### Scalable K-Means++

Bahman Bahmani Stanford University

### **K-means Clustering**

- Fundamental problem in data analysis and machine learning
- "By far **the most popular clustering algorithm** used in scientific and industrial applications" [Berkhin '02]
- Identified as one of the top 10 algorithms in data mining [Wu et al '07]

### **Problem Statement**

• A scalable algorithm for K-means clustering with theoretical guarantees and good practical performance

#### **K-means Clustering**

- Input:
  - A set  $X = \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\}$  of n data points
  - Number of clusters k
- For a set  $C = \{c_1, c_2, ..., c_k\}$  of cluster "centers" define:

$$\varphi_X(C) = \sum_{x \in X} d(x, C)^2$$

where d(x,C) = distance from x to closest center in C

• **Goal:** To find a set C of centers that minimizes the objective function  $\phi_x(C)$ 

# K-means Clustering: Example



## Lloyd Algorithm

- Start with k arbitrary centers  $\{c_1, c_2, ..., c_k\}$  (typically chosen uniformly at random from data points)
- Performs an EM-type local search till convergence
- Main advantages: Simplicity, scalability

# What's wrong with Lloyd Algorithm?

- Takes many iterations to converge
- Very sensitive to initialization
- Random initialization can easily get two centers in the same cluster
  - K-means gets stuck in a local optimum









#### K-means++ [Arthur et al. '07]

- Spreads out the centers
- Choose first center, c<sub>1</sub>, uniformly at random from the data set
- Repeat for  $2 \le i \le k$ :
  - Choose  $c_i$  to be equal to a data point  $x_0$  sampled from the distribution:

$$\frac{d(x_0,C)^2}{\varphi_X(C)} \propto d(x_0,C)^2$$

• **Theorem:** O(log k)-approximation to optimum, right after initialization











### What's wrong with K-means++?

- Needs K passes over the data
- In large data applications, not only the data is massive, but also K is typically large (e.g., easily 1000).
- Does not scale!

## Intuition for a solution

- K-means++ samples one point per iteration and updates its distribution
- What if we **oversample** by sampling each point independently with a larger probability?
- Intuitively equivalent to updating the distribution much less frequently
  - Coarser sampling
- Turns out to be sufficient: K-means | |

K=4, Oversampling factor =3



K=4, Oversampling factor =3



K=4, Oversampling factor =3



K=4, Oversampling factor =3



K=4, Oversampling factor =3



Cluster the intermediate centers

# K-means | [Bahmani et al. '12]

- Choose  $\geq 1$  [Think  $\equiv \Theta(k)$ ]
- Initialize C to an arbitrary set of points
- For **R** iterations do:
  - Sample each point x in X independently with probability  $p_x = Id^2(x,C)/\phi_X(C).$
  - Add all the sampled points to C
- Cluster the (weighted) points in C to find the final k centers

# K-means | |: Intuition

• An interpolation between Lloyd and K-means++



#### Theorem

Theorem: If φ and φ' are the costs of the clustering at the beginning and end of an iteration, and OPT is the cost of the optimum clustering:

$$E[\varphi'] \le O(OPT) + \frac{k}{el}\varphi$$

- Corollary:
  - Let  $\psi = \cos t$  of initial clustering
  - K-means | | produces a constant-factor approximation to OPT, using only  $O(\log (\psi / OPT))$  iterations
  - Using K-means++ for clustering the intermediate centers, the overall approximation factor = O(log k)

### **Experimental Results: Quality**

	Clustering Cost Right After Initialization	Clustering Cost After Lloyd Convergence
Random	NA	22,000
K-means++	430	65
K-means	16	14

GAUSSMIXTURE: 10,000 points in 15 dimensions K=50Costs scaled down by  $10^4$ 

• K-means | | much harder than K-means++ to get confused with noisy outliers

### **Experimental Results: Convergence**

• K-means | | reduces number of Lloyd iterations even more than K-means++

	Number of Lloyd Iterations till Convergence		
Random	167		
K-means++	42		
K-means	28		

SPAM: 4,601 points in 58 dimensions K=50

### **Experimental Results**

- K-means | | needs a small number of intermediate centers
- Better than K-means++ as soon as  $\sim K$  centers chosen

	Clustering Cost (Scaled down by 10 <sup>10</sup> )	Number of intermediate centers	Tme (In Minutes)
Random	$6.4 * 10^7$	NA	489
Partition	1.9	$1.47 * 10^{6}$	1022
K-means	1.5	3604	87

KDDCUP1999: 4.8M points in 42 dimensions K=1000

### **Algorithmic Theme**

- Quickly decrease the size of the data in a distributed fashion...
- ... while maintaining the important features of the data
- Solve the small instance on a single machine

