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Given an alphabet $\Sigma$ (like the English alphabet), an encoding is a function that maps each letter in $\Sigma$ to a binary string, called a codeword.

For example, suppose $\Sigma=\{a, b, c, d, e, f\}$ and consider the encoding where $a=000, b=001, c=010, d=011, e=100$, and $f=101$. The word "bed" can be encoded as 001100011.

We can reduce the length of encoding if letters' usage frequencies are known.

Suppose that, in a document, $10 \%$ of the letters are a, namely, the letter has frequency $10 \%$. Similarly, suppose that letters $b, c, d, e$, and $f$ have frequencies $20 \%, 13 \%, 9 \%, 40 \%$, and $8 \%$, respectively.

If we use the encoding $a=100, b=111, c=101, d=1101, e=0$, $f=1100$, the average number of bits per letter is:

$$
3 \cdot 0.1+3 \cdot 0.2+3 \cdot 0.13+4 \cdot 0.09+1 \cdot 0.4+4 \cdot 0.08=2.37
$$

This is better than using 3 bits per letter.

What is wrong with the encoding $e=0, b=1, c=00, a=01$, $d=10, f=11$ ? Ambiguity in decoding! For example, does the string 10 mean "be" or "d"?

To allow decoding, we enforce the following constraint:
No letter's codeword should be a prefix of another letter's codeword.

An encoding satisfying the constraint is said to be a prefix code.

Example: The encoding $a=100, b=111, c=101, d=1101$, $e=0, f=1100$ is a prefix code. Just for fun, try decoding the following binary string.

$$
10011010100110011100
$$

## The Prefix Coding Problem

For each letter $\sigma \in \Sigma$, let freq $(\sigma)$ denote the frequency of $\sigma$. Also, denote by $\operatorname{len}(\sigma)$ the number of bits in the codeword of $\sigma$.

Given an encoding, its average length is

$$
\sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \operatorname{freq}(\sigma) \cdot \operatorname{len}(\sigma) .
$$

The objective of the prefix coding problem is to find a prefix code for $\Sigma$ with the shortest average length.

A code tree on $\Sigma$ as a binary tree $T$ satisfying:

- Every leaf node of $T$ corresponds to a unique letter in $\Sigma$; every letter in $\Sigma$ corresponds to a unique leaf node in $T$.
- For every internal node of $T$, its left edge (if exists) is labeled 0 , and its right edge (if exists) is labeled 1.
$T$ generates a prefix code as follows:
- For each letter $\sigma \in \Sigma$, generate its codeword by concatenating the bit labels of the edges on the path from the root of $T$ to $\sigma$.

Think: Why must the encoding be a prefix code?

Lemma: Every prefix code is generated by a code tree.

The proof will be left as a regular exercise.

Example: For our encoding $a=100, b=111, c=101, d=1101$, $e=0$, and $f=1100$, the code tree is:


Let $T$ be the code tree generating a prefix code. Given a letter $\sigma$ of $\Sigma$, its code word length len $(\sigma)$ is the level of its leaf node level $(\sigma)$ in $T$ (i.e., the number edges from the root to node $\sigma$ ).

## Example:



The levels of $e, a, c, f, d$, and $b$ are 1, 3, 3, 4, 4, and 3, respectively.
Hence:
$\operatorname{avg}$ length $=\sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \operatorname{freq}(\sigma) \cdot \operatorname{len}(\sigma)=\sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \operatorname{freq}(\sigma) \cdot \operatorname{level}(\sigma)=$ avg height of $T$
Goal (restated): Find a code tree on $\Sigma$ with the smallest average height.

## Huffman's Algorithm

Next, we will see a simple algorithm for solving the prefix coding problem.
Let $n=|\Sigma|$. In the beginning, create a set $S$ of $n$ stand-alone leaves, each corresponding to a distinct letter in $\Sigma$. If leaf $z$ is for letter $\sigma$, define the frequency of $z$ to be freq $(\sigma)$.

## Huffman's Algorithm

Then, repeat until $|S|=1$ :
(1) Remove from $S$ two nodes $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ with the smallest frequencies.
(2) Create a node $v$ with $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ as the children. Set the frequency of $v$ to be the frequency sum of $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$.
(3) Add $v$ to $S$.

When $|S|=1$, we have obtained a code tree. The prefix code derived from this tree is a Huffman code.

## Example

Consider our earlier example where $a, b, c, d, e$, and $f$ have frequencies $0.1,0.2,0.13,0.09,0.4$, and 0.08 , respectively.

Initially, $S$ has 6 nodes:


The number in each circle represents frequency (e.g., 10 means $10 \%$ ).

## Example

Merge the two nodes with the smallest frequencies 8 and 9 . Now $S$ has 5 nodes $\left\{a, b, c, e, u_{1}\right\}$ :


## Example

Merge the two nodes with the smallest frequencies 10 and 13. Now $S$ has 4 nodes $\left\{b, e, u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}$ :


## Example

Merge the two nodes with the smallest frequencies 17 and 20 . Now $S$ has 3 nodes $\left\{e, u_{2}, u_{3}\right\}$ :


## Example

Merge the two nodes with the smallest frequencies 23 and 37 . Now $S$ has 2 nodes $\left\{e, u_{4}\right\}$ :


## Example

Merge the two remaining nodes. Now $S$ has a single node left.


This is the final code tree.

It is easy to implement the algorithm in $O(n \log n)$ time (exercise).
Next, we prove that the algorithm gives an optimal code tree, i.e., one that minimizes the average height.

## Property 1

Lemma: In an optimal code tree, every internal node of $T$ must have two children.

The proof is left as a regular exercise.

## Property 2

Lemma: Let $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ be two letters in $\Sigma$ with the lowest frequencies. There exists an optimal code tree where $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ have the same parent.

Proof: W.l.o.g., assume freq $\left(\sigma_{1}\right) \leq \operatorname{freq}\left(\sigma_{2}\right)$. Let $T$ be any optimal code tree. Let $p$ be an arbitrary internal node with the largest level in $T$. By Property 1, $p$ must have two leaves. Let $x$ and $y$ be letters corresponding to those leaves such that $\operatorname{freq}(x) \leq$ freq $(y)$. Swap $\sigma_{1}$ with $x$ and $\sigma_{2}$ with $y$, which gives a new code tree $T^{\prime}$. Note that both $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ are children of $p$ in $T^{\prime}$.

Convince yourself that the average length of $T^{\prime}$ is at most that of $T$. Hence, $T^{\prime}$ is optimal as well.

Theorem: Huffman's algorithm produces an optimal prefix code.

Proof: We will prove by induction on the size $n$ of the alphabet $\Sigma$.
Base Case: $n=2$. In this case, the algorithm encodes one letter with 0 , and the other with 1 , which is clearly optimal.

General Case: Assuming the theorem's correctness for $n=k-1$ where $k \geq 3$, next we show that it also holds for $n=k$.

Proof (cont.): Let $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ be two letters in $\Sigma$ with the lowest frequencies.

By Property 2, there is an optimal code tree $T$ on $\Sigma$ where leaves $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ are the children of the same parent $p$.

Let $T_{\text {huff }}$ be the code tree returned by Huffman's algorithm on $\Sigma$. Convince yourself that $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ have the same parent $q$ in $T_{\text {huff }}$.

Proof (cont.): Construct a new alphabet $\Sigma^{\prime}$ from $\Sigma$ by removing $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$, and adding a letter $\sigma^{*}$ with frequency freq $\left(\sigma_{1}\right)+$ freq $\left(\sigma_{2}\right)$.

Let $T^{\prime}$ be the tree obtained by removing leaves $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ from $T$ (thus making $p$ a leaf). $T^{\prime}$ is a code tree on $\Sigma^{\prime}$ where $p$ corresponds to $\sigma^{*}$. Observe:

$$
\text { avg height of } T=\operatorname{avg} \text { height of } T^{\prime}+\text { freq }\left(\sigma_{1}\right)+\text { freq }\left(\sigma_{2}\right) .
$$

Let $T_{\text {huff }}^{\prime}$ be the tree obtained by removing leaves $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ from $T_{\text {huff }}$ (thus making $q$ a leaf). $T_{\text {huff }}^{\prime}$ is a code tree on $\Sigma^{\prime}$ where $q$ corresponds to $\sigma^{*}$.
avg height of $T_{\text {huff }}=\operatorname{avg}$ height of $T_{\text {huff }}^{\prime}+\operatorname{freq}\left(\sigma_{1}\right)+\operatorname{freq}\left(\sigma_{2}\right)$.

Proof (cont.): $T_{\text {huff }}^{\prime}$ is the output of Huffman's algorithm on $\Sigma^{\prime}$.
By our inductive assumption, $T_{\text {huff }}^{\prime}$ is optimal on $\Sigma^{\prime}$. Thus: avg height of $T_{\text {huff }}^{\prime} \leq \operatorname{avg}$ height of $T^{\prime}$

Hence:
avg height of $T_{\text {huff }} \leq$ avg height of $T$.

