geometric) Lipow (see Model: Lipow)

Moranda geometric Poisson (see Model: Moranda geometric Poisson)

Musa-Okumoto logarithmic Poisson (see Model: Musa-Okumoto logarithmic Poisson) Inflection point in reliability growth curve, 378

Inflection S-shaped model, 92, 381
In-process measurement, 367 Input domain

partitioning, 551 Input layer, 703 Input space, 170 Input state, 169 Input trajectory, 38-39, 402

(See *also* Failure: domain) Input variable, 169

indirect, 197

hierarchy, 43

key, 177

number reduction, 186 In-service time, 445, 460 Inspections and reviews, 234, 431-432 Instantaneous availability, 480-481 Instantaneous failure rate, 480 Instantaneous recovery rate, 480 Instantaneous reward rate, 760 Integrity, 29, 32 Interaction matrix, 191 Interdependencies among project ele ments,

668-669Interface:
defect, 374
failure probabilities, 46
interpretive, 43 Interfailure times:
data, 16
Laplace test, 412 Interpreters:

system, 43 Interpretive interface, 43 Interrupt, externally initiated, 169 Interval estimation, 769-772 Interval reliability, 55, 58 Interval reward rate, 760 Interversion failure correlation assumption, 589 Interweaving of

failure, 53 I/O and data management error, 316-317 I/O workload, 349

Iterative development process, 363

Jelinski-Moranda model, 79 Jensen's estimator, 513

Jordannetwork (see Recurrent network: semirecurrent network)

modified, 712 Jump-start consulting, 223

Linearly increasing hazard, 754-755 Lines of Kendall test, 411, 737 Key input code, 495 Lipow model, 102 List: variables, 177 indirect, 197 customertype, 173 interaction matrix, 191 Key operational input system mode, 174-176 user type, 173-174 Listing operations, selection, 196 Key quality objectives, 257 K-{old coincident failure, 575 X-means 184—186 Littlewood-Verrall model, 107-108 Load hazard model, 346-348 Load test program algorithm, 349, 779 Knee of reliability growth execution, 209-210 Load-induced effects, 348 curve, 378 Knowledge model, 58-60 Load-induced software failures, 348 Local Kolmogorov-Smirnov distance, 136, 738 fluctuations, 404 Local trend changes, 406 Log, Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, 714, 775-776 KS cross-referencing, 311 Logging: distance (see Kolmogorov-Smirnov distance) challenges in on-line evaluation, 310 Laplace factor, 412-413 on-line event, 308-309 Laplace test, 411, 737 on-line machine, 308-310 operator, 311 Logical time, 445 Logistic failure intensity, 413 function, 702 Long-term prediction, 123-126, interfailure times, 412 relation to subadditive property, 414-419 298-299,447,456-457,711 Loss in Laplacetransform: performance measure, 761 availability, 62 failure intensity, 56 Maintainability, 29, 32 Laprie hyperexponential model, 92, 468 Latent Maintenance: defect, 465 Latent discovery effect, 348 Latent adaptive, 32 corrective, 32 Lateral compatibility trigger, 388 Law of perfective, 32 personnel, 174 large numbers, 693 Layer, 41-42, 703 policy, 51-53 Majority voting: Learning algorithm, 700, 705, 707 comparison with consensus voting, 600 back-propagation, 705-707 definition of, 577 cascade-correlation, 707-709 Learning rate, reliability, 592-593 Management of fault, 706 Least significant difference, 717 234-235 Manipulation, filtering, and data Least-squares estimation, 520, 734, 739, merging 768-769 License system, 449 Manual reporting, 308, information, 445 Life-cycle cost, 310-312 Mapping from functions to operations, 184 optimization for reliability specification, Markovchain, 43-44, 47, 63 Markov 263-266 Likelihood function, 767 Limitation: model, 646, 758-759 models, 76-77 continuous-space, 758 present prediction techniques, 159-160 continuous-time, 758-759 testing methods, 542-543 Limited growth discrete-space, 758 simulation, 683 Linear combination model, discrete-time, 759 Markov 289-299, 739 Linear discriminant model, 515 process: Linear relationships in reliability growth homogeneous, 759 models, 459 Linear threshold function, nonhomogeneous, 759

701

834 Index Markov reward analysis, 334, 759-762 Matrix: accessibility, 49 covariance, 497, 516 rediscovery, 329-330 service utilization, 48 standardized transformation, 498-499, 514-515 Maxi mum likelihood estimation, 734, 736, 738-739,766-767 Mazzuchi's modification of Littlewood-Verrall model, 108 McCabe's cyclomatic number, 497, 500, 513,521 Mean,748 Mean recurrence time, 342 Mean square error, 299 Mean time between failures (see Mean time to failure) Mean time to failure, 14, 756-757 Mean time to repair, 14 Mean value function, 14 Mean value voting, 578 Mean waiting time in error states, 340, 342 Means for dependability, 33-35 Measure: developer-oriented, 221 failure-based, 221 fault-based, 221 predictability evaluation, 713-715 static, 502 validation of, 521 Measurement: connection with semantics, 372 criticality, 175 customer perspective of quality, 221 definition of, 360-361 execution time, 239-240 'in-process, 367 process-based, 372 reliability for acquired software, 235 software reliability, 17 (See *also* Software reliability measurement) Measurement -based analysis:

overall framework, 304 steps, 304-305 studies, 307

program, operational-profile, 198

Measurement plan quality, 471 Measurement

```
Measurement system, multidimensional,
        360
Measurement techniques, 307-312 Median
time to next failure, 128 Median voting, 577,
583 Median-weighted linear combination
        model, 291
Meeting reliability objectives, 232-233
Memory error, 317 Merge defect, 374 Method:
analyzing predictive accuracy,
       128-145 improvement, 160-163
inclusion-exclusion, 619 least square,
768-769 maximum likelihood, 766-767
moments, 767-768 Metrics:
Belady's bandwidth metric, 513 definition
of, 495-496 domain, 498 Jensen's estimator,
513 path, 449 usage, 499-500 Middleware
software, 390 Minimal cutset, 617, 630-634
Minimal network growth using
cross-validation, 721-722 Minimizing
number of recurrences,
        329-331
Minimum distance classifier, 722 Missing
operation, 314—315 Mistake, 13
  (See a/so Error) Mode
of failure, 31 Model:
  action, 58-60 Bayesian, 104-109,
  524-526 binomial type, 75, 77 Brooks
  and Motley, 92-93 Butterfly, 360
  computation, 646 constant-failure-rate,
  474-475 coverage, 646-647
  Duane 98-99 assumptions, 98 data
  requirements, 98 model estimation, 99
  model form, 99 overview, 98
  reliability prediction, 99
```

$\mathbf{M} \cdot 1 1 (C + \epsilon)$	M 11 I'm 137 11 (C ()
Model (Cont.):	Model, Littlewood-Verrall (Cont.):
dynamically weighted linear combination, 291,296 equally weighted linear	reliability prediction, 107-108 load hazard, 346-348 median-weighted linear
combination,	combination,
290 error, 337-344,	291
349-351	Moranda geometric Poisson, 102 Musa
multiple occurrence, 344-345	basic execution time, 87—90
propagation, 319	assumptions, 87-88
exponential failure time class, 77-93	data requirements, 88
extended execution time, 92 failure, 337-344,	calendar time component, 88
349-351	execution time component, 88
finite, 73	model estimation, 89-90
infinite, 73	model form, 88-89
intensity, 59	overview, 87
no-failure, 474	reliability prediction, 89—90
rate, 59 geometric, 99-102	Musa-Okumoto logarithmic Poisson,
assumptions, 100	102-104
data requirements, 100	assumptions, 102
model estimation, 101	data requirements, 102
model form, 100-101	model estimation, 103
overview, 99—100	model form, 102-103
reliability prediction, 101	overview, 102
hyperexponential, 90—92	reliability prediction, 103
assumptions,90-91	nonhomogeneous Poisson process (NHPP),
data requirements, 91	80-82
model estimation, 91	assumptions, 80
model form, 91	data requirements, 80
overview, 90	model estimation, 81
reliability prediction, 91 infinite failure	model form, 81
class, 98—104 Inflection S-shaped, 92, 381	overview, 80
interconnection structure, 700	reliability prediction, 81-82
Jelinski-Moranda, 77-80	nonparametric, 699 neurons, 700
assumptions,78	parametric, 709 Poisson type, 74-75, 77
data requirements, 78	Rayleigh, 94 rare-event, 473-476
model estimation, 79	recovery, 337-344 resource-usage,
model form, 79	349-351 Schick-Wolverton, 94
overview, 77-78	Schneidewind, 82-87
reliability prediction, 79	assumptions, 83
knowledge, 58-60	data requirements, 83
Laprie hyperexponential, 92, 468 linear	model estimation, 84—86
combination, 289-299, 739 Lipow, 102	model form, 84
Littlewood-Verrall, 105-109, 524	overview, 82-83
assumptions, 106	reliability prediction, 84-86
data requirements, 106	simulation, 662 software quality, 511
model estimation, 107-108	software reliability, 17-18 S-shaped,
model form, 106-107 linear	95-98
form, 107 quadratic form, 107	assumptions, 95-96
overview, 105	-

Model, S-shaped (Cont.Y data requirements, 96 model estimation, 97-98 model form, 96-97 overview, 95 reliability prediction, 97-98 system, 41-43, 646 ultrareliability, 277-278 unequally weighted linear combination,

291 weight determination based on ranking

model results, 290-291 Weibull and gamma failure time class,

93-98, 756 Weibull, 93-95, 756 assumptions, 93—94 data requirements, 94 model estimation, 95 model form, 94-95 overview, 93 reliability prediction, 95 workload, 348-349 Yamada modified exponential growth,

91

Model accuracy, 126, 281 Model application issues, 273-276 Model assumptions:

basic, 78 Duane model, 98 general, 274-275 geometric model, 100 hyperexponential model, 90—91 Jelinski-Moranda model, 78 Littlewood-Verrall model, 106 Musa basic execution time model,

87-88 Musa-Okumoto logarithmic

Poisson

model, 102 nonhomogeneous

Poissonprocess

model, 80 S-shaped model, 95-96 Schneidewind model, 83 standard, 78 Weibull model, 93-94 Model background, 72-73 Model bias (see u-plot) Model classification, 59, 73-76 Model combinations, extensions and alternatives, 295-298 Model comparison, 120-127 Model determination diagnostics (see Diagnostics for model determination)

Model disagreement, 121 Model estimation:

Duane model, 99 geometric model, 101 hyperexponential model, 91 Littlewood-Verrall model, 107-108 Musa basic execution time model, 89-90 Musa-Okumoto logarithmic Poisson model, 103

Nonhomogeneous Poisson process model, 81

S -shaped model, 97-98 Schneidewind model, 84—86

Weibull model, 95 Model evaluation, 342 Model form:

Duane model, 99

102-103

geometric model, 100—101 hyperexponential model, 91 Jelinski-Moranda model, 79 Littlewood-Verrall model, 106-107

Musa basic execution time model, 88-89 Musa-Okumoto logarithmic Poisson model,

nonhomogeneous Poisson process model, 81

S-shaped model, 96-97 Schneidewind model, 84

Weibull model, 94-95 Model limitations,

76-77 Model performance comparisons, 286-288,292-295 Model

relationship, 109-111 Model selection, a priori, 126—127 Model trade-offs tudy, 230

Modification Request (MR), 235, 268,

284

Modified renewal process, 56-57 Monitoring field reliability versus objectives, 243-245 Monotone reliability growth, 404 Moving average:

cosine arch, 376 11-point symmetrical, 481 three-point symmetric, 465^166 MR (see Modification Request) MTBF (see Mean time

betweenfailures) MTTF (see Mean time to failure) MTTR (see Mean time to repair)
Multi-interpreter system, 47-49

Multicollinearity, 499

Multidimensional defect analysis, Neural network (Co/if.): 393-396 Multidimensional software reliability growth model, 711 software reliability growth modeling, 710-718 measurementsystem, 360 Multilayer network, 703 New feature introduction, 245-246 Next-release effect, 465, 478-479 classifier, 721 Multiple checks, 332-333 Next-step prediction, 714 Multiple component failure, 325 Multiple (See also Short-termprediction) correct output, 577 Multiple discriminant NHPP model (see Model: non-homogeneous analysis, 511 Multiple error, 316, 322, 344-345 Poisson) Multiple operational profile, 173, 237 Multiple JV-modular-redundancy, 582 regression analysis, 512 Multivariate analysis, Noise, 128,144 326, 776-779 Nonhomogeneous Markovprocess,759 software quality control, 514-518 Musa basic Nonhomogeneous Poisson process, 59, 74 execution time model, 87-90 Musa's Nonhomogeneous Poisson process model (see modification of Littlewood-Verrall Model: nonhomogeneous Poisson model, 108-109 Musa-Okumoto process) logarithmic Poisson Nonparametric model, 699 model, 102-104 Mutant, 533 Nonstationary Markovprocess(see Mutation testing, 532-533, 554 Non-homogeneous Markov process) Normal distribution, 750 N self-checking programming, 583-586, 627 Normal mode trigger, 387 fault tree model, 632-634 Notion of prediction error, 145 reliability model, 633 N-step approximation to availability, 484-485 system reliability model, 650 N-step-ahead prediction (see Long-term system safety model, 650 Nature: prediction) prediction error, 136,151 Null hypothesis, 410 reliability process, 666-667 Number of remaining defects, 463 software faults, 385 Near Number of sites estimation, 475 homogeneous run category, AT-version programming, 582-583, 627 fault 194-195Necessary tree model, 630-632 reliability model, change, 363 Need: 631 system reliability model, 648-650 simulation, 663-664 system safety model, 648-650 software reliability, 3-5 Network (see Neural network) Neural network, 699-718 Objectives: application for software reliability, 709-710 project application, 278, 281 architecture, 702-704, 706-707 quality, 257 cross-validation, 724 reliability, 257-258 feed-forward, 703-704, 712 software reliability engineering, 219 initialization, 708 Occurrence probabilities: mapping, 711 functional profile, 181-183 model analysis, 718 operational profile, 189-194 ODC (see multilayer, 703 Orthogonal defect classification) processing unit, 700-702 One-step-ahead prediction, 122 On-line recurrent(seeRecurrentnetwork) machine logging, 308-310 Operating phase, single-layer, 703 normal, 754 Operation, 15, 168-170, 184 critical, 175,189 listing, 184-186 number reduction, 186-189 selection, 195-196

```
838 Index
Operation, selection (Cont.)'.

with an explicit operational profile,
195 with an implicit operational profile,
195
key input selection, 196 verification of,
185-186 Operational architecture, 174, 184
```

key input selection, 196 verification of, 185-186 Operational architecture, 174, 184 Operational complexity, 509—510 Operational development, prioritization,

199

Operational failures cost, 264-265

Operational phase:

evaluation, 306 reliability modeling, 335-339 Operational profile, 15, 183-194,

257-258,534-535 accuracy,

171 advantage, 167-168

application:

DEFINITY, 200-204 FASTAR, 204-210 PQRS, 210-214 approximation, 168 as educational aid, 200 concepts, 168-170 determination, 236-237 development, 206-208, 211-214 cost, 168, 171 procedure, 170-194 documentation, 203—204 distributed systems, 198-199 elimination of steps, 172 estimation difficulty, 535-537 feature definition, 536-537 feature granularity, 537 multiple and unknown user groups,

537 operational profile for new features, 536 operational profile for new software, 536 granularity, 171 introduction, 167-168 multiple, 173, 237 new software, 536 occurrence probabilities, 189-194 range of operation, 168 reliability estimation, 537-539 coarse features, 538 inadequate test set, 538 interacting features, 538 relationship to design methodology, 173

```
Operational profile (Cont.):
selection of operation, 195-196
selection of test, 194-197
simple case, 168
updating, 197-198, 213
uses, 199-200
Operational-profile measurement program,
```

198 Operational-profile-based testing,

202-203,222Operational

semantics trigger, 388 Operator log, 311

Opinion-based classification, 373 Optimal release time, 82 Optimistic prediction, 122-123,137, 151 Optimum network architecture, 706-707 Optimum value of failure intensity objec tive, 229-230 Oracle capability, 569-570, 573 Orthogonal defect classification:

collapsing of defect categories, 379 combination with growth modeling, 379-384 cost, 397 defect trigger (see Defect trigger attribute) defect trype (see Defect trype attribute) definition of, 367, deployment, 396-398 design, 370-371 intuition, 367-370 necessary condition, 371-373 pilot, 396-397 principles, 367-374 production, 396-397

production, 396-397 staged production, 396-397 sufficient conditions, 373-374 Orthogonality, 370 Outage, 12-13

duration, 13 reportable, 473 system, 13 Outlier, 779 Output layer, 703

Overall project results for reliability modeling, 292-295 Overview of book, 8 -12

P-use (see Predicate use) Package defect, 374 Parameter estimation, 766-772 Jelinski-Moranda model, 79 methodology, 635-639

Parameter:	Prediction:
coverage, 337,647	ava ilability, 485-486
failure intensity decay, 470	based on strubtural decomposition, 162
reliability profile, 669 Parametric model,	defects from Ada designs, 112-113
709 Partnering with customers, 444 Path	end-point, 711, 714
metric, 449 Pathology, fault, 28-29 PBX (see	error:
Private branch exchange) People involved in	nature, 136, 151
softwarereliability	notion, 145 stationary,
engineering, 247-248 Per-office	140
failure rate, 475 Perceptron, 703, 721	fault model, 518-520
Percolation process, 340 Perfective	long-term, 123-126,159, 298-299, 456^57,
	711
maintenance, 32 Performability analysis, 35, 351-352	next-step,714
	noise, 128
fault-tolerant software, 628 Performance:	one-step-ahead, 122
absolute, 141	optimistic, 122-123,137,151
degradation by recording function, 198	pessimistic, 122-123, 137,151
load testing, 239	recursive comparison, 128-130
loss measure, 761 relative, 141	sequential, 711
·	short-term, 120-123, 711
stress testing, 214 Personnel, maintenance, 174 Pessimistic prediction, 122-123,137,	software reliability, 17
1741 essimistic prediction, 122-123,137,	techniques, limitation, 159—160
	time to next failure, 447
Phase-based model, 111-112 Physical fault, 18 Plots, 450-454	training, 712
	use, 182 Predictive accuracy, methods of
cumulative failure, 452 failure intensity, 452^-53 PLR (see	analyzing,
• .	128-145 Predictive model and domain
Prequential likelihood ratio) Plurality decision,	coverage,
600 Point estimation, 766-769 Pointwise	520-523
availability, 61 Poisson distribution, 749, 765	Preliminary analysis of data, 312-323
Poisson process, 74, 765	Preliminary selection of software reliability
homogeneous Poisson process, 74	models, 272-273 Premature convergence, 706
mean,765	Preprocessing:
nonhomogeneous Poisson process, 74 variance, 765	automatically generated error logs, 313
Poisson type model, 74-75, 77	data,312-314
	human-generated problem reports,
Population, 762	313-314 Prequential
moment, 768 Postdelivery phase of software reliability	likelihood, 281, 291
2	ratio,131-134
engineering, 242-246	statistic, 734, 736, 738-739 Prevention of
Postprocessing method, 710 Postrelease	fault, 19-20, 29 Preventive service, 329
staff needs, 242-243 Predictability:	Principal component analysis, 326,
next-step, 714	495^99
variable-term, 714	Prioritized operational development, 199 Private
	branch exchange, 171, 200 Probability:
	conditional, 749-750
	occupancy, 342
	software failure, 628
	system failure, 620
	theory,751

840 Index	
Probability (Cont.):	Program subgraph, 509
transition,342	Program volume, 496
unacceptable result, 646 Probability density	Programming exception error, 316, 344
function, 54, 748	Progress of product through process, 369
conditional, 749-750	Project:
empirical, 773	CDS, 695-696 DEFINITY, 200-204
joint, 767	FASTAR, 204-210
Probability integral transform, 135 Problem, 362	MIS,512-515,719-720
	PQRS, 210-214
Problem/Failure Report (P/FR), 267 Problem	Space Shuttle flight software, 476-479 Project
reports, preprocessing, 313-314 Problem with root-cause phase analysis,	application objectives, 278, 281 Project
372-373	characteristics, 281-284 Project characterization
	278-279 Project elements, interdependencies,
Process:	668-669 Project investigation,
data recording, 190 decision-making, 367	software reliability,
defect prevention, 397	278-299 Project resource
iterative development model, 363	schedule, 667 Projection:
reliability (see Reliability process)	field reliability, 259
semantic extraction, 366	staffing needs, 242-243 Proliferation of
softwarereliability engineering, 257	environmental variables,
spiral model, 667	199
usage prediction, 182	Propagation characteristics of first error,
waterfall model, 363 Process-based	318-320
measurement, 372 Process pairs, 328	further corruption mode, 318-320
Process progress for product, 369 Process	no propagation mode, 318-320
simulation:	quick detection mode, 318-320 Pure
discrete-event, 665	birth process, 765
single-event, 678 Process	Qualitative causal analysis, 364-366 Quality and
transfer,396	performance tests, 427 Quality aspect in
Processing time, temporal distribution, 505 Processor halt data, 326 Product and process	discriminant model, 516 Quality attribute, 221
improvement, 246 Product development plan,	Quality factor assessment, 222 Quality function
228 Product requirements specification, 228	deployment, 227 Quality improvement, 222
	results, 167-168
Product, progress through process, 369 Prpduct-in-a-process, 68 Profile, 168	Quality measurement from customer perspective
configuration, 202	221 Quality objectives, key, 257 Quality of
environmental, 181	measurement plan, 471 Quality versus reliability
execution, 505	221 Quantitative analysis of fault-tolerant
fault and error, 314	software, 635-645 Quantitative
lunctional, 169, 176-183, 211-212	reliability requirements, 256 Quantitative
supersystem, 173	requirements, 256 Quantitative statistical defect
user, 201-202	model,
Program complexity, relative, 495-504 Program	364-366
evaluation and review technique, 291 Program	
length, 496, 513 Program module functionality,	R^2 statistic, 458, 519
505 Program risk classification, 514 Program	Random sample, 766
size complexity, 496, 522	

Random selection of run types, 194 Random Recurrence, 329-333 automatic diagnosis, 331-333 variable: fault, 315 continuous, 748-749 minimizing, 329-331 definition of, 748 time, 342 discrete, 748-749 Recurrent event simulation, 681-682Recurrent exponential, 140 Range of operations in event statistics, 679-681 Recurrent network, 703 operational profile, fully recurrent network, 704 168 semirecurrent network, 704 Rapid prototyping, 667 Rare events handling, simple recurrent network, 704 Recursive 553-554 Rare situation trigger, 388 comparison of prediction, Rare-event model, 473-476 Rate function of 128-130 Rediscovery (see Recurrence) softwarereliability Rediscovery matrix, 329-330 Rediscovery of model, 686-687 Rate of occurrence of failure, 53 Reduced-operation software, 186-187 failures, 14, 55, Reducing interaction between successive 128Rate-based simulation: runs, 187 event simulation, 665 Reducing number of input variables, 186 reliability simulation, 686-690 reliability simulator architecture, 687-689 Reducing number of operations, 186 189 simulation algorithms, 676-686 Ratio, Reducing number of run types, 186 Reducing prequential likelihood, 131-134 Rayleigh unnecessary interaction, 187 Reduction: density function, 755 Rayleigh distribution cost, 168 function, 94, 755 Realization (see Sample defect, 360 function) Recalibrated median, 147 time, 168, 360 Redundancy, 574-575 Recalibration of data with respect to Redundant software elements, 233 Regression usage, 454 coefficient, 347 Regression faults, 403, 423 Recalibration of software reliability model, Regression model selection, 518-520 145-149 Regression test, 196-197, 238 Regression: power of, 147-149 eyeball, 694 technique, 146-147 Record stepwise, 519 of error, 308-309 Record of Related faults, 594, 596, 628, 636 Related intent, 363 types of testing, 238 Relative complexity Recording function, performance degradation, metric, 501 198Recovery: relationship to execution profile, error, 340 506-507 Relative error, 128, hardware, 350 458 software-controlled, 350 Recovery long-term prediction, 456-457 Relative blocks, 581-582 performance, 141 Relative program complexity, fault tree model, 629-630Recovery 495-504 Relative subgraph complexity, 509 distribution, 320-323 Recovery model, Release criterion for reliability, 222 Release 337-344 Recovery point, 570 Recovery date approach for reliability specification, rate: 262-263 Release time, 82 average, 481 Reliability allocation among components, 232 instantaneous, 480 software, 482 Recovery routine,

328 Recovery strategy, 232-233

Recovery trigger, 387

842 Index Reliability: advice to users, 162-163 as release criterion, 222 best estimate of, 259 boundary version, 592 definition of, 29, 35 majority voting, 592-593 module, 589 inpresence of interversion failure correlation, 596-604 stable, 50, 57-58, 409, 423-424 system, 36-37, 39⁰ trade-off with functionality, 229 ultrahigh, 159, 472 versus quality, 221 Reliability analysis: calendar-time, 461, 463-469 required fields, 443 usage-based, 461, 469-472 Reliability assessment in artifact simulation, 674-675 Reliability decrease, 50 Reliability demonstration: chart, 236 testing, 235 Reliability discontinuity, 427 Reliability effect on architecture, 231 Reliability estimates, variation, 550-551 Reliability estimation with inaccurate operational profiles, 537-539 coarse features, 538 inadequate test set, 538 interacting features, 538 Reliability estimation incorporating coverage, 546-547 Reliability evaluation, 64—68 Reliability fluctuation, 433 Reliability function, definition of, 752 Reliability growth, 50, 404, 423 definition of, 403 fault-tolerantsoftware,65 monotone, 404 strict, 404 Reliability growth assumption, 450 Reliability growth curve: inflection point, 378 knee, 378 subjective aspects, 377-379 Reliability growth factor, 286 Reliability growth in field, 475-476 Reliability growth modeling, 709-710

linear relationships, 459 Reliability growth

testing in system test stage, 237-240

Reliability growth modeling, combination with orthogonal defect classification, 379-384 Reliability measurement for evolving software (see Evolving software) Reliability model: acceptance voting, 603-604 comparison with simulation, 694-696 consensus recovery block, 601—603 consensus voting, 592-593, 599-601 N self-checking programming, 633 A^-version programming, 631 distributed recovery block, 630 fault -tolerant software, 585-596 trend analysis, 424 Reliability modeling, 53-60, 257-258 conditional reliability, 55, 57-58 data domain, 588—594 TV-version programming, 591-593 comparison, 593-594 recovery block, 590-591 interval reliability, 55, 58 operational phase, 335-339 time domain, 588, 594-596 reliability decrease, 50 reliability growth, 50 results, 291-292 stable reliability, 50, 57-58 tools, 273 with software complexity metrics, 524-526 Reliability objectives, 230-231, 257-258 achieving, 232-233 availability consideration, 231 certification, 240-241 influencial factors, 230-231 reliability effect on architecture, 231 Reliability overestimation due to saturation, Reliability prediction, 81-82, 713-718 definition of, 17 Duane model, 99 experiment, 713-718 geometric model, 101 hyperexponential model, 91 Jelinski-Moranda model, 79 Littlewood-Verrall model, 107-108 Musa basic execution time model, 89-90 Musa-Okumotologarithmic Poisson model, 103 nonhomogeneous Poisson process model, 81-82 Schneidewind model,

84-86

Reliability prediction (Cont.): Resource, usage function, 346 S-shaped model, 97-98 Resource-usage model, 349-351 Restart and Weibull model, 95 Reliability process, 661, checkpointing, 328 Restoration, 34,49-50 665-669 Result verification, 570-574 Results: definition of, 661 application, 285-289 end-to-end, 691 artifact simulation, 675-676 fault identification, 667 neural network for error-prone module fault repair, 667 identification, 723-725 nature, 666-667 neural network for reliability prediction, primary event, 689 715-718 repair validation, 667 overall project for reliability modeling, retest,667 292-295 schedule items, 668 quality-improvement, 167-168 secondary event, 689-690 reliability modeling, 291-292 simulation for project application, 690-696 simulation experiments, 691-694 Reuse, software construction, 666 software, 20, 234 Review and inspection, 234 softwarecorrection,666 Review and inspection trigger, 387-388 software inspection, 666 Review of discovery, 231 Reward functions, software integration, 666 334, 351 Reward, cumulative, 352 Reward rate, structures and flows, 667-668 351-352 testpreparation,667 testing, 667 instantaneous,760 Reliability profile parameters, 669 Reliability interval, 760 requirements specification, 256, 259-266 steady-state, 335, 760-762 Reward-loss rate, definition of, 256 steady-state, 335 Risk, 472 life-cycle cost optimization, 263—266 residual, 561 product, 228 testing based model, 554-555 release date approach, 262-263 testing based notation, 555 system balance method, 261-262 untested code, 554 Risk assessment, testable requirements, 260 376-384, 472-473, 555-558 untestable requirements, 261 Reliability using defect types, 376-384 Risk browser, simulation, 662-665, 686-690 560-561 Risk classification, 514—515 Risk rate-based, 686-690 computation example, 558-559 Risk simulator architecture, 687-689 Reliability confidence growth model, 562 Risk model, theory,751-758 Reliability trend test (see 472, 554-563 Trend test) Reliability validation, 257, 259 software reliability, 561-563 Risk Remaining set of run types, exclusion, prediction result, 715-718 ROCOF (see 188-189 Removal of Rate of occurrence of fault, 19-20, 29 Renewal process, 56 Renewal theory, 54 Repair rate, Rome Laboratory model, 113—114 field, 482 Repetitions of failures, Root-cause analysis cost, 372-373, 397 244 Reportable events, 473, 483 r-squared statistic, 458, 519 Run, 169, 185 Run outages, 473 category, 194 Reporting, manual, 308, 310-312 Request for near homogeneous, 194—195 proposal, 226 Requirement of dependability, Run-time overhead, 563 Run type, 65—66 Residual risk, 561 Residuals of fit, 455 Residue, 738 group,169

Run type(Cont.): homogeneous, 194 number reduction, 186

Safety, 29, 35 Safety analysis, 624 Safety validation using fault trees, 623-627

Sample distribution function, 135 Sample error entry, 308-309 Sample function, 677 Sample moment, 768 Sample space, 747 Sampling users, 190 Saturation effect, 541-542, 562 empirical basis, 543-544 reliability overestimation, 545—546 Scaled relative complexity, 501 Schick-Wolverton model, 94 Schneidewind model (see Model:

Schneidewind)

Scope of book, 21-23

Secondary event simulation, 682—683 Security,

SEI software process, 477

Selection:

operation, 195-196 regression model, 518-520 with replacement, 195 without replacement, 195 Self-assessment in software reliability

engineering, 250-252 Self-checking capability, 569-570 Self-checking software component, 633 Self-driven simulation, 664, 672-673 Semantic classification, 373 Semantic extraction of process, 366, 372,

375

Semantic information, 368 Semantics, connection with measurement,

372

Sensitivity analysis, 338-339 Sequential prediction, 131, 711 Serialization defect, 374 Service, 12, 34 corrective, 329 preventive, 329 Service utilization matrix, 48 Setting up data collection process,

266-267 Severity assignment, 244 Severity classification (see Severity classification of failure) Severity classification of failure, 12, 227-228,477-478 Severity of failures, 477 Short-term prediction, 120-123, 711, 714 Side effect of code update, 314-315 Sigmoidal unit, 702 Significance level, 775 Significant environmental conditions,

174

Similar faults, 576, 594, 596 Simple operational profile, 168 Simple path coverage trigger, 389 Simple short-term prediction, 120-123 Simulated code generation, 672-674 Simulated defect status profile, 691-693 Simulated fault status profile, 691-693 Simulation, 664 artifact -based, 665, 669-676

compared with reliability model, 694-696

discrete-event, 665 event process, 676

limited growth, 683

ininieu growni, o

rate-based, 665

 $recurrent\,event, 681\!\!-\!682$

reliability, 662-665

secondary event, 682-683

self-driven, 664, 672-673

trace-driven, 664 Simulation algorithms, rate-based,

676-686

Simulation approaches, dynamic, 664-665 Simulation experiments and results, 691-694

display of results, 689-690 Simulation model, 206, 662 Simulation program structure for single

event occurrence, 678-679

Simulation time choice, 678-679 Simulator architecture:

artifact-based, 670-675

rate-based, 687-689 Simulator program,

685 Single-event occurrence simulation, 678-679

Single-interpreter system, 43^17 Single-layer network, 703 Single point of failure, 619 Smallest divisions of work, 169 Smoothing of software behavior, 409 Smoothing technique, 161 Soak test, 197 Soak time, 197

Software reliability (Cont.): Software: data recording, 190 need for, 3-5 prediction, 17 relationship to middleware, 390 software quality, 6 Software reliability and reduced-operation, 186-187 Software artifact, neural networks, 663 Software behavior, smoothing, 409 Software 709-718 Software reliability complexity metrics for reliability and risk model, modeling, 524-526 Software 561-563 Software reliability complexity and software quality, estimation, 17 510-523 with time and structure, 539-554 Software configuration trigger, 387 Software Software reliability engineering: defects, 361-364 Software diversity, 567 activities, 223-225 approaches, 220-226 Software elements, redundant, 233 Software benefits, 210, 220-226 cost, 222-223 environment characteristics, operational profile cost, 222 processing and 669 analyzing failure data Software error model, 341 Software evolution cost, 223 (see Evolving software) Software failure software reliability engineering consultant correlation, 324-325, cost, 223 definition of, 8 design and 598-599 implementation phase, Software failure data collection and analysis, 232-235 design stage, 232-233 440 Software failure mode, 754 feasibility and requirement phase, Software failure: 226-231 feasibility stage, 226-228 field hardware-related, 327-328 trial stage, 241-242 getting started, 246-252 load-induced, 348 implementation on existing projects, 224 probability, 628 implementation on short-cycle projects, with workload parameters, 345-352 226 (See also Failure) Software fault: implementation stage, 233-235 incremental model, 318 implementation, 223, 225 information and nature, 385 support, 250 involved people, 247-248 Software fault tolerance (see Fault-tolerant objective, 219 software) Software field data, 440 Software organizationpreparation,247-250 postdelivery life cycle, 306 Software metrics, 495-496 and maintenance phase, Software process, SEI, 477 Software quality: 242-246 practice, 219-220 process, models, 511 247, 257 requirement stage, 228-231 multivariate analysis, 514-518 relationship to software complexity, 510-523 self-assessment, 250-252 success story, relationship to software reliability, 6 Software 221-222 system test and field trial phase, 235-242 system test stage, 237-241 recovery, 350 technical areas, 19-22 fault prevention, model, 341 19-20, 29 fault removal, 19-20, 29 fault recovery rate, 482 Software tolerance, 19-20, 29 fault /failure reliability: forecasting, 19, 21, 29 definition of, 5, 540 early prediction, 17 expression, 259-261

factors affecting, 339

Software reliability measurement, 17 early prediction, 17 estimation, 17 framework, 256-278 prediction, 17 reliability prediction, 17 Software reliability modeling tool, 449

(See a/so Software reliability tool) Software reliability model, 17-18 preliminary choice, 272 preliminary selection, 272—273 rate function, 686-687 relationship to hardware reliability,

18-19 tool, 449

(See *also* Software reliability tool) Software reliability prediction, 17 Software Reliability Program (SoRel) tool,

737-739 Software reliability project investigation,

278-299

Software reliability requirement, 67 Software reliability requirements, establishment, 259-266 Software Reliability Simulator (SoftRel) tool, 688

Software reliability study goals, 441-442 Software reliability testing, 68 Software reliability tool:

AT&T toolkit (see AT&T software reliability engineering toolkit) CASRE (see Computer-Aided Software Reliability Estimation tool) ESTM (see Economic Stop Testing

Modeltool)

SMERFS (see Statistical Modeling and Estimation of Reliability Functions for Software tool) SoftRel (see Software Reliability Simulator tool) SoRel (see Software Reliability Program tool) SRMP(see Statistical

Modeling and

Reliability Program tool) tool comparisons, 741-744 Software reuse, 20, 234 Software risk (see Risk) Software science metrics (see Halstead

number) Software system, 12 Software testing, 20

Software-specific classifiers, 442-443 Source of failure, 65-66 Space complexity, 681

Space Shuttle flight software, 476-479Spawned faults, 196 Spearman test, 411, 737 Special events, 472-479 Specification:

product requirements, 228 reliability requirements, 261-266 (See a/so Requirements specification) Spectrum of defect analysis, 364-367 Spiral model, 667 Squared distance, generalized, 515 SRE (see Software reliability engineering) S-shaped model (see Model: S-shaped

model) Stability index, 231 Stability test program execution,

209-210

Stable reliability, 50, 57-58, 409, 423-424 Stack trace, 332

Standard assumptions of software reliability models, 78 Standardization of data collection and

analysis process, 440 Standardized transformation matrix,

498-499,514-515

State space, 751
State transition equation, 759
State-transition-rate diagram, 764 Statement coverage testing, 533 State of error, 340
Static analysis and trend analysis,
431^34

Static analysis conduct, 431-433 Static measure, 502 Stationary prediction error, 140 Stationary process, 56 Statistic:

Braun.130

chi-square (see Chi-square statistic) X^2 (see Chi-square statistic) C_{γ} , 520 F-statistics, 717 prequential likelihood (see Prequential

likelihood: statistic) R^2 (r-squared), 458, 519 Statistical analysis and visualization system, 449

Statistical cluster analysis, 348 Statistical defect model, 364-366 Statistical Modeling and Estimation of Reliability Functions for Software (SMERFS) tool, 733-735 Statistical Modeling and Reliability Program (SRMP) tool, 736

reward rate, 335, 760-762 Stepwise regression, 519 Stochastic independence assumption, 403, 580,588 Stochastic process, 750-751 doubly, 346 Stop testing criteria, 467, 740 Stopping rule in selecting principal components, 498 Storage exception error, 316, 344 Storage management error, 316 Stress test program execution, 209-210 Strict reliability growth, 404 Structural decomposition for prediction, 162 Structure-based software reliability estimation, 539-554 Structures and flows in reliability process, 667-668 Student's (-distribution, 770 Subadditive property, 404, 407-408 analysis, 406-407 graphical interpretation, 404-406 relationship to Laplace test, 414-419 summary, 409-410 Subadditivity, 404 Subadditivity factor, 406 constant, 408-409 for validation phase, 417, 419 Subgraph of program, 509 Subjective aspects of growth 377-379 Subpopulation identifier, 395 Subprocess representation, 689 Success story, software reliability engineering, 221-222 Sum of squared errors, 706, 769 Superadditive property, 404 (See a/so Subadditive property) Superadditivity, 404 (See also Subadditivity) Supersystem profile, 173 Survival function, 54 Symptom, difference with trigger, 386 Symptom-based diagnosis, 332 System, 174 command-driven, 191 data-driven, 193 System activity impact,

345-352 System administrator, 174 System

availability, 483 System balance method for

reliability specification, 261-262

Steady-state availability, 483-484 Steady-state

System dependability, relationship to soft ware reliability, 7 System dynamics, 665 System failure rate, 49 System failure, probability, 620 System for manipulation, filtering, and data merging, 449 System for statistical analysis and visualization, 449 System interpreters, System-level analysis: case study, 651-657 $hardware\,and\,software\,system, 645--657$ System-level software reliability: model, 336, 338 parameters,336 System life, 756 System made up of components, 41-49 System mode, 174-176 System model, 41-43 System outage, 13 causes, 439-440 System reliability, 36-37, 39-40 continuous-time, 36-37, 40 discrete-time, 36, 39-40 System reliability N self-checking programming, 650 ^-version programming, 648-650 distributed recovery block, 647-648 System safety model: N self-checking programming, 650 ^-version programming, 648-650 distributed recovery block, 647-648 System start-up and restart trigger, 387 System structure model, 646 System test phase of software reliability engineering, 235-242 reliability growth modeling, 241-242 reliability growth testing, 237-240 test data collection, 239 System test triggers, 387 System unavailability, 483 ^-distribution, 770 Tandem project report (TPR), 311 Task computation, 628 Task, feature-oriented, 175 Technical areas for software reliability engineering, 19-22 fault prevention, 19-20, 29 fault removal, 19-20, 29 fault tolerance, 19-20, 29 fault/failure forecasting, 19, 21, 29

System complexity, 500

848 Index	
Technique:	Testing (Cont.):
combination, 161-162	performance and stress, 214
data analysis, 450	progress control, 422
discrimination, 515	quality and performance, 427
historical validation, 456	related types, 238
smoothing, 161 Technology transfer, 396	reliability demonstration, 235
Temperature coefficient, 702 Temporal	software,20
distribution of processing time,	software reliability, 68
505	statement coverage, 533
Temporary behavior of software, 409 Test:	varying occurrence probabilities, 214 white-box, 532
arithmetical mean, 411—412	Testing and operation trade-off, 265
chi-square,714,774-775	Testing-based notation of risk, 555 Testing cost,
Gnedenko (see Gnedenko test)	265 Testing effort, 540-541, 547 Testing
Hollander (see Hollander test)	hypothesis, 410^11, 450, 774 Testing methods,
Kendall (see Kendall test) Kolmogorov-Smirnov, 714, 775-776	limits, 542—543 Testing overview, 532-534
Laplace(seeLaplacetest)	Testing progress tracking, 240 Testing tool,
Spearman (see Spearman test) Test	213-214 Testing with replacement, 277 Testing
automation, 238 Test compression factor,	without replacement, 277 Three-point
259 Test coverage trigger, 389 Test	symmetric moving average,
efficiency, 422	465-466
Test environment development, 208 Test	Threshold function:
interaction trigger, 389 Test selection,	hard-limiting, 701
194-197	linear, 701 Time, 13-14, 444-445
coarse grain selection, 194	calendar time, 14
fine grain selection, 194	clock time, 14
random selection of run types, 194	execution time, 14
selection with replacement, 195	in-service, 445, 460
selection without replacement, 195 Test	logical, 445
sequencing trigger, 389 Test set:	translation, 14
adequacy criterion, 534	usage, 445
inadequate, 538 Test variation trigger, 389	Time choice, simulation, 678-679 Time
Testable reliability requirement, 260 Testing:	complexity, 679, 681, 683 Time domain
accelerated, 175	approach, 73 Time measurement, 239-240
acceptance,570-571,581	Time reduction, 168 Time to error, 320-322
back-to-back, 606	Time to failure, 320, 447 Time to recovery,
control flow, 532-533	322-323 Time to repair, 320 Time units, 419
customer-like, 202-203	Time-between-failures data, 16, 268, 271
data flow coverage, 533	Time-between-failures models vs. failure-count
data flow, 532-533	models, 288-289 Time-domain analysis (see
decision coverage, 533	Time-domain
domain, 554	reliability modeling) Time-domain reliability
functional, 268-270, 427, 534	modeling, 588,
increased,175	594-596
kinds of, 532	
mutation, 532-533, 554	Timing defect, 374
operational-profile-based, 202-203, 222	

	Index 849
Tolerance, 578-581 Tolerance of fault,	Trouble reports, 6, 244,431
19-20, 29 Tool:	trend analysis, 433^:34 Trouble tracking
commercial, 730	system, 244 Two-class risk classification model,
defect-tracking, 394	515 Two-out-of-A^ voting, 577 Type of
general-purpose,730	classification error, 516 Type of connectivity,
reliability modeling, 273	703
testing, 213-214 Tool comparison, 703	
software reliability tool, 741—744 Tool for	u-plot, 135-140, 281, 734, 736, 739 Ultrahigh
software reliability modeling,	reliability (see Ultrareliability) Ultrareliability,
449	159, 472
Tool selection criteria, 731-732 Total	modeling, 277-278
hazard,677	operation, 237
Trace-driven simulation, 664, 674	Unacceptable result probability, 646
Trachtenberg's general theory, 464 Tracking	Unavailability, 62-64, 483
customer satisfaction, 245 Tracking testing	empirical, 481-482 uptime, 485 Understanding customer
progress, 240 Trade-off between testing and	expectations,
operation,	206 Unequally weighted linear
265 Trade-offs tudies,	combination
229-230 reliability and functionality, 229 Traffic	model, 291 Unique
condition, 175 Training data selection, 723	factor, 778 Unique variance,
Training output layer, 708 Training regime, 712	778 Units of time, 419
Training set, 705, 722 Trajectory of input, 38-39,	Unnecessary interaction, reduction of, 187
402	Unrelated fault (see Independence: fault)
	Unreliability calculation, 621 Untestable
(See a(so Failure: domain) Transfer, process, 396 Transfer, technology, 396 Transformation	reliability requirement, 261 Updating
of data (see Data trans formation)	operational profile, 197—198,
Transformation of reliability-related functions,	213
753	Uptime availability, 481, 483 Uptime
Transformation between data types, 17	unavailability, 485 Usage, 445-446
Transient behavior of software, 409 Transient	fields, 446
hardware faults, 628 Transient region, 482, 484	function of resource, 346
Transition probability, 342 Translation, time, 14	metrics, 499-500
Tree-based approach, 202 Trend analysis:	profile of users, 463
definition of, 410	time, 445 Usage-based reliability analysis,
reliability models, 424	461,
static analysis, 431-434	469-472
trouble reports, 433-434 Trend	User, 173,175
change, 407-408 Trend test, 410-419,	experience, 175
737	generic, 201 profile, 201-202
analytical, 410	sampling, 190
graphical, 410	telecommunications, 174
Trial-run for data collection, 267	type,173-174
Trigger (see Defect: trigger)	usage profile, 463 Utilization environment,
	variation, 403
	V&V (see Verification and validation)
	Validating data set, 513 Validating new measure,
	521

850 Index Validation phase, subadditivity factor, Wear-out failure, 754 Weibull and gamma 417,419 failure time class variability, 128, 281 model, 93-98 Rayleigh (see Model: field reliability data, 439 Rayleigh) Schick-Wolverton (see Model: functional complexity, 509 Variable-term Schickpredictability, 714 Variables, environmental, Wolverton) S-shaped (see Model: 175—176, S-shaped) Weibull (see Model: Weibull) 180-181,190,192 Weibull-type field-defect model, 464-465 Variance, 748 Weight determination based on ranking analysis of, 716 model results, 290-291 White-box Poisson process, 765 Variants (see testing, 532 Work, smallest division, 169 Alternates) Variation: Workload: between-cluster, 779 CPU-bound, 349-350 I/O, 349 within-cluster, 779 Workload-dependent cyclostationary model accuracy, 126 model, 346 Workload model, reliability estimates, 550-551 348-349 Workload parameters and software utilization environment, 403 Varying failures, 345-352 Workload stress trigger, 387 occurrence probabilities for testing, 214 Workload volume trigger, 387 Weight Verification: adjustment phase, 705 Weight determination operation, 185-186 based on changes, 291 result, 570-574 Verification and validation, 33—34 Version, X-ware, 27, 35-49 atomic systems, 35-41 system made up Version control, 235 Versions (see of components, Alternates) Voter, 577, 582 Voting, 41-49 577 multi-interpreter system, 47-49 back-to-back, 582 single-interpreter system, 43-47 system consensus, 578 models, 41^13 majority, 577 mean value, 578 Yamada modified exponential growth median, 577, 583 model, 91 3'-plot, 140 141, two-out-of-TV, 577 281, 734, 736, 739

Walk-through, 432 Waterfall process, 363

ABOUT THE EDITOR

Michael R. Lyu is currently a Member of the Technical Staff at AT&T Bell Laboratories, Murray Hill, focusing on software reliability engineering, software fault tolerance, and distributed systems. He has published fifty papers in these fields. He is also the editor *of Software Fault Tolerance* (Wiley, 1995) Dr. Lyu received his B.S. in Electrical Engineering from National Taiwan University in 1981, M.S. in Electrical and Computer Engineering from University of California, Santa Barbara, in 1984, and Ph.D. in Computer Science from UCLA in 1988. He previously worked at JPL, Bellcore, and taught at the University of Iowa.