More on Recursion
(Slides for ESTR2102)

Yufei Tao

Department of Computer Science and Engineering
Chinese University of Hong Kong
We introduced the **recursion** technique this week. It permits us to approach a difficult problem using an **inductive** view:

Suppose that we have solved the same problem but on smaller inputs, how do we solve the problem on the current size?

We will discuss two more examples in this lecture.
Tower of Hanoi

There are 3 rods: A, B, C.

On rod A, there are $n$ disks of different sizes, stacked in such a way that no disk of a larger size is above a disk of a smaller size.

The other two rods are empty.
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**Tower of Hanoi**

**Permitted operation:** Move the top-most disk of a rod to another rod.

**Constraint:** No disk of a larger size can be above a disk of a smaller size.
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**Question:** How many operations are needed to move all disks to rod B?
Suppose that we have solved the problem with $n - 1$ disks. We can solve the problem with $n$ disks as follows:
How many operations are needed by the algorithm?

Suppose that it is $f(n)$. We have clearly $f(1) = 1$. Recursively:

$$f(n) = 1 + 2 \cdot f(n - 1)$$

Solving this recurrence gives: $f(n) = 2^n - 1$. 
Greatest Common Divisor (GCD)

Given two non-negative integers $n$ and $m$, find their GCD, denoted as $GCD(n, m)$.

For example, $GCD(24, 32) = 8$. Note: $GCD(0, 8)$ is also 8.

We want to design an algorithm in RAM with small running time.
Greatest Common Divisor (GCD)

Without loss of generality, assume $n \leq m$.

**Lemma:** If $n < m$, then $GCD(n, m) = GCD(n, m - n)$.

The proof is elementary and left to you.
GCD – Algorithm 1

Assume $n \leq m$.
If $n = m$, then return $n$.
Otherwise, return $GCD(n, m - n)$.

The running time can be as bad as $O(m)$. To see this, try computing $GCD(1, m)$.

Next, we will significantly improve the running time to $O(\log m)$.
Greatest Common Divisor (GCD)

Without loss of generality, assume $n \leq m$.

Define $m \mod n = m - n \cdot \lfloor m/n \rfloor$.
Note that this is the remainder of $m/n$.

Lemma: If $n < m$, then $GCD(n, m) = GCD(n, m \mod n)$.

The proof is elementary and left to you.
GCD – Algorithm 2 (Euclid’s Algorithm)

Assume $n \leq m$.
If $n = 0$, then return $m$
Otherwise, return $GCD(n, m \mod n)$.

Example

$GCD(24, 32) = GCD(24, 8) = GCD(0, 8) = 8$. 
Next, we will prove that the running time is $O(\log m)$.

Suppose we execute the “otherwise” line $h$ times. Let $n_i, m_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq m$) be the two values of “$n$” and “$m$” at the $i$-th execution. Define $s_i = n_i + m_i$.

We will prove:

**Lemma:** For $i \geq 2$, $s_i \leq \frac{4}{5} \cdot s_{i-1}$.

This implies $h = O(\log m)$ (think: why?).
**Lemma:** For $i \geq 2$, $s_i \leq \frac{4}{5} \cdot s_{i-1}$.

Essentially we need to prove: $n + m \mod n \leq \frac{4}{5}(n + m)$.

**Case 1:** $m \geq (3/2)n$.
Thus, $n + m \mod n < 2n = \frac{4}{5} \cdot \frac{5}{2}n \leq \frac{4}{5}(n + m)$.

**Case 2:** $m < (3/2)n$.
Thus, $n + m \mod n < n + n/2 = \frac{3}{2}n = \frac{3}{4} \cdot 2n \leq \frac{3}{4}(n + m)$.

We now conclude the proof.
Lowest Common Multiplier (GCM)

Given two non-negative integers $n$ and $m$, find their LCM.

For example, the LCM of 24 and 32 is 96.

**Think:** How to solve the problem in $O(\log n)$ time using the GCD algorithm?