Collaborating on homework is encouraged, but you must write your own solutions in your own words and list your collaborators. Copying someone else’s solution will be considered plagiarism and may result in failing the whole course.

Please answer clearly and concisely. Explain your answers. Unexplained answers will get lower scores or even no credits.

(1) (30 points) Prove that the following languages are decidable, by giving algorithms to decide them.

(a) \( L_1 = \{ \langle R \rangle \mid R \text{ does not generate any string of even length} \} \)
   Here \( R \) is a regular expression over the alphabet \( \{a, b\} \).

(b) \( L_2 = \{ \langle D \rangle \mid D \text{ is a DFA that accepts only a finite number of strings} \} \)

(c) \( L_3 = \{ \langle G, k \rangle \mid G \text{ is a CFG that generates at least one string of length } k \} \)

(2) (40 points) For each of the following languages, say whether it is decidable. Justify your answer in about 5–10 sentences.

(a) \( L_1 = \{ \langle M \rangle \mid \text{Turing machine } M \text{ accepts every string of even length} \} \)

(b) \( L_2 = \{ \langle M, w \rangle \mid \text{Turing machine } M \text{ accepts some string that begins with the substring } w \} \)

(c) \( L_3 = \{ \langle D, G \rangle \mid D \text{ is a DFA and } G \text{ is a CFG such that } L(D) = L(G) \} \)

(d) \( L_4 = \{ \langle M, t \rangle \mid \text{Turing machine } M \text{ accepts every input in at most } t \text{ steps} \} \)

(3) (30 points) The following special case of Post Correspondence Problem (PCP) is shown to be undecidable during lecture:

\[ \text{PCP}' = \{ \langle C \rangle \mid C \text{ is a finite collection of nonempty tiles with a match} \} , \]

where a tile is nonempty if both its top and bottom strings are not the empty string.

Show that the following variants of PCP are undecidable, by reducing from PCP' (over some alphabet \( \Sigma' \)).

(a) PCP₁: PCP over the alphabet \( \Sigma = \{0, 1\} \).
   The \textit{alphabet} of PCP is the set of symbols that can appear on the tiles. For example, here is an instance of PCP over the alphabet \( \Sigma = \{a, b, c, d\} \):

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
ab & \text{bc} & \text{ab} & \text{d} & \text{cd} & b & \text{d}
\end{array}
\]

(b) PCP₂: PCP with alphabet permutation. Given finite alphabets \( \Sigma_T \) and \( \Sigma_B \) of the same size, a \( (\Sigma_T, \Sigma_B) \)-tile has a top string over \( \Sigma_T \) and a bottom string over \( \Sigma_B \). A collection \( C \) of \( (\Sigma_T, \Sigma_B) \)-tiles has a match if there is a bijection \( p : \Sigma_T \rightarrow \Sigma_B \), such that after mapping all the top symbols according to \( p \), the new collection of tiles has a match (in the usual sense).

\[
\text{PCP}_2 = \{ \langle \Sigma_T, \Sigma_B, C \rangle \mid C \text{ is a finite collection of } (\Sigma_T, \Sigma_B)\text{-tiles with a match} \} .
\]

For example, here is a collection of \( (\Sigma_T, \Sigma_B) \)-tiles, over the top alphabet \( \Sigma_T = \{A, B\} \) and the bottom alphabet \( \Sigma_B = \{c, d\} \):

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{ab} & \text{bc} & \text{cd} & \text{d}
\end{array}
\]
This instance has a match (via the bijection $p(A) = c$ and $p(B) = d$):

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
AB & BB & B \\
  c &  c & dd \\
\end{array}
$$