

On a Moreau Envelope Wirelength Model for Analytical Global Placement

Peiyu Liao^{1,2}, Hongduo Liu², Yibo Lin^{1,3}, Bei Yu², Martin Wong²

¹Peking University
 ²Chinese University of Hong Kong
 ³Institute of Electronic Design Automation, Peking University



Global Placement

- Place standard cells (rectangles) onto valid region.
- Legality: no overlap; row alignment; site alignment.
- **Objective**: minimize total wirelength (routed? HPWL? Steiner-tree).

Figure: Fill standard cells into blue region.





Wirelength Models

Steiner Tree Clique Star **HPWL** N/A N/A

Table: Examples of different wirelength models. The first row shows models using ℓ_1 distance, while the second shows those using ℓ_2 distance.





Wirelength Models

- Steiner tree is the most accurate model. HARD to optimize.
- All ℓ_2 models are smooth and easy to optimize.
- HPWL is the most widely adopted one. *Why?*

Model	Steiner Tree	Clique			HPWL	
Distance	ℓ_1	ℓ_1	ℓ_2	ℓ_1	ℓ_2	ℓ_1
Accuracy						
Smoothness						

Table: The approximation accuracy and smoothness of different models.





Differentiable Approximations

Two widely-used models: the *log-sum-exp* model¹ and the *weighted-average* model [DAC'11],

$$W_{e}(\mathbf{x}) \approx W_{e,\text{LSE}}^{\gamma}(\mathbf{x}) = \gamma \ln \sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{\frac{x_{i}}{\gamma}} + \gamma \ln \sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{-\frac{x_{i}}{\gamma}},$$

$$W_{e}(\mathbf{x}) \approx W_{e,\text{WA}}^{\gamma}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} e^{\frac{x_{i}}{\gamma}}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{\frac{x_{i}}{\gamma}}} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} e^{-\frac{x_{i}}{\gamma}}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{-\frac{x_{i}}{\gamma}}},$$
(1)

where γ is the precision parameter. Uniform convergence?

¹W. C. Naylor et al., Non-linear optimization system and method for wire length and delay optimization for an automatic electric circuit placer, US Patent 6,301,693, Oct 9, 2001.

Differentiable Approximations



Figure: A simple example of approximating $\max\{x, 0\} - \min\{x, 0\} = |x|$ (2-pin net) with differentiable models, under $\gamma = 0.2$.





The WA model is good, but are there any drawbacks?

- Numerical Stability. It occurs due to the exponential function.
- **Non-Convexity**. It can be easily verified in the above figure. The non-convexity may get more complicated in high-dimensional cases of real designs.
- **Approximation Error**. The exponential terms provide high precision when γ is very small, but mostly γ is not an ϵ -like value.





Differentiable Approximations



Figure: (a) The non-convexity of WA [DAC'11] on a simple 3-pin net to approximate $\Delta x = \max\{x_{\min}, x, x_{\max}\} - \min\{x_{\min}, x, x_{\max}\}$. (b) The average approximation against γ or t for 4-pin nets, under fixed $\Delta x = 200$.





In our placement applications, we only consider *closed convex* functions h(x) defined in \mathbb{R}^n to simplify the notations.

Definition 1 (Moreau Envelope)

For any t > 0, the **Moreau envelope** function h^t is defined by

$$h^{t}(\mathbf{x}) = \min_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} \left\{ h(\mathbf{u}) + \frac{1}{2t} \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{x}\|_{2}^{2} \right\}.$$
 (2)

Usually, it does not have an explicit closed-form representation.





We have the following facts.

Fact 2 (Point-wise Convergence)

We always have the point-wise convergence $\lim_{t\to 0^+} h^t(\mathbf{x}) = h(\mathbf{x})$ *.*

Fact 3 (Differentiability)

The envelope-theorem states that $\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} h^t(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{t} (\mathbf{x} - \operatorname{prox}_{th}(\mathbf{x})).$





Replace h^t with the HPWL function approximation W_e^t , defined as

$$W_{e}^{t} = \min_{u \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} \left\{ W_{e}(u) + \frac{1}{2t} \|u - x\|_{2}^{2} \right\},$$
(3)

where W_e is the horizontal (vertical) half-perimeter wirelength function of net $e \in E$,

$$W_e(\mathbf{x}) = \max_{1 \le i \le n} x_i - \min_{1 \le i \le n} x_i.$$
(4)





Replace h^t with the HPWL function approximation $W_{e^{t}}^{t}$ defined as

$$W_{e}^{t} = \min_{u \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} \left\{ W_{e}(u) + \frac{1}{2t} \|u - x\|_{2}^{2} \right\},$$
(3)

where W_e is the horizontal (vertical) half-perimeter wirelength function of net $e \in E$,

$$W_e(\mathbf{x}) = \max_{1 \le i \le n} x_i - \min_{1 \le i \le n} x_i.$$
(4)

The forward computation of $W_e^t(x)$ and the backward computation $\nabla W_e^t(x)$ is **possible**.





The key problem is to find how to compute the proximal point,

$$\operatorname{prox}_{tW_e}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \operatorname{argmin}_{\boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ W_e(\boldsymbol{u}) + \frac{1}{2t} \|\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{x}\|_2^2 \right\}.$$
(5)

We have to solve the above optimization problem.

- **Cheap**. The approximation will work.
- **Expensive**. The approximation is only symbolic, and it is hard to make it practical.
- $W_e^t + t$ will be considered to be the approximation.





Gradient Property: the gradient of Moreau envelope function W_e^t is $g = \nabla W_e^t(x)$ where

$$g_{i} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{t}(x_{i} - \tau_{2}), & \text{if } x_{i} > \tau_{2}; \\ 0, & \text{if } \tau_{1} \le x_{i} \le \tau_{2}; \\ \frac{1}{t}(x_{i} - \tau_{1}), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(6)

is defined for any $i = 1, \cdots, n$, such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \tau_2)^+ = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\tau_1 - x_i)^+ = t,$$
(7)

if the solution τ_1 , τ_2 to (7) satisfy $\tau_1 \le \tau_2$, otherwise $g = \nabla W_e^t(x)$ is determined by the average coordinate: $g_i = \frac{1}{t}x_i - \frac{1}{tn}\sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ for any index $i = 1, \dots, n$.













Figure: A simple example of approximating $\max\{x, 0\} - \min\{x, 0\} = |x|$ (2-pin net) with ME model, under t = 0.2.





The closed-form representation is

$$W_e(x) \approx W_e^t(x) + t = \begin{cases} \frac{x^2}{4t} + t, & \text{if } |x| \le 2t, \\ |x|, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(8)

You may know about the Huber loss,

$$L_{\delta}(a) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}a^2, & \text{if } |a| \le \delta, \\ \delta(|a| - \frac{1}{2}\delta), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(9)

Then we have equality $W_e^t(x) = \frac{1}{2t}L_{2t}(x)$. The model is the multidimensional generalization of Huber loss.





A Test on ISPD'06 and ISPD'19

The wirelength curve against density overflow.









THANK YOU!



