

# Another k-Selection Algorithm

By Yufei Tao's Teaching Team

We have learned how to solve **the  $k$ -selection problem** in  $O(n)$  expected time. The algorithm discussed in the lecture is easy to analyze but is not very efficient in practice.

Today, we will see another algorithm that runs faster in practice.

**The  $k$ -Selection Problem:** You are given

- a set  $S$  of  $n$  integers in an array  $A$  and
- an integer  $k \in [1, n]$ .

Design an algorithm to find the  $k$ -th smallest integer of  $S$ .

For example, suppose that  $S = \{53, 92, 85, 23, 35, 12, 68, 74\}$  and  $k = 3$ . You should output 35.

Recall that the “lecture”  $k$ -selection algorithm starts by picking a pivot  $p$  uniformly at random from the input array  $A$ . It starts recursion only if the rank of  $p$  falls in  $[n/3, 2n/3]$ .

Instead, our new algorithm will start recursion **anyway** regardless of the rank of  $p$ .

## The New Algorithm

- 1  $p \leftarrow$  a uniformly random integer from the input array  $A$
- 2  $r \leftarrow$  the rank of  $p$
- 3 If  $r = k$ , then return  $p$
- 4 If  $r > k$ , then produce an array  $B$  containing all the integers of  $A$  less than  $p$ . Recursively find the  $k$ -th smallest element in  $B$
- 5 If  $r < k$ , then produce an array  $B$  containing all the integers of  $S$  greater than  $p$ . Recursively find the  $(k - r)$ -th smallest element in  $B$

### Example

Goal: find the 10-th smallest element from an array  $A$  of size 12:

17	26	38	28	41	72	83	88	5	9	12	35
----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	---	---	----	----

Suppose that the random pivot  $p$  is 12, whose rank is 3.

As  $3 < n/3 = 4$ , the “lecture algorithm” will find another pivot. However, the new algorithm proceeds anyway. Specifically, it first produces an array  $B$  including only the elements larger than 12:

17	26	38	28	41	72	83	88	35
----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----

Then, it recursively finds the 7-th (note:  $k - r = 10 - 3 = 7$ ) smallest element in  $B$ .

Although the new algorithm is procedurally simpler (than the lecture version), its analysis is more difficult.

Define  $f(n)$  as the worst-case expected running time of the new algorithm on an array of size  $n$ . The algorithm cost includes three parts:

- 1 Picking a pivot  $p$  and getting its rank  $r$ :  $O(n)$  time
- 2 Producing array  $B$ :  $O(n)$  time
- 3 Recursing on  $B$ :  $O(\max\{f(r), f(n-r)\})$  time.

Note that the cost of Part 3 is a random variable  $X$  depending on the value of  $r$ . We thus have:

$$f(n) \leq O(n) + E[X].$$

Next, we will analyze  $E[X]$ .

As the pivot  $p$  is picked uniformly at random, the value  $r$  is a uniformly distributed from 1 to  $n$ . Hence:

$$\begin{aligned} E[X] &= \sum_{i=1}^n (\text{the value of } X \text{ under } r = i) \cdot \Pr[r = i] \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\text{the value of } X \text{ under } r = i) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n O(\max\{f(i), f(n-i)\}). \end{aligned}$$

This yields the following recurrence:

$$f(n) \leq O(n) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n O(\max\{f(i), f(n-i)\}).$$

Using the substitution method, we can show that  $f(n) = O(n)$ . The details are shown in a regular exercise and will not be tested.