## CSCI3160: Regular Exercise Set 7

Prepared by Yufei Tao

Problem 1. Let $x$ and $y$ be two strings of length $n$ and $m$, respectively. Suppose that $x[n]=y[m]$. Prove: the following are true for any LCS $z$ of $x$ and $y$ :

- Let $k$ be the length of $z$. It holds that $z[k]=x[n]=y[m]$.
- $z[1: k-1]$ is an LCS of $x[1: n-1]$ and $y[1: m-1]$.

Problem 2. Let $x$ be a string of length $n$, and $y$ a string of length $m$. Define $\operatorname{opt}(i, j)$ to be the length of an LCS of $x[1: i]$ and $y[1: j]$ for $i \in[0, n]$ and $j \in[0, m]$. In the lecture, we already discussed how to calculate $\operatorname{opt}(i, j)$ for all possible $(i, j)$ pairs. Based on that discussion, explain an algorithm that can output an LCS of $x$ and $y$ in $O(n m)$ time.

Problem 3 (Matrix-Chain Multiplication). The goal in this problem to calculate $\boldsymbol{A}_{1} \boldsymbol{A}_{2} \ldots \boldsymbol{A}_{n}$ where $\boldsymbol{A}_{i}$ is an $a_{i} \times b_{i}$ matrix for $i \in[1, n]$. This implies that $b_{i-1}=a_{i}$ for $i \in[2, n]$, and the final result is an $a_{1} \times b_{n}$ matrix. You are given an algorithm $\mathcal{A}$ that, given an $a \times b$ matrix $\boldsymbol{A}$ and a $b \times c$ matrix $\boldsymbol{B}$, can calculate $\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{B}$ in $O(a b c)$ time. To calculate $\boldsymbol{A}_{1} \boldsymbol{A}_{2} \ldots \boldsymbol{A}_{n}$, you can apply parenthesization, namely, convert the expression to $\left(\boldsymbol{A}_{1} \ldots \boldsymbol{A}_{i}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{A}_{i+1} \ldots \boldsymbol{A}_{n}\right)$ for some $i \in[1, n-1]$, and then parenthesize each of $\boldsymbol{A}_{1} \ldots \boldsymbol{A}_{i}$ and $\boldsymbol{A}_{i+1} \ldots \boldsymbol{A}_{n}$ recursively. A fully parenthesized product is

- either a single matrix or
- the product of two fully parenthesized products.

For example, if $n=4$, then $\left(\boldsymbol{A}_{1} \boldsymbol{A}_{2}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{A}_{3} \boldsymbol{A}_{4}\right)$ and $\left(\left(\boldsymbol{A}_{1} \boldsymbol{A}_{2}\right) \boldsymbol{A}_{3}\right) \boldsymbol{A}_{4}$ are fully parenthesized, but $\boldsymbol{A}_{1}\left(\boldsymbol{A}_{2} \boldsymbol{A}_{3} \boldsymbol{A}_{4}\right)$ is not. Each fully parenthesized product has a computation cost under $\mathcal{A}$; e.g., given $\left(\boldsymbol{A}_{1} \boldsymbol{A}_{2}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{A}_{3} \boldsymbol{A}_{4}\right)$, you first calculate $\boldsymbol{B}_{1}=\boldsymbol{A}_{1} \boldsymbol{A}_{2}$ and $\boldsymbol{B}_{2}=\boldsymbol{A}_{3} \boldsymbol{A}_{4}$, and then calculate $\boldsymbol{B}_{1} \boldsymbol{B}_{2}$, all using $\mathcal{A}$. The cost of the fully parenthesized product is the total cost of the three pairwise matrix multiplications.

Design an algorithm to find in $O\left(n^{3}\right)$ time a fully parenthesized product with the smallest cost.
Problem 4 (Longest Ascending Subsequence). Let $A$ be a sequence of $n$ distinct integers. A sequence $B$ of integers is a subsequence of $A$ if it satisfies one of the following conditions:

- $A=B$ or
- we can convert $A$ to $B$ by repeatedly deleting integers.

The subsequence $B$ is ascending if its integers are arranged in ascending order. Design an algorithm to find an ascending subsequence of $A$ with the maximum length. Your algorithm should run in $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ time. For example, if $A=(10,5,20,17,3,30,25,40,50,60,24,55,70,58,80,44)$, then a longest ascending sequence is $(10,20,30,40,50,60,70,80)$.

Problem 5*. In this problem, we will revisit a regular exercise discussed before and derive a faster algorithm using dynamic programming.

Let $A$ be an array of $n$ integers ( $A$ is not necessarily sorted). Each integer in $A$ may be positive or negative. Given $i, j$ satisfying $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$, define subarray $A[i: j]$ as the sequence
$(A[i], A[i+1], \ldots, A[j])$, and the weight of $A[i: j]$ as $A[i]+A[i+1]+\ldots+A[j]$. For example, consider $A=(13,-3,-25,20,-3,-16,-23,18) ; A[1: 4]$ has weight 5 , while $A[2: 4]$ has weight -8 . Design an algorithm to find a subarray of $A$ with the largest weight in $O(n)$ time.

Remark: We solved the problem using divide-and-conquer in $O(n \log n)$ time before.

