# Dimensionality Reduction 2: Rectangle-Point Containment

## Yufei Tao

#### CSE Dept Chinese University of Hong Kong

Yufei Tao

**Dimensionality Reduction 2: Rectangle-Point Containment** 

э

1/13

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

# Problem

Let *R* be a set of axis-parallel rectangles and *P* be a set of points, all in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , where *d* is a fixed constant. We want to report all pairs of  $(r, p) \in R \times P$  such that *r* contains *p*.



We will show how to solve the problem in  $O(n \operatorname{polylog} n + k)$  where n = |R| + |P| and k is the number of pairs reported.

**Dimensionality Reduction 2: Rectangle-Point Containment** 

2/13

ロト ( 同 ) ( ヨ ) ( ヨ ) ( ヨ )



When d = 1, R is a set of intervals and P a set of points, both in  $\mathbb{R}$ .



It is easy to settle the problem in  $O(n \log n + k)$  time.

**Dimensionality Reduction 2: Rectangle-Point Containment** 

э.

3/13



**Assumption:** *R* does not contain any rectangle of the form  $(-\infty, \infty) \times [y_1, y_2]$  (i.e., a horizontal stripe).

Removing the assumption will be left to you (it is easy).

Every rectangle in R defines at most two **finite** x-coordinates, and each point in P defines one x-coordinate. Call those coordinates the **input** x-coordinates.

A left-open or right-open rectangle defines only one input x-coordinate.



-

4/13



Dimensionality Reduction 2: Rectangle-Point Containment

5/13



### Divide the input x-coordinates in half with a vertical line $\ell$ .



We will assume that such a line  $\ell$  exists. Handling the opposite scenario is left to you.

э.

6/13

・ロト ・同ト ・ヨト ・ヨト



#### The line $\ell$ creates two sub-problems.



Note that each sub-problem can contain left-open or right-open rectangles. No new input x-coordinates are created.

**Dimensionality Reduction 2: Rectangle-Point Containment** 

э

7/13

・ロト ・同ト ・ヨト ・ヨト



Divide the right sub-problem into two "sub-sub-problems":



**Issue:** In the first sub-sub-problem,  $r_2$  and  $r_3$  define no input x-coordinates. Thus, we **cannot** solve the sub-sub-problem recursively (think: why).

8/13



Dealing with the issue: solve a 1D instance of the problem on the y-dimension and get rid of such rectangles.



э

9/13

- 4 同 ト - 4 回 ト

### The 2D Algorithm

- Let *R<sub>span</sub>* be the set of rectangles that do not define input x-coordinates (they span the current data space in x-dimension).
- 2. Solve a 1D instance on R' and P' where R' and P' are obtained by projecting  $R_{span}$  and P onto the y-axis, respectively.
- 3. Remove  $R_{span}$  from R.
- 4. Divide the input x-coordinates equally with a vertical line  $\ell$ .
- 5. Let  $R_1$  (or  $R_2$ ) be the set of rectangles in R that intersect with the left (or right, resp.) side of  $\ell$ . Let  $P_1$  (or  $P_2$ ) be the set of points in P that fall on the left (or right, resp.) side of  $\ell$ .
- 6. Solve the left sub-problem with inputs  $R_1$ ,  $P_1$  and the right sub-problem with inputs  $R_2$ ,  $P_2$ .

-

10/13

不得た 不足た 不足た



Let f(m) be the running time of our algorithm when there are m input x-coordinates.

$$f(m) \leq 2 \cdot f(m/2) + 2 \cdot g(m)$$

where g(m) is the cost of solving a 1D instance of size m.



**Dimensionality Reduction 2: Rectangle-Point Containment** 

-

11/13

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

2D Analysis

$$f(m) \leq 2 \cdot f(m/2) + 2 \cdot g(m)$$

We know that  $g(m) = O(m \log m + k')$  (where k' is the number of pairs reported by the 1D instance). Solving the recurrence gives  $f(m) = O(m \log^2 m + k)$ .

As  $m \leq 2n$ , we now have an algorithm of  $O(n \log^2 n + k)$  time.

**Remark:** In this week's exercises, you will be guided to improve the running time to  $O(n \log n + k)$ .

12/13

伺 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト



In general, we can use a (d-1)-dimensional algorithm to solve the *d*-dimensional problem. It will be left as an exercise to design a *d*-dimensional algorithm in  $O(n \operatorname{polylog} n + k)$  time.

- E

13/13

・ 戸 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・