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Given two strings $s, t$, we already know how to compute their edit distance edit $(s, t)$ using dynamic programming in $O(|s||t|)$ time. It turns out that we can do better if we only need to verify whether $e d i t(s, t) \leq d$. This can be done in

$$
O(|s|+|t|+d \cdot \min \{|s|,|t|\})
$$

time.

For simplicity, we will assume $|s|=|t|=\ell$. It is left as an exercise for you to extend our discussion to the case of $|s| \neq|t|$.

Our goal now is to verify whether edit $(s, t) \leq d$ in $O(d \ell)$ time for $d<\ell$ (if $d \geq \ell$, the answer is trivially yes).

Recall that, in order to compute edit $(s, t)$ in $O\left(\ell^{2}\right)$ time, our strategy was to fill in an $(\ell+1) \times(\ell+1)$ array $A$. To solve the verification problem, we will adopt a similar strategy, except that we will fill in only a hexagon part of $A$, as explained next.

Let us first define the gray boundary cells to be

- At row 0 , the left most $d$ cells.
- At column 0 , the top most $d$ cells.

Define the blue boundary cells to be

- At row $\ell+1$, the right most $d$ cells.
- At column $\ell+1$, the bottom most $d$ cells.

An example with $\ell=8$ and $d=2$ :


Define the yellow boundary cells to be:

- $A[0, d], A[1, d+1], \ldots, A[\ell+1-d, \ell+1]$
- $A[d, 0], A[d+1,1], \ldots, A[\ell+1, \ell+1-d]$

An example with $\ell=8$ and $d=2$ :


Define the green cells to be all those cells inside the region surrounded by the gray yellow, and blue boundary cells.
An example with $\ell=8$ and $d=2$ :


We fill in only the colored cells (i.e., ignoring the others) as follows:
(1) Fill in the gray cells normally.
(2) Put $d+1$ in all the yellow cells.
(3) Compute the green and blue cells in the same manner as in the $O\left(\ell^{2}\right)$-time algorithm (i.e., row by row, and left to right at each row).
Report yes if $A[\ell+1, \ell+1] \leq d$, and no, otherwise.
Since there are only $O(d \ell)$ colored cells, the running time is $O(d \ell)$.

Example: $s=$ humanity, $t=$ hunamity, and $d=2$.
After the first two steps:

|  |  | h | u | m | a | n | i | t | y |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| h | 1 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| u | 2 |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |
| n | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| a |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |
| m |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| i |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| t |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| y |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |

Example: $s=$ humanity, $t=$ hunamity, and $d=2$.
After all steps:

| $m \mathrm{a} n \mathrm{i}$ t y |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| h | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| u | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |
| n | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 |  |  |
| a |  | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 |  |
| m |  |  | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 3 |
| i |  |  |  | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| t |  |  |  |  | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| y |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 |

So we conclude edit $(s, t) \leq 2$.

# Think <br> Why is the algorithm correct? 

