Problem 1. Perform $k$-selection to find the element $e_{1}$ with rank $k_{1}$. Perform $k$-selection again to find the element $e_{2}$ with rank $k_{2}$. The cost so far is $O(n)$. Then, scan $S$ once again to report every element $e \in S$ between $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$. This takes another $O(n)$ time because we only need to spend $O(1)$ on each $e \in S$.

Problem 2. Counterexample: $\mathcal{I}=\{[1,4],[4,5],[5,8]\}$. The algorithm returns only $\{[4,5]\}$ but the optimal solution is $\{[1,4],[5,8]\}$.

Problem 3. Identify any MST $T$ of $G$. If $e$ is an edge in $T$, we are done. Otherwise, $T$ must contain a (unique) $S$-cross edge $e^{\prime}$. Replacing $e^{\prime}$ with $e$ gives another tree $T^{\prime}$. As $e$ has the minimum weight among all $S$-cross edges, the weight of $T^{\prime}$ cannot be higher than that of $T$. This means that $T^{\prime}$ must also be an MST.

Problem 4. $\{b, e\},\{b, c\},\{c, f\},\{c, d\},\{a, d\}$.

## Problem 5.



Problem 6.

| $\ell$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\operatorname{opt}(\ell)$ | 3 | 6 | 9 | 12 | 15 | 18 | 21 | 24 |

## Problem 7.

|  | $s$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $t$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |

## Problem 8.

Lemma 1. Let $I_{1}$ be the first interval selected by the algorithm. There must exist an optimal solution that contains $I_{1}$.

Proof. Consider an arbitrary optimal solution $S^{*}$. Identify an arbitrary interval $I \in S^{*}$ that covers value 0 . As $I$ is at least as long as $I_{1}$, replacing $I$ with $I_{1}$ gives another solution $S$ with the same size as $S^{*}$. Therefore, $S$ must be optimal.

Lemma 2. Let $I_{1}, I_{2}, \ldots, I_{k}$ be the first $k \geq 2$ intervals selected by the algorithm (in this order). If $\left\{I_{1}, \ldots, I_{k-1}\right\}$ exists in some optimal solution, then there must exist an optimal solution that contains all of $I_{1}, I_{2}, \ldots, I_{k}$.

Proof. Consider an arbitrary optimal solution $S^{*}$ that contains $I_{1}, \ldots, I_{k-1}$. Suppose that $I_{k-1}=$ $[x, y]$. Thus, after adding $I_{k-1}$ to $S$, Step 3 sets the value of $a$ to $y+1$.

Identify an arbitrary interval $I \in S^{*}$ that covers the value $a=y+1$. As $I_{k} \cap[a, U]$ is at least as long as $I \cap[a, U]$, replacing $I$ with $I_{k}$ gives another solution $S$ with the same size as $S^{*}$. Therefore, $S$ must be optimal.

The algorithm's optimality follows from the above two lemmas.
Problem 9. For each $i \in[0, n]$, define $A[1: i]$ as the prefix of $A$ containing the first $i$ elements. Given an integer $0 \in[1, n]$, define $\operatorname{opt}(i)$ as the maximum sum that can be achieved by picking elements from $A[1: i]$ under the stated constraint. Clearly, opt $(0)=0$ and $\operatorname{opt}(1)=A[1]$.

Lemma 3. For $i \geq 2$, it holds that $\operatorname{opt}(i)=\max \{\operatorname{opt}(i-1), A[i]+\operatorname{opt}(i-2)\}$.
Proof. Consider the best strategy for picking elements from $A[1: i]$.

- If the strategy does not choose $A[i]$, then the elements chosen also constitute an optimal solution for $A[1: i-1]$. Hence, opt $(i)=\operatorname{opt}(i-1)$.
- If the strategy chooses $A[i]$, the rest of the elements chosen must constitute an optimal solution for $A[1: i-2]$ (notice that the strategy cannot pick $A[i-1]$ in this case). Hence, $o p t(i)=A[i]+o p t(i-2)$.

The lemma holds true because there are no other possibilities.
We can now compute $\operatorname{opt}(i)$ in ascending order of $i$ :

1. $\operatorname{opt}(0) \leftarrow 0, \operatorname{opt}(1) \leftarrow A[1]$
2. for $i \leftarrow 2$ to $n$
3. if $\operatorname{opt}(i-1) \leq A[i]+o p t(i-2)$ then $o p t(i) \leftarrow A[i]+o p t(i-2)$
else
4. $\quad o p t(i) \leftarrow \operatorname{opt}(i-1)$

It is clear that the running time is $O(n)$.

